

Chapter 1 Background to Making the Plan

Section 1.4 Form and Content of this Development Plan

Motion 2001 Councillor(s) Cllr. Mary Freehill

This Council resolves to include a comprehensive index which references all terms used throughout the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017.

Motion 2002 Councillor(s) Cllr. Catherine Noone

This Council resolves to include a comprehensive index which references all terms used throughout the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017.

Motion 2003 Councillor(s) Cllr. Declan Flanagan

This Council resolves to include a comprehensive index which references all terms used throughout the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017

Motion 2004 Councillor(s) Cllr. Ray McAdam

This Council resolves to include a comprehensive index which references all terms used throughout the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017

Motion 2005 Councillor(s) Cllr. Maria Parodi

This Council resolves to include a comprehensive index which references all terms used throughout the Dublin City Development Plan 2011-2017.

Motion 2006 Councillor(s) Cllr. Aine Clancy, Cllr. Emer Costello

This Council resolves to include a comprehensive index which references all terms used throughout the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017.

Motion 2007 Councillor(s) Cllr. Eoghan Murphy

This Council resolves to include a comprehensive index which references all terms used throughout the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017.

Motion 2008 Councillor(s) Cllr. Julia Carmichael

This Council resolves to include a comprehensive index which references all terms used throughout the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017.

Motion 2009 Councillor(s) Cllr. Kevin Humphreys

This Council resolves to include a comprehensive index which references all terms used throughout the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017

Motion 2010 Councillor(s) Cllr. Oisín Quinn

Include in the Plan an extensive cross-referencing system where other elements of the Plan are referred to.

Motion 2011 Councillor(s) Cllr. Oisín Quinn

Include in the Plan for ease of reference an index or contents page with: a list of all standards; a list of all plans and reports referred to; a list of all standards, policies and objectives for ease of reference; a list of all maps and diagrams.

Motion 2012 Councillor(s) Cllr. Eoghan Murphy

That a list of all documents referenced in the plan be included as an appendix to the plan.

Chapter 2 Context for the Development Plan

Section 2.2 The Six Themes

Motion 2013 Councillor(s) Cllr. Dermot Lacey, Cllr. Mary Freehill

This Council resolves to amend the Draft Dublin City Development Plan 2011-2017 to include the following wording at “3. Cultural” Par.2.2 “The Six Themes” Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017:
“Protecting Dublin’s built heritage”

Motion 2014 Councillor(s) Cllr. Catherine Noone

This Council resolves to amend the Draft Dublin City Development Plan 2011-2017 to include the following wording at “3. Cultural” Par.2.2 “The Six Themes” Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017:
“Protecting Dublin’s built heritage”

Motion 2015 Councillor(s) Cllr. Declan Flanagan

This Council resolves to amend the Draft Dublin City Development Plan 2011-2017 to include the following wording at “3. Cultural” Par.2.2 “The Six Themes” Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017:
“Protecting Dublin’s built heritage”

Motion 2016 Councillor(s) Cllr. Ray McAdam

This Council resolves to amend the Draft Dublin City Development Plan 2011-2017 to include the following wording at “3. Cultural” Par.2.2 “The Six Themes” Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017:
“Protecting Dublin’s built heritage”

Motion 2017 Councillor(s) Cllr. Aine Clancy, Cllr. Emer Costello

This Council resolves to amend the Draft Dublin City Development Plan 2011-2017 to include the following wording at “3. Cultural” Par.2.2 “The Six Themes” Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017:
“Protecting Dublin’s built heritage”

Motion 2018 Councillor(s) Cllr. Christy Burke

This Council resolves to amend the Draft Dublin City Development Plan 2011-2017 to include the following wording at “3. Cultural” Par.2.2 “The Six Themes” Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017:
“Protecting Dublin’s built heritage”

Motion 2019 Councillor(s) Cllr. Eoghan Murphy

This Council resolves to amend the Draft Dublin City Development Plan 2011-2017 to include the following wording at “3. Cultural” Par.2.2 “The Six Themes” Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017:
“Protecting Dublin’s built heritage”

Motion 2020 Councillor(s) Cllr. Julia Carmichael

This Council resolves to amend the Draft Dublin City Development Plan 2011-2017 to include the following wording at “3. Cultural” Par.2.2 “The Six Themes” Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017: “Protecting Dublin’s built heritage”

Chapter 3 Development Plan Strategy to 2017

Section 3.1 The Vision for Dublin

Motion 2021 Councillor(s) Cllr. Mannix Flynn

Will the City Manager make it a priority to implement the Barcelona Agreement and the principles of Universal access enshrined in that document which the Irish people are a signature of into the Dublin City Council Development Plan.

Motion 2022 Councillor(s) Cllr. Mannix Flynn

Call on the city manager to make it a primary principle to implement the Barcelona Declaration of which DCC is a signatory to, throughout this DCC Development plan, in order to comply with best practice for universal access therefore bringing it in line with other global Capital Cities. <http://www.barcelonaproject.ie/>

Section 3.2.1.1 Shaping the City - Urban Form and Structure

Motion 2023 Councillor(s) Cllr. Dermot Lacey

The Council seeks clarity on the reference to nine Key District Centres on page 15 of the Draft Report and under the Z4 Zoning heading on page 160, while only listing eight. This City Council reaffirms its belief that Ballsbridge is not suitable as such a Key District Centre and this decision shall be reflected in the Development Plan.

Motion 2024 Councillor(s) Cllr. Dermot Lacey, Cllr. Mary Freehill

This Council resolves that:

“In the interest of clarity all areas that have been identified for special designation as development areas (e.g. Key District Centre) should be very clearly delineated on the map and listed in the statement prior to agreement of their designation”

Motion 2025 Councillor(s) Cllr. Catherine Noone

This Council resolves that:

“In the interest of clarity all areas that have been identified for special designation as development areas (e.g. Key District Centre) should be very clearly delineated on the map and listed in the statement prior to agreement of their designation”

Motion 2026 Councillor(s) Cllr. Declan Flanagan

This Council resolves that:

“In the interest of clarity all areas that have been identified for special designation as development areas (e.g. Key District Centre) should be very clearly delineated on the map and listed in the statement prior to agreement of their designation”

Motion 2027 Councillor(s) Cllr. Ray McAdam

This Council resolves to include the following in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017:

“In the interest of clarity all areas that have been identified for special designation as development areas (e.g. Key District Centre) should be very clearly delineated on the map and listed in the statement prior to agreement of their designation”

Motion 2028 Councillor(s) Cllr. Ruairi McGinley

This Council resolves that:

"In the interest of clarity all areas that have been identified for special designation as development areas (e.g. Key District Centre) should be very clearly delineated on the map and listed in the statement prior to agreement of their designation"

Motion 2029 Councillor(s) Cllr. Aine Clancy, Cllr. Emer Costello

This Council resolves that:

“In the interest of clarity all areas that have been identified for special designation as development areas (e.g. Key District Centre) should be very clearly delineated on the map and listed in the statement prior to agreement of their designation”

Motion 2030 Councillor(s) Cllr. Christy Burke

This Council resolves to include the following in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017:

“In the interest of clarity all areas that have been identified for special designation as development areas (e.g. Key District Centre) should be very clearly delineated on the map and listed in the statement prior to agreement of their designation”

Motion 2031 Councillor(s) Cllr. Eoghan Murphy

This Council resolves that:

“In the interest of clarity all areas that have been identified for special designation as development areas (e.g. Key District Centre) should be very clearly delineated on the map and listed in the statement prior to agreement of their designation”

Motion 2032 Councillor(s) Cllr. Julia Carmichael

This Council resolves that:

“In the interest of clarity all areas that have been identified for special designation as development areas (e.g. Key District Centre) should be very clearly delineated on the map and listed in the statement prior to agreement of their designation”

Motion 2033 Councillor(s) Cllr. Kevin Humphreys

This Council resolves that:

“In the interest of clarity all areas that have been identified for special designation as development areas (e.g. Key District Centre) should be very clearly delineated on the map and listed in the statement prior to agreement of their designation”

Motion 2034 Councillor(s) Cllr. Ruairi McGinley

This Council resolves that:

"In the interest of clarity all areas that have been identified for special designation as development areas (e.g. Key District Centre) should be very clearly delineated on the map and listed in the statement prior to agreement of their designation"

Motion 2035 Councillor(s) Cllr. Criona Ni Dhalaigh

This Council resolves to include the following in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017:

"In the interest of clarity all areas that have been identified for special designation as development areas (e.g. Key District Centre) should be very clearly delineated on the map and listed in the statement prior to agreement of their designation"

Motion 2036 Councillor(s) Cllr. Cieran Perry

That the boundary of key developing areas be defined and not include large areas of individual dwellinghouses.

Motion 2037 Councillor(s) Cllr. Mary O'Shea, Cllr. Ray McAdam

That the boundary of key developing areas should be more clearly defined so as to exclude large areas of individual dwellinghouses.

Motion 2038 Councillor(s) Cllr. Aine Clancy, Cllr. Emer Costello

That the boundary of key developing areas be defined and not include large areas of individual dwelling houses.

Motion 2039 Councillor(s) Cllr. Mary Fitzpatrick

That the boundary of key developing areas be defined and not include large areas of individual dwelling houses.

Motion 2040 Councillor(s) Cllr. John Gallagher, Cllr. Rebecca Moynihan, Cllr. Criona Ni Dhalaigh

In light of the Dart Underground project, with Inchicore set to become a key transport hub, this council agrees to insert Inchicore as a key district centre with a Master Plan for the Railway Works and surrounding areas to be developed for the area during the lifetime of this plan.

Section 3.2.1.3 Greening the City - Landscape, Biodiversity, Open Space and Recreation

Motion 2041 Councillor(s) Cllr. Oisín Quinn

Amend the description of the new stadium at Lansdowne Road from 'Lansdowne Aviva' to 'Lansdowne Road' at section 3.2.1.3 paragraph 3 on page 16 of the draft Plan and in all other cases where the stadium is referred to.

Section 3.2.2.2 Revitalising the City's Economy

Motion 2042 Councillor(s) Cllr. Oisín Quinn

Amend section 3.2.2.2 of the draft Plan on page 17 to include the words underlined hereunder so as to read in the last sentence of the first paragraph "... the Development Plan seeks to develop sustainable employment in the areas of innovation, digital industries, academic research, financial, legal & insurance services, the development of academic medical centres and leading edge green/clean technologies."

Motion 2043 Councillor(s) Cllr. Eoghan Murphy

That the Manager's recommendation (pg 33) to insert new text under Section 3.2.2.2 (p. 17) in relation to economic/innovation corridors be amended so that the sentence concludes: "...the innovation corridors have no additional implications for zonings or standards, **in particular those pertaining to height, density, plot ratio and site coverage.**" [Bold indicates new text]

Section 3.2.3.1 Promoting Quality Homes

Motion 2044 Councillor(s) Cllr. Oisín Quinn

Add the following sentence to the first paragraph of section 3.2.3.1 under the heading 'A city of sustainable neighbourhoods and socially inclusive communities':

"In light of the potential for 67,000 additional residential units being constructed on the 503 hectares of zoned and serviced land in the city and in view of the need to keep existing and future educational, health, recreational and community institutions, facilities and services in close proximity to the existing and future residents of the city, it is part of the Core Strategy to increase the potential lands available for educational, health, recreational and community institutions, facilities and services given that the lands zoned Z15 in this plan are already largely in active use for the benefit of the existing population and many of them, educational and health facilities in particular, are under strain in terms of capacity coping with the existing city population. This strategy of seeking to increase the amount of land available for these uses will be achieved by working with the various stakeholders who fund and provide and are likely to provide these services and facilities now and into the future, including but not limited to the Department of Health & Children, the Department of Education & Science, the HSE, registered charities, not-for-profit companies and foundations, third level education institutions, religious orders, sports and community groups and organisations."

Section 3.3.1 Area-Specific Plans

Motion 2045 Councillor(s) Cllr. Eoghan Murphy

That 3.3.1 be updated to include all proposed LAPs intended to be adopted in the lifetime of this plan.

Motion 2046 Councillor(s) Cllr. Vincent Jackson

That areas with prime urban status be afforded an opportunity for the development of area based plans.

Motion 2047 Councillor(s) Cllr. Mary O'Shea

This Council agrees that Part 3.3.1 of the Plan entitled "Area Specific Plans" be amended to provide that, in accordance with Section 2.6 of the DoEHLG planning guidelines for the Sustainable Residential Development in Urban Areas which states- "...where it is indicated in a development plan that a LAP is to be prepared, an indicative timeframe for its completion should be set out...", the Pelletstown Local Area Plan will be completed by 31 December 2011.

Motion 2048 Councillor(s) Cllr. Cieran Perry

This Council agrees that Part 3.3.1 of the Plan entitled "Area Specific Plans" be amended to provide that, in accordance with Section 2.6 of the DoEHLG planning guidelines for the Sustainable Residential Development in Urban Areas which states:

"...where it is indicated in a development plan that a LAP is to be prepared, an indicative timeframe for its completion should be set out...",

the Pelletstown Local Area Plan will be completed by 31 December 2011.

Motion 2049 Councillor(s) Cllr. Aine Clancy, Cllr. Emer Costello

This Council agrees that Part 3.3.1 of the Plan entitled "Area Specific Plans" be amended to provide that, in accordance with Section 2.6 of the DoEHLG planning guidelines for the Sustainable Residential Development in Urban Areas which states-

"...where it is indicated in a development plan that a LAP is to be prepared, an indicative timeframe for its completion should be set out...",

the Pelletstown Local Area Plan will be completed by 31 December 2011.

Motion 2050 Councillor(s) Cllr. Mary Fitzpatrick

This Council agrees that Part 3.3.1 of the Plan entitled "Area Specific Plans" be amended to provide that, in accordance with Section 2.6 of the DoEHLG planning guidelines for the Sustainable Residential Development in Urban Areas which states-"...where it is indicated in a development plan that a LAP is to be prepared, an indicative timeframe for its completion should be set out..." , the Pelletstown Local Area Plan will be completed by 31 December 2011.

Motion 2051 Councillor(s) Cllr. Christy Burke

That a statutory local area plan be put in place for East Wall and advice and guidelines be given to local residents groups.

Motion 2052 Councillor(s) Cllr. Christy Burke

That an objective be included to prepare a Local Area Plan for the East Wall

Motion 2053 Councillor(s) Cllr. Christy Burke

That an objective be included to prepare a Local Area Plan for the East Wall

Motion 2054 Councillor(s) Cllr. Nial Ring

That the Development Plan includes a provision that a Statutory Local Area Plan be included for the East Wall Area.

Motion 2055 Councillor(s) Cllr. Claire O'Regan

That the Manager implement a Local Area Plan for East Wall and surrounding North Docklands areas.

Motion 2056 Councillor(s) Cllr. Ray McAdam

The Dublin City Development Plan must state that “With the recent history of unstructured development within the East Wall area it is the intention of Dublin City Council to introduce a Local Area Plan with an indicative timeframe for its completion. The reason for this is stated in the DoEHLG Sustainable Residential Development in Urban Areas guidelines “where substantial areas of brownfield sites are going to be (re) developed, it is recommended that a local area plan (LAP) be prepared to facilitate the sustainable development of the area and to avoid it being developed in a piecemeal and incoherent fashion over a long period of time”.

Motion 2057 Councillor(s) Cllr. Cieran Perry

That this Council agrees to the inclusion of the following wording in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011-2017:

“With the recent history of unstructured development within the East Wall area it is the intention of Dublin City Council to introduce a Local Area Plan with an indicative timeframe for its completion. The reason for this is stated in the DoEHLG Sustainable Residential Development in Urban Areas guidelines “where substantial areas of brownfield sites are going to be (re)developed, it is recommended that a local area plan (LAP) be prepared to facilitate the sustainable development of the area and to avoid it being developed in a piecemeal and incoherent fashion over a long period of time”.

Motion 2058 Councillor(s) Cllr. Aine Clancy, Cllr. Emer Costello

That this Council agrees that the East Wall area be granted Local Area status and a Local Area Plan developed for the area.

Motion 2059 Councillor(s) Cllr. Oisín Quinn

Carry out a statutory LAP process in relation to the linear parks proposed for the length of the Dodder and Tolka Rivers.

Motion 2060 Councillor(s) Cllr. Nial Ring

That the Development Plan includes a provision that a Statutory Local Area Plan be included for the Ballybough Area.

Motion 2061 Councillor(s) Cllr. Michael Conaghan

Given the failure of the authorities to ensure that the Drimnagh district kept pace with residents aspirations in respect of modern facilities and infrastructure the new Development Plan will clearly prioritise the key elements of the Drimnagh IAP for implementation.

Motion 2062 Councillor(s) Cllr. Rebecca Moynihan

In light of changed economic climate, that provision for a midterm revision of the Liberties Local Area Plan are provided for in the development plan. Many of the objectives of the area plan were based on assumptions and sale of assets which are no longer feasible.

Motion 2063 Councillor(s) Cllr. Aine Clancy, Cllr. Emer Costello

That this Council agrees to include Manor Street / Stoneybatter / Smithfield area in the schedule of Local Area Plans.

Motion 2064 Councillor(s) Cllr. Aine Clancy, Cllr. Emer Costello

That this Council agrees that the Navan Road area be granted Local Area status and a Local Area Plan developed for the area.

Motion 2065 Councillor(s) Cllr. Michael Conaghan

Inclusion of Ballyfermot Lower Environmental Scheme (BLES) in City Development Plan - 2011/2017

Chapter 4 Shaping the City

Section 4.0 Shaping the City

Motion 2066 Councillor(s) Cllr. Eoghan Murphy

That the 'Shaping the City' chapter (chapter 4) include a map(s) clearly indicating the inner city and outer city areas (as well as inner suburbs and outer suburbs, should these terms remain relevant).

Motion 2067 Councillor(s) Cllr. Eoghan Murphy

That written definitions of the different city areas (inner city, outer city etc) be included at the beginning of the 'Shaping the City' chapter (chapter 4); that these definitions accompany any new map(s) agreed for this chapter.

Motion 2068 Councillor(s) Cllr. Eoghan Murphy

That the definition of the Inner City be amended so that it does not reflect electoral division boundaries, but the physical reality of the area and City. The inner city boundary should follow the Canal to the Liffey, including Hanover Quay and Charlotte Quay, and not deviate irregularly as it does down Northumberland Road (and in to Ballsbridge), down Bath Avenue, Beach Road and in to the the Poolbeg Peninsula.

Motion 2069 Councillor(s) Cllr. Eoghan Murphy

That Map K be amended to reflect the new definition of 'inner city' and that all other relevant maps be amended in this way for the sake of consistency.

Motion 2070 Councillor(s) Cllr. Rebecca Moynihan

That the maps for the inner city in the development plan would be revised to include all area's between the two canals.

Motion 2071 Councillor(s) Cllr. Eoghan Murphy

That the definition of 'inner suburbs' either be refined and demarcated on a map, together with a definition/demarcation of outer suburbs for consistency, or that the term be deleted in its entirety from the plan.

Motion 2072 Councillor(s) Cllr. Eoghan Murphy

That Map K be amended so that the designated KDA of Poolbeg not include Beach Road, Sean Moore Park, the Irishtown Nature Park or the South Wall, and that the text of the plan be amended where necessary to reflect this.

Section 4.4.1.1 Approach to the Inner City (see glossary)

Motion 2073 Councillor(s) Cllr. Dermot Lacey, Cllr. Mary Freehill

This Council resolves that:

“It is an objective of Dublin City Council during the currency of this City Plan to initiate a programme which will comprehensively look at views, vistas and local landmark features with a view to preparing a list for protection which will be integrated with and compliment the building height policy in the city.”

Motion 2074 Councillor(s) Cllr. Julia Carmichael

This Council resolves that:

“It is an objective of Dublin City Council during the currency of this City Plan to initiate a programme which will comprehensively look at views, vistas and local landmark features with a view to preparing a list for protection which will be integrated with and compliment the building height policy in the city.”

Motion 2075 Councillor(s) Cllr. Declan Flanagan

This Council resolves that:

“It is an objective of Dublin City Council during the currency of this City Plan to initiate a programme which will comprehensively look at views, vistas and local landmark features with a view to preparing a list for protection which will be integrated with and compliment the building height policy in the city.”

Motion 2076 Councillor(s) Cllr. Catherine Noone

This Council resolves that:

“It is an objective of Dublin City Council during the currency of this City Plan to initiate a programme which will comprehensively look at views, vistas and local landmark features with a view to preparing a list for protection which will be integrated with and compliment the building height policy in the city.”

Motion 2077 Councillor(s) Cllr. Declan Flanagan

It is an objective of Dublin City Council during the currency of this City Plan to initiate a programme which will comprehensively look at views, vistas and local landmark features with a view to preparing a list for protection which will be integrated with and compliment the building height policy in the city.”

Motion 2078 Councillor(s) Cllr. Ray McAdam

This Council resolves to include the following in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017:

“It is an objective of Dublin City Council during the currency of this City Plan to initiate a programme which will comprehensively look at views, vistas and local landmark features with a view to preparing a list for protection which will be integrated with and compliment the building height policy in the city.”

Motion 2079 Councillor(s) Cllr. Ruairi McGinley

This Council resolves that:

“It is an objective of Dublin City Council during the currency of this City Plan to initiate a programme which will comprehensively look at views, vistas and local landmark features with a view to preparing a list for protection which will be integrated with and compliment the building height policy in the city.”

Motion 2080 Councillor(s) Cllr. Aine Clancy, Cllr. Emer Costello

This Council resolves that:

“It is an objective of Dublin City Council during the currency of this City Plan to initiate a programme which will comprehensively look at views, vistas and local landmark features with a view to preparing a list for protection which will be integrated with and compliment the building height policy in the city.”

Motion 2081 Councillor(s) Cllr. Aine Clancy, Cllr. Emer Costello

This Council resolves to include the following in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017:

“It is an objective of Dublin City Council during the currency of this City Plan to initiate a programme which will comprehensively look at views, vistas and local landmark features with a view to preparing a list for protection which will be integrated with and compliment the building height policy in the city.”

Motion 2082 Councillor(s) Cllr. Christy Burke

This Council resolves to include the following in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017:

“It is an objective of Dublin City Council during the currency of this City Plan to initiate a programme which will comprehensively look at views, vistas and local landmark features with a view to preparing a list for protection which will be integrated with and compliment the building height policy in the city.”

Motion 2083 Councillor(s) Cllr. Eoghan Murphy

This Council resolves that:

“It is an objective of Dublin City Council during the currency of this City Plan to initiate a programme which will comprehensively look at views, vistas and local landmark features with a view to preparing a list for protection which will be integrated with and compliment the building height policy in the city.”

Motion 2084 Councillor(s) Cllr. Kevin Humphreys

This Council resolves that:

“It is an objective of Dublin City Council during the currency of this City Plan to initiate a programme which will comprehensively look at views, vistas and local landmark features with a view to preparing a list for protection which will be integrated with and compliment the building height policy in the city.”

Motion 2085 Councillor(s) Cllr. Ruairi McGinley

This Council resolves that:

“It is an objective of Dublin City Council during the currency of this City Plan to initiate a programme which will comprehensively look at views, vistas and local landmark features with a view to preparing a list for protection which will be integrated with and compliment the building height policy in the city.”

Motion 2086 Councillor(s) Cllr. Mary O'Shea, Cllr. Ray McAdam

That the provisions of the Local Area Plans already adopted for the Phibsborough /Mountjoy Area and the Liberties Area are incorporated into this Development Plan. The policies and objectives contained in the said Local Area Plans have equal status with the policies and objectives of this Development Plan. In the event of conflict between the provisions of the said Local Area Plans and this Development Plan the provisions of the Local Area Plan shall prevail and take precedence over anything contained in this Development Plan.

Motion 2087 Councillor(s) Cllr. Aine Clancy, Cllr. Emer Costello

That policy SC07 be amended to read -

The provisions of the adopted Phibsborough / Mountjoy Local Area Plan (and the Liberties Local Area Plan) are incorporated into this Development Plan. The policies and objectives contained therein are therefore considered to be of equal importance to the policies and objectives of the Development Plan. In considering applications for permission the policies in the Local Area Plans including matters relating to height, density and site specific requirements shall be the relevant considerations. The general policies articulated in this Development Plan including matters related to building heights and densities and policies outlined for Key Developing Areas shall not take precedence over the policies in the Local Area Plans in the event that conflict arises.

Motion 2088 Councillor(s) Cllr. Nial Ring

The provisions of the adopted Phibsborough / Mountjoy Local Area Plan (and the Liberties Local Area Plan) are incorporated into this Development Plan. The policies and objectives contained therein are therefore considered to be of equal importance to the policies and objectives of the Development Plan. In considering applications for permission the policies in the Local Area Plans including matters relating to height, density and site specific requirements shall be the relevant considerations. The general policies articulated in this Development Plan including matters related to building heights and densities and policies outlined for Key Developing Areas shall not take precedence over the policies in the Local Area Plans in the event that conflict arises.

Motion 2089 Councillor(s) Cllr. Cieran Perry

That policy SC07 be amended to read:

The provisions of the adopted Phibsborough / Mountjoy Local Area Plan (and the Liberties Local Area Plan) are incorporated into this Development Plan. The policies and objectives contained therein are therefore considered to be of equal importance to the policies and objectives of the Development Plan. In considering applications for permission the policies in the Local Area Plans including matters relating to height, density and site specific requirements shall be the relevant considerations. The general policies articulated in this Development Plan including matters related to building heights and densities and policies outlined for Key Developing Areas shall not take precedence over the policies in the Local Area Plans in the event that conflict arises.

Motion 2090 Councillor(s) Cllr. Mary Fitzpatrick

That policy SC07 be amended to read "The provisions of the adopted Phibsborough / Mountjoy Local Area Plan (and the Liberties Local Area Plan) are incorporated into this Development Plan. The policies and objectives contained therein are therefore considered to be of equal importance to the policies and objectives of the Development Plan. In considering applications for permission the policies in the Local Area Plans including matters relating to height, density and site specific requirements shall be the relevant considerations. The general policies articulated in this Development Plan including matters related to building heights and densities and policies outlined for Key Developing Areas shall not take precedence over the policies in the Local Area Plans in the event that conflict arises.

Motion 2091 Councillor(s) Cllr. Oisín Quinn

Add the following words into policy SC3 on page 27:

"... develop a network of safe, clean, attractive pedestrian routes and lanes and dedicated safe-cycleways to make the city more coherent and navigable".

Motion 2092 Councillor(s) Cllr. Jim O'Callaghan

Section 4.4.1.1 Approach to the Inner City

This City Council resolves to amend Section 4.4.1.1 of the Draft Development Plan as follows:

The addition of the following as policies of Dublin City Council:

“SC5A To promote the reinstatement of the Georgian facade of the 16 Georgian houses on Lower Fitzwilliam Street which were demolished in 1965.”

“SC5B To protect the City’s built heritage in accordance with the Department of the Environment, Heritage and Local Government’s “Architectural Heritage Protection Guidelines for Planning Authorities”.”

Motion 2093 Councillor(s) Cllr. Rebecca Moynihan

This City Council agrees to insert as an objective into the development plan a complete review of derelict sites within the city which will map derelict or underused sites within the city and set out short term use of the land.

Section 4.4.2.1 Approach to the Inner Suburbs and Outer City

Motion 2094 Councillor(s) Cllr. Nial Ring

That the Development Plan formally recognises the status of East Wall as a Village.

Motion 2095 Councillor(s) Cllr. Nial Ring

That the Development Plan formally recognises the status of Ballybough as a Village.

Motion 2096 Councillor(s) Cllr. Rebecca Moynihan

That the development plan inserts Rialto as a key village

Motion 2097 Councillor(s) Cllr. Eoghan Murphy

The Council resolves that SCO9 in the draft Dublin City Development Plan 2011-2017 be amended as follows:

Omit

It is an Objective of Dublin City Council:

SCO9 To prepare a number of Schematic Masterplans or Village Improvement Plans (VIPs) for existing and long-established District Centres (Urban Villages) in conjunction with the relevant Area Committees in so far as priorities and resources permit, including the following:

- Cabra
- Donnycarney
- Finglas
- Glasnevin
- Harolds Cross
- Marino
- Rathgar
- Terenure
- Bluebell
- Crumlin
- Walkinstown
- Ringsend

And replace it with the following

It is an objective of Dublin City Council:

SCO9 To prepare a number of Schematic Master plans or Village Improvement Plans (VIPs) for existing and long-established District Centres (Urban Villages) in conjunction with the relevant Area Committees. These will be prepared, at least at the rate of two per annum, over the period of the plan, and includes the following:

- Cabra
- Donnycarney
- Finglas
- Glasnevin
- Harolds Cross
- Marino
- Rathgar
- Terenure
- Bluebell
- Crumlin
- Walkinstown
- Ringsend

Motion 2098 Councillor(s) Deputy Lord Mayor, Cllr. Edie Wynne

That this Development Plan seeks to ensure that there will be specific support by Dublin City Council to the implementation during the period of the development plan for a series of schematic plans for the named Urban Villages including Rathgar.

Motion 2099 Councillor(s) Cllr. Eoghan Murphy

In relation to the proposed economic/innovation corridors, reference is made under 4.4.2.1 to “the spatial and land use implications of this strategy”. An explanation should be included outlining in detail what these implications are in the relevant areas of the City

Motion 2100 Councillor(s) Cllr. Eoghan Murphy

That the concept of ‘economic/innovation corridors’ be removed from the “suburban hierarchy” of key district, district and urban centres in section 4.4.2.1.

Section 4.4.3 Making a More Compact Sustainable City

Motion 2101 Councillor(s) Cllr. Henry Upton

To ask the manager in section SC13 to insert after existing text:

- The Planning Office of Dublin City Council will be required to ensure that any significant residential commercial schemes add to the community infrastructure and urban design. Planning Permission will be granted in cases of such developments only on such occasions where the development has proven the benefit it can add to the local community and this will be an explicit part of the conditions for the granting of planning permission.

Section 4.4.5 The Public Realm

Motion 2102 Councillor(s) Cllr. Oisín Quinn

Add the following words to SCO10 on page 34:

“ ... Particular attention will be paid to the public realm alongside the city rivers and canals so as to enhance access to these natural assets.”

Motion 2103 Councillor(s) Cllr. Dermot Lacey

To insert the following as an objective under 4.4.5 The Public Realm:

“ To examine the possibility of closing to motorised traffic the area along the River Liffey in front of The Custom House on Saturdays and Sundays” to create a new Public Plaza”

And

“To examine the possibility of and promote the creation of a new Public Realm Improvement space in the area fronting onto Trinity College and the Bank of Ireland at College Green”

Motion 2104 Councillor(s) Cllr. Henry Upton

To ask the manager to provide in SC21 more specific information which is needed on this point, considering how the Liffey Boardwalk open space has developed serious anti social behaviour issues. A positive approach is needed.

Motion 2105 Councillor(s) Cllr. Henry Upton

To ask the manager to provide Benches specifically need to be located in areas of high visibility and designed to withstand vandalism. In SC012 Whilst accepting the intentions of this objective, the provision of traditional street furniture could be of great benefit towards making Dublin a more liveable city, particularly for senior citizens.

Motion 2106 Councillor(s) Cllr. Eoghan Murphy

This Council resolves to omit the objective from Chapter 4, Shaping the City, of the Proposed Draft Development Plan 2011 – 2017:

SCO12 To carry out a review of existing street furniture and signage in streets with an objective to removing any superfluous or redundant elements in order to reduce street clutter.

And replace it with the policy:

SCO12 To actively ensure removal from streets of any superfluous or redundant street furniture and signage elements in order to reduce street clutter and ensure a high quality public realm.

Section 4.4.7 Pedestrian Wayfinding System

Motion 2107 Councillor(s) Cllr. Oisín Quinn

Include a map of all known public rights of way in the city.

Motion 2108 Councillor(s) Cllr. Henry Upton

SC25 This is an excellent idea which should be fast tracked by the City Council in order to improve the Tourist product and experience in the city. Prioritise areas of tourist importance.

Section 4.4.9 Urban Form and Architecture

Motion 2109 Councillor(s) Cllr. Henry Upton

To ask the manager that except in cases of outstanding architectural merit, new buildings should be highly sympathetic to the existing visual grain of their surrounding neighbourhood, particularly in the outer and inner suburbs.

Chapter 5 Connecting and Sustaining the City's Infrastructure

Section 5.1.4.2 Promoting Modal Change

Motion 2110 Councillor(s) Cllr. Eoghan Murphy

That objective SI02 (relating to car sharing initiatives) be amended so that opening phrase 'To investigate the feasibility of implementing' is replaced with "To implement...".

Section 5.1.4.3 Public Transport

Motion 2111 Councillor(s) Cllr. Oisín Quinn

Add a policy SI5 relating to Brighton Square and Brighton Road as follows:

"... It is the policy of Dublin City Council that any proposed Luas line be omitted from Brighton Road and Brighton Square Residential Conservation Area".

Motion 2112 Councillor(s) Cllr. Dermot Lacey

That in line with previous decisions of this Council it is agreed to insert the words "It is the policy of Dublin City Council that any proposed Luas line be omitted from Brighton Road and Brighton Square Residential Conservation Area" and that any words to the contrary to be removed from the final plan.

Motion 2113 Councillor(s) Deputy Lord Mayor, Cllr. Edie Wynne

It is the policy of Dublin City Council that any proposed LUAS line be omitted from Brighton Road and Brighton Square Residential Conservation Area.

Motion 2114 Councillor(s) Cllr. Eoghan Murphy

That policy SI5 (5.1.4.3) be reinstated in the plan: That any future proposed Luas Line in the vicinity of Brighton Road / Brighton Square Residential Conservation Areas, must have full regard to the conservation and amenity value of the Conservation Area and that this should be reflected in any Environmental Impact Statement.

Motion 2115 Councillor(s) Cllr. Jim O'Callaghan

Section 5.1.4.3 Public Transport

This City Council resolves to amend Policy SI5 of Section 5.1.4.3 of the Draft Development Plan as follows:

From:

"SI5 That any future proposed Luas line in the vicinity of Brighton Road/Brighton Square Residential Conservation Areas must have full regard to the conservation and amenity value of the conservation area and that this should be reflected in any environmental impact statement."

To:

"SI5 That any future proposed Luas line be omitted from Brighton Road and Brighton Square Residential Conservation Area."

Motion 2116 Councillor(s) Cllr. Mary O'Shea, Cllr. Ray McAdam

That a Policy be added to promote the development of Luas BXD in the vicinity of the Royal Canal and Broombridge in a manner which benefits its heritage and amenity value and will actively promote and encourage the maintenance and upkeep of properties including those in the ownership of the RPA and Iarnrod Eireann along the route. Such Policy to be enforced by recourse to all available legal remedies.

Motion 2117 Councillor(s) Cllr. Cieran Perry

To include a policy regarding BXD / Broombridge –

"that DCC will promote the development of LUAS BXD in the vicinity of the Royal Canal and Broombridge in a manner which befits its heritage and amenity value and will actively promote and encourage the maintenance of properties in the ownership of the RPA, Iarnrod Eireann and others. Use will be made of the Derelict Sites Act, including compulsory purchase where necessary"

Motion 2118 Councillor(s) Cllr. Aine Clancy, Cllr. Emer Costello

To include a policy regarding BXD / Broombridge –

that DCC will promote the development of LUAS BXD in the vicinity of the Royal Canal and Broombridge in a manner which befits its heritage and amenity value and will actively promote and encourage the maintenance of properties in the ownership of the RPA, Iarnrod Eireann and others. Use will be made of the Derelict Sites Act, including compulsory purchase where necessary.

Motion 2119 Councillor(s) Cllr. Mary Fitzpatrick

To include a policy regarding BXD / Broombridge , that DCC will promote the development of LUAS BXD in the vicinity of the Royal Canal and Broombridge in a manner which befits its heritage and amenity value and will actively promote and encourage the maintenance of properties in the ownership of the RPA, Iarnrod Eireann and others. Use will be made of the Derelict Sites Act,including compulsory purchase where necessary.

Motion 2120 Councillor(s) Cllr. Mary O'Shea, Cllr. Ray McAdam

That Map J be amended to omit the station at Liffey Junction and to include the proposed station at Cross Guns Bridge/Prospect Road

Motion 2121 Councillor(s) Cllr. Cieran Perry

That Map J be amended to omit the station at Liffey Junction, which is no longer proposed by the RPA, and include the proposed station at Cross Guns Bridge / Prospect Road which was provided for under the PMLAP

Motion 2122 Councillor(s) Cllr. Aine Clancy, Cllr. Emer Costello

That Map J be amended to omit the station at Liffey Junction , which is no longer proposed by the RPA, and include the proposed station at Cross Guns Bridge / Prospect Road which was provided for under the PMLAP.

Motion 2123 Councillor(s) Cllr. Mary Fitzpatrick

That Map J be amended to omit the station at Liffey Junction , which is no longer proposed by the RPA, and include the proposed station at Cross Guns Bridge / Prospect Road which was provided for under the PMLAP.

Motion 2124 Councillor(s) Cllr. Oisín Quinn

Add a new paragraph after paragraph 3 of section 5.1.4.3 Public Transport on page 44 as follows:

“ ... Dublin City Council considers that the elements of Transport 21 that relate to the city are critical infrastructure projects for the development of a sustainable city. The early delivery of these components of Transport 21 together with integrated ticketing is considered necessary to facilitate the full implementation of the Core Strategy. The Council will seek to influence all stakeholders to ensure the timely delivery of these Transport 21 projects.”

Section 5.1.4.4 Cycling

Motion 2125 Councillor(s) Cllr. Nial Ring

That the Development Plan includes the removal of the 30 kpm speed limit in the City Centre.

Motion 2126 Councillor(s) Cllr. Henry Upton

To ask the manager to support the modal shift towards cycling from the private car the edge of the carriageway is to be maintained to the same standard as the rest of the carriageway. Where a public utility or private contractor carries out alteration to the carriageway, the reinstatement of the carriageway is to be carried out to the satisfaction of the Dublin City cycle officer or another person delegated by his office.

Motion 2127 Councillor(s) Cllr. Henry Upton

To ask the manager after SIO19 insert a new objective:

To substantially increase the availability of cycle parking, particularly at public transport nodes, major and minor, and at retail and supermarkets throughout the City Council area.

Motion 2128 Councillor(s) Cllr. Andrew Montague

In relation to Section 5.1.4.4 Cycling:

That the manager raise the financial contribution in lieu of providing cycle parking spaces from €400 to €2000 euro, so as to provide an incentive to developers to provide parking facilities for cyclists. Without this increase in the contribution, many developers would find it cheaper to pay the €400 than provide the facilities.

Section 5.1.4.5 Walking

Motion 2129 Councillor(s) Cllr. Dermot Lacey

To seek to improve pedestrian routes through Trinity College Dublin as on objective under 5.1.4.5.

Motion 2130 Councillor(s) Cllr. Dermot Lacey

That the pedestrian routes from the City Centre to Croke Park and the Aviva Stadia be upgraded to major strategic pedestrian routes.

Motion 2131 Councillor(s) Cllr. Eoghan Murphy

That the following new sentence be added to SIO26 (5.1.4.5) "In particular, pedestrian crossing points on either side of the canal will be prioritised and put in place within a year of this plan being adopted."

Motion 2132 Councillor(s) Cllr. Eoghan Murphy

That a new objective be added under section 5.1.4.5 to extend the pedestrian zone in the Grafton area to include the creation of new pedestrian streets without compromising access to existing car parks in the city centre. Candidate streets for pedestrianisation would include but would not be limited to: Anne Street (South), Duke Street, Clarendon Street and South William Street.

Motion 2133 Councillor(s) Cllr. Henry Upton

To ask the manager after SIO27 insert a new objective:

That a comprehensive audit of the footpaths, initially in the City Centre, be carried out and a repairs be carried out to improve the quality of the streetscape.

Motion 2134 Councillor(s) Cllr. Rebecca Moynihan

That an objective of the development plan would be to develop a pedestrian only walkway between Grafton and O'Connell St.

Section 5.1.4.6 Mobility Management & Travel Planning

Motion 2135 Councillor(s) Cllr. Criona Ni Dhalaigh

That the council resolves in Section 5.1.4.6 to include park and walk facilities near our schools.

Section 5.1.4.7 Car Parking

Motion 2136 Councillor(s) Cllr. Eoghan Murphy

That the following objective related to the parking of buses be added after SIO32 (5.1.4.5): To identify new locations in the City Centre for the parking of private or tour operated coaches and to discontinue the practice of allowing coaches to park on Mountjoy Square, College Green/Nassau Street, Merrion Square and Wilton Terrace.

Motion 2137 Councillor(s) Cllr. Nial Ring

That the Development Plan acknowledges the importance of motor car traffic to the economy of the City.

Section 5.1.4.8 Road Capacity Improvements

Motion 2138 Councillor(s) Cllr. Emer Costello

That this council agrees to remove to Reilly's Bridge from the list at SIO34 (p. 50)and insert Reilly's Bridge under a new category of "underpass".

Section 5.1.4.9 Traffic Management

Motion 2139 Councillor(s) Cllr. Nial Ring

That the Development Plan includes the adjustment of the College Green Busgate to allow for one lane of motor car traffic at all times.

Motion 2140 Councillor(s) Cllr. Dermot Lacey

To add the following sentence to the Traffic Management Section – Policy SI19: “It shall be a specific objective of the City Council to develop an integrated and co-ordinated traffic management system and alliance with the Public Transport Services in the areas of the City with high visitor/audience facilities such as Croke Park, the RDS, the 02 and the Aviva Stadium.”

Motion 2141 Councillor(s) Cllr. Michael Conaghan

In the light of the absence of any proper traffic management in the west of the city – where two motorways ‘empty and fill’ on a daily basis, resulting in traffic chaos in Chapelizod, Ballyfermot, Inchicore and Bluebell – the Development Plan will contain a commitment to address these issues and bring relief to the communities mentioned above.

Motion 2142 Councillor(s) Cllr. Naoise O’Muirí

That the Council agrees that the wording of SI044 be amended to read as follows:

"To complete the implementation of the existing HGV strategy, to monitor its effectiveness and to build upon the opportunities presented in its implementation or expansion"

Motion 2143 Councillor(s) Cllr. Naoise O’Muirí

That the Council agrees to add the following SI objective to the plan under Section 5.1.4.7 - Traffic Management:

- To develop and implement a strategy for managing deliveries by commercial vehicles within the city centre core.

Section 5.1.4.10 Environmental And Road Safety Impacts Of Traffic

Motion 2144 Councillor(s) Cllr. Eoghan Murphy

That the following priority be introduced under SI045 in section 5.1.4.10: The piloting of a ‘homezone’ model around Sandymount Green in consultation with the members of the Steering Group for the Sandymount Village Design Statement. This model would prioritise pedestrians through the use of zebra crossings, ground marking to indicate the need for a reduction in vehicular speed, and other relevant marking on the road to indicate shared use.

Section 5.2.2 Challenges

Motion 2145 Councillor(s) Cllr. Oisín Quinn

Add the following sentence to the first paragraph of section 5.2.2 which deals with Challenges to the city water infrastructure:

“... The city needs to develop significant additional capacity for storing treated water to alleviate the real risks of water shortages occurring in the event of extreme weather or other mishap.”

Motion 2146 Councillor(s) Cllr. Oisín Quinn

Add the following words to the Manager's first recommendation on page 73 of his Report as follows:
"... This Project will be advanced urgently and the Manager will provide the City Council with a six monthly report on progress."

Section 5.2.4.1 Towards A Sustainable Dublin

Motion 2147 Councillor(s) Cllr. Henry Upton

To ask the manager that SIO50 should be considered a policy of the development plan and not an objective.

Section 5.2.4.2 Dublin City as a Leader on Climate Change

Motion 2148 Councillor(s) Cllr. Maria Parodi

This Council resolves to amend section **5.2.4.2 Dublin City as a Leader on Climate Change** of the Draft Dublin City Development Plan 2011-2017 as follows:

To include:

"It is an objective of Dublin City Council:

To prioritise the retrofitting and installation of Light-Emitting Diodes (LEDs) energy efficient lighting in all of the traffic lighting and street lighting throughout the City"

Section 5.2.4.3 Waste Management

Motion 2149 Councillor(s) Cllr. Eoghan Murphy

That the following objective be included in the plan under section 5.2.4.3 (pending the successful completion of the pilot project already agreed by the Environmental and Engineering SPC): To introduce free-standing solar compactor bins in the city centre and at certain strategic locations as one of the City's waste management priorities in the lifetime of this plan.

Motion 2150 Councillor(s) Cllr. Henry Upton

To ask the manager after SIO58 insert a new objective:

To increase the number of litter bins in the City, in particular in suburban areas where there are less bins at present.

Section 5.2.4.5 Natural Watercourses

Motion 2151 Councillor(s) Cllr. Vincent Jackson

The dublin city council include to recent submissions submitted by chapelzod residents asscation to seek the development of a full river authority for the river liffey valley / basin.

Section 5.2.4.7 Flood Management

Motion 2152 Councillor(s) Cllr. Dermot Lacey

To add the following words to SI47: The implementation of flood Risk defences should be carried out, insofar as possible, with reference to conserving and maximising existing riparian habitat and amenity".

Motion 2153 Councillor(s) Cllr. Henry Upton

To ask the manager after SI49 insert a new policy:

That planning permission will in general be refused for a densification of a site which has been identified as high risk for of coastal, pluvial or fluvial flooding, except in exceptional circumstances.

Section 5.2.4.8 Sustainable Urban Drainage Systems (SUDS)

Motion 2154 Councillor(s) Cllr. Cieran Perry

That the Dublin City Council Development Plan should state that any residential development over 4 units or any commercial/office development over 1,000 Metre sq. must incorporate a rain harvesting/water retention facility.

Motion 2155 Councillor(s) Cllr. Rebecca Moynihan

That building standards would make provision for sanitation systems in all new buildings to be designed and fitted in such a way as to allow the collection of rainwater and must include a mechanism to allow rainwater to be used to flush toilets.

Section 5.2.4.10 Noise Pollution

Motion 2156 Councillor(s) Cllr. Henry Upton

To ask the manager after SI51 insert a new policy:

The City Council will undertake to ensure that any future residential developments, particularly apartment developments, will conform to the highest levels of acoustic insulation, at a minimum BS 8233.1999-Sound Insulation and Noise Reduction- Code of Practice.

Section 5.2.4.12 Telecommunications

Motion 2157 Councillor(s) Cllr. Oisín Quinn

Replace SIO82 on accessible WIFI Zones on page 64 with the following:

“... To facilitate and encourage the development and roll-out of freely accessible quality WIFI zones that cover the entire city. Initially, this will be pursued by the mapping of existing WIFI zones and thereafter by all policy tools available to the Council including, where appropriate, consideration being given to the inclusion of conditions, requiring WIFI for the sustainable development of the site, as part of the grant of planning permissions for commercial developments, in particular in the inner core of the city”.

Chapter 6 Greening the City

Section 6.4 Policies and Objectives

Motion 2158 Councillor(s) Cllr. Dermot Lacey

This City Council agrees to reinstate, in full, the text of Policy R09 from the 2005-2011 Plan which sets out the policy for Linear Parks along the City rivers in a more comprehensive, acceptable and understandable manner.

Section 6.4.1 Green Infrastructure Network / Strategy

Motion 2159 Councillor(s) Cllr. Oisín Quinn

Add the following words to the Manager's recommended amended Objective GC05 on page 93 of the Manager's report to include the following sentence after the first sentence:
"... To achieve these linear parks the Manager will identify appropriate land zoned as open space and used as open space along these waterways and will initiate compulsory purchase procedures and actively liaise with the Department of Environment & Local Government to financially support this initiative."

Section 6.4.2 Landscape

Motion 2160 Councillor(s) Cllr. Dermot Lacey, Cllr. Mary Freehill

This Council resolves to include the following objective in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017:

"It is an objective of Dublin City Council to initiate the preparation of a Special Amenity Area Order for the Phoenix Park"

Motion 2161 Councillor(s) Cllr. Declan Flanagan

This Council resolves to include the following objective in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017:

"It is an objective of Dublin City Council to initiate the preparation of a Special Amenity Area Order for the Phoenix Park"

Motion 2162 Councillor(s) Cllr. Mary O'Shea

That the following objective be included:

"It is an objective of this City Council to initiate the preparation of a Special Amenity Area Order for the Phoenix Park"

Motion 2163 Councillor(s) Cllr. Criona Ni Dhalaigh

This Council resolves to include the following objective in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017:

"It is an objective of Dublin City Council to initiate the preparation of a Special Amenity Area Order for the Phoenix Park"

Motion 2164 Councillor(s) Cllr. Cieran Perry

This council resolves to include the following objective in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011-2017:

"It is an objective of Dublin City Council to initiate the preparation of a Special Amenity Area Order for the Phoenix Park".

Motion 2165 Councillor(s) Cllr. Ray McAdam

This Council resolves to include the following objective in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017:

"It is an objective of Dublin City Council to initiate the preparation of a Special Amenity Area Order for the Phoenix Park"

Motion 2166 Councillor(s) Cllr. Nial Ring

This Council resolves to include the following objective in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017:

“It is an objective of Dublin City Council to initiate the preparation of a Special Amenity Area Order for the Phoenix Park”

Motion 2167 Councillor(s) Cllr. Nial Ring

This Council resolves to include the following objective in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017:

“It is an objective of Dublin City Council to initiate the preparation of a Special Amenity Area Order for the Phoenix Park”

Motion 2168 Councillor(s) Cllr. Aine Clancy, Cllr. Emer Costello

This Council resolves to include the following objective in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017:

“It is an objective of Dublin City Council to initiate the preparation of a Special Amenity Area Order for the Phoenix Park”

Motion 2169 Councillor(s) Cllr. Mary Fitzpatrick

It is an objective of the Dublin City Development Plan to initiate the preparation of a Special Amenity Area Order for the Phoenix Park.

Motion 2170 Councillor(s) Cllr. Christy Burke

This Council resolves to include the following objective in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017:

“It is an objective of Dublin City Council to initiate the preparation of a Special Amenity Area Order for the Phoenix Park”.

Motion 2171 Councillor(s) Cllr. Eoghan Murphy

This Council resolves to include the following objective in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017:

“It is an objective of Dublin City Council to initiate the preparation of a Special Amenity Area Order for the Phoenix Park”

Motion 2172 Councillor(s) Cllr. Julia Carmichael

This Council resolves to include the following objective in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017:

“It is an objective of Dublin City Council to initiate the preparation of a Special Amenity Area Order for the Phoenix Park”

Motion 2173 Councillor(s) Cllr. Kevin Humphreys

This Council resolves to include the following objective in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017:

“It is an objective of Dublin City Council to initiate the preparation of a Special Amenity Area Order for the Phoenix Park”

Motion 2174 Councillor(s) Cllr. Ruairi McGinley

This Council resolves to include the following objective in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 - 2017:

"It is an objective of Dublin City Council to initiate the preparation of a Special Amenity Area Order for the Phoenix Park"

Motion 2175 Councillor(s) Cllr. Christy Burke

Protection of the Phoenix Park

This council resolves to include the following objective in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011-2017:

It is an objective of Dublin City Council to initiate the preparation of a Special Amenity Area Order for the Phoenix Park.

Motion 2176 Councillor(s) Cllr. Catherine Noone

This Council resolves to include the following objective in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017:

“It is an objective of Dublin City Council to initiate the preparation of a Special Amenity Area Order for the Phoenix Park”

Motion 2177 Councillor(s) Cllr. Dermot Lacey, Cllr. Mary Freehill

This Council resolves to include the following in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017:

“It is an objective of Dublin City Council to plant suitable trees along the streets of all new large scale urban developments as well as on existing city streets where appropriate.”

Motion 2178 Councillor(s) Cllr. Catherine Noone

This Council resolves to include the following in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017:

“It is an objective of Dublin City Council to plant suitable trees along the streets of all new large scale urban developments as well as on existing city streets where appropriate.”

Motion 2179 Councillor(s) Cllr. Declan Flanagan

This Council resolves to include the following in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017:

“It is an objective of Dublin City Council to plant suitable trees along the streets of all new large scale urban developments as well as on existing city streets where appropriate.”

Motion 2180 Councillor(s) Cllr. Ray McAdam

This Council resolves to include the following in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017:

“It is an objective of Dublin City Council to plant suitable trees along the streets of all new large scale urban developments as well as on existing city streets where appropriate.”

Motion 2181 Councillor(s) Cllr. Nial Ring

This Council resolves to include the following in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017:

“It is an objective of Dublin City Council to plant suitable trees along the streets of all new large scale urban developments as well as on existing city streets where appropriate.”

Motion 2182 Councillor(s) Cllr. Ruairi McGinley

This Council resolves to include the following in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 - 2017:

It is an objective of Dublin City Council to plant suitable trees along the streets of all new large scale urban developments as well as on existing city streets where appropriate.

Motion 2183 Councillor(s) Cllr. Aine Clancy, Cllr. Emer Costello

This Council resolves to include the following in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017:

“It is an objective of Dublin City Council to plant suitable trees along the streets of all new large scale urban developments as well as on existing city streets where appropriate.”

Motion 2184 Councillor(s) Cllr. John Gallagher

This Council resolves to include the following in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017:

“It is an objective of Dublin City Council to plant suitable trees along the streets of all new large scale urban developments as well as on existing city streets where appropriate.”

Motion 2185 Councillor(s) Cllr. Eoghan Murphy

This Council resolves to include the following in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017:

“It is an objective of Dublin City Council to plant suitable trees along the streets of all new large scale urban developments as well as on existing city streets where appropriate.”

Motion 2186 Councillor(s) Cllr. Julia Carmichael

This Council resolves to include the following in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017:

“It is an objective of Dublin City Council to plant suitable trees along the streets of all new large scale urban developments as well as on existing city streets where appropriate.”

Motion 2187 Councillor(s) Cllr. Ray McAdam

This Council resolves to include the following in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017:

“It is an objective of Dublin City Council to plant suitable trees along the streets of all new large scale urban developments as well as on existing city streets where appropriate.”

Motion 2188 Councillor(s) Cllr. Kevin Humphreys

This Council resolves to include the following in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017:

“It is an objective of Dublin City Council to plant suitable trees along the streets of all new large scale urban developments as well as on existing city streets where appropriate.”

Motion 2189 Councillor(s) Cllr. Ruairi McGinley

This Council resolves to include the following in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 - 2017:

"It is an objective of Dublin City Council to plant suitable trees along the streets of all new large scale urban developments as well as on existing city streets where appropriate."

Motion 2190 Councillor(s) Cllr. Eoghan Murphy

That a new objective be included under section 6.4.2:

To implement a programme for suitable tree planting along centre-road verges where there is already soft landscaping, in particular along the N11 route or “southern economic/innovation corridor” coming in to the City.

Motion 2191 Councillor(s) Cllr. Mary O'Shea, Cllr. Ray McAdam

That an objective be added to provide that the Royal Canal be designated as a Landscape Conservation Area.

Motion 2192 Councillor(s) Cllr. Cieran Perry

That the draft plan be amended to include designate the Royal Canal as a Landscape Conservation Area.

Motion 2193 Councillor(s) Cllr. Aine Clancy, Cllr. Emer Costello

That the draft plan be amended to include designate the Royal Canal as a Landscape Conservation Area.

Motion 2194 Councillor(s) Cllr. Mary Fitzpatrick

That the draft plan be amended to designate the Royal Canal as a Landscape Conservation Area.

Motion 2195 Councillor(s) Cllr. Jim O'Callaghan

This City Council resolves to amend section 6.4.2 and Policy GC10 of the Draft Development Plan as follows:

From

“GC10 To investigate key landscape areas with a view to determining their suitability for designation as landscape conservation areas.”

To:

“GC10 To investigate key landscape areas with a view to determining their suitability for designation as areas of special amenity or landscape conservation areas

Motion 2196 Councillor(s) Cllr. Jim O'Callaghan

This City Council resolves to amend Section 6.4.2 of the Draft Development Plan and objective GCO6 as follows:

From:

"GCO6 To investigate the following areas with a view to determining their suitability for designation as landscape conservation areas:

- (i) Phoenix Park;*
- (ii) North Bull Island;*
- (iii) The Botanic Gardens; and*
- (iv) St. Anne's Park."*

To:

"GCO6 To investigate the following areas with a view to determining their suitability for designation as areas of special amenity or landscape conservation areas:

- (i) Phoenix Park;*
- (ii) North Bull Island;*
- (iii) The Botanic Gardens;*
- (iv) St. Anne's Park;*
- (v) St. Stephen's Green, and*
- (vi) Archbishop Ryan Park."*

Motion 2197 Councillor(s) Cllr. Michael Conaghan

Special Amenity Area Order for River Liffey in Islandbridge/Chapelizod area; It is agreed by this Council that Objective GC08 (page 73) be amended as follows; "To seek the designation of the Liffey Valley from Islandbridge to the city boundary, of Sandymount and Merrion Strands, and of Irishtown Nature Park as Special Amenity Areas and to prepare Special Amenity Area Orders for each'

Section 6.4.3 Open Space

Motion 2198 Councillor(s) Cllr. Oisín Quinn

Add a policy after GC4 on page 71 as follows:

"... To include communal gardening and/or allotment space in public parks and public open spaces where appropriate."

Motion 2199 Councillor(s) Cllr. Oisín Quinn

Add a policy objective to section 6.4.3 of the plan as follows:

"... To provide for allotments and community gardens where appropriate as a regular feature of City Council parks and public open spaces".

Motion 2200 Councillor(s) Cllr. Oisín Quinn

Add a sentence to the last paragraph of section 6.4.1 on page 71 as follows:

"... The Council recognizes the benefits to the sustainability of the city and in terms of food security and to the quality of life of all residents of encouraging communal gardening and the provision of allotments, in particular for residents of apartments, townhouses or mews, and accordingly this will be promoted where appropriate in relation to parks and public open spaces in the city."

Motion 2201 Councillor(s) Cllr. Cieran Perry

That the Dublin City Development Plan should state that it is the intention of Dublin City Council to establish a mechanism whereby local Communities can access parts of undeveloped & unfinished sites, on short term leases, for the purpose of establishing Community Gardens and as a method of removing the unsightly nature of these sites.

Motion 2202 Councillor(s) Cllr. Oisín Quinn

Add the following sentence to objective GCO27 on page 78:

"... To facilitate the creation of viable public open spaces and playgrounds and play spaces, consideration will be given to the public open space elements of developments in close proximity to each other being pooled together on one site to enhance the gain to the existing and future residents."

Motion 2203 Councillor(s) Cllr. Dermot Lacey

To include the small site adjacent to the Pumping Station on Ailesbury Gardens, Dublin 4 in the sites to be examined for an upgrade in the context of Objective GC15 and to seek to enhance the planted green space fronting onto Ontario Terrace" which abuts a major traffic and strategic green network route..

Motion 2204 Councillor(s) Cllr. Criona Ni Dhalaigh

That the council resolves to include "mean while" use in their open space section 6.4.3

Motion 2205 Councillor(s) Cllr. Rebecca Moynihan

That an objective be included in the development plan to establish a Dublin City Farm within the lifetime of the plan

Section 6.4.4 Rivers, Canals, and the Coastline**Motion 2206 Councillor(s) Cllr. Dermot Lacey**

That the land area surrounding the Irishtown Nature Park be Zoned Z9 and included in the proposed Special Amenity Area GC08 with a view to future inclusion in an extension of the existing SPA as a wetland used by birds from the adjacent SPA.

Motion 2207 Councillor(s) Cllr. Dermot Lacey

This City Council agrees to reinstate in full the text of Policy R10 from the 2005-2011 Plan which sets out the policy for enhancing and protecting the City rivers in a more comprehensive, acceptable and understandable manner. (New Issue)

Motion 2208 Councillor(s) Cllr. Dermot Lacey

To add the following words to R07 " to establish where feasible, riparian Corridors, free from development, along all significant watercourses in the City and to restrict where possible the creation of canal type effects on watercourses in the City.

Motion 2209 Councillor(s) Cllr. Dermot Lacey

This City Council agrees to add to the relevant Objective "To ensure that lands adjoining the Dodder River and zoned z9 shall be the subject of a specific site objective for their incorporation into a linear park along the Dodder River and as part of the Dodder Linear Riverwalk".

Motion 2210 Councillor(s) Cllr. Dermot Lacey

To add the following words to GC038 “ To ensure that lands adjoining the River dodder and zoned Z9 shall be the subject of a specific site objective for their incorporation into a linear park along the Dodder River, and as part of the development of the Dodder Linear Riverwalk

Motion 2211 Councillor(s) Cllr. Dermot Lacey

To insert as an objective a Policy “It shall be the policy of Dublin City Council and an objective of this Development Plan to secure maximum public access and ownership of the walkway along the River Dodder within the City area and to maximise co-operation with the relevant adjoining Local Authorities towards preparing and then implementing a River access, Improvement plan and Environmental Management Plan for the entire length of the River.

Section 6.4.6 Biodiversity

Motion 2212 Councillor(s) Cllr. Dermot Lacey, Cllr. Mary Freehill

This Council resolves to include the following in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017:

“In the interest of the preservation of wildlife habitats and bio-diversity, the preservation of hedgerows and nature corridors on development sites will be required”

Motion 2213 Councillor(s) Cllr. Catherine Noone

This Council resolves to include the following in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017:

“In the interest of the preservation of wildlife habitats and bio-diversity, the preservation of hedgerows and nature corridors on development sites will be required”

Motion 2214 Councillor(s) Cllr. Declan Flanagan

This Council resolves to include the following in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017:

“In the interest of the preservation of wildlife habitats and bio-diversity, the preservation of hedgerows and nature corridors on development sites will be required”

Motion 2215 Councillor(s) Cllr. Ray McAdam

This Council resolves to include the following in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017:

“In the interest of the preservation of wildlife habitats and bio-diversity, the preservation of hedgerows and nature corridors on development sites will be required”

Motion 2216 Councillor(s) Cllr. Aine Clancy, Cllr. Emer Costello

This Council resolves to include the following in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017:

“In the interest of the preservation of wildlife habitats and bio-diversity, the preservation of hedgerows and nature corridors on development sites will be required”

Motion 2217 Councillor(s) Cllr. Christy Burke

This Council resolves to include the following in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017:

“In the interest of the preservation of wildlife habitats and bio-diversity, the preservation of hedgerows and nature corridors on development sites will be required”

Motion 2218 Councillor(s) Cllr. Eoghan Murphy

This Council resolves to include the following in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017:

“In the interest of the preservation of wildlife habitats and bio-diversity, the preservation of hedgerows and nature corridors on development sites will be required”

Motion 2219 Councillor(s) Cllr. Julia Carmichael

This Council resolves to include the following in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017:

“In the interest of the preservation of wildlife habitats and bio-diversity, the preservation of hedgerows and nature corridors on development sites will be required”

Section 6.4.7 Sport, Recreation and Play

Motion 2220 Councillor(s) Cllr. Henry Upton

To ask the manager after GC35 insert a new policy:

To support the introduction of adult amenities in parks such as table tennis tables and the extension of adult/green gyms.

Motion 2221 Councillor(s) Cllr. Mary Fitzpatrick

It is an objective of Dublin City Council to promote sporting activities in Dublin City. The Dublin City Development Plan 2011-2017 will undertake a survey of all sporting facilities in Dublin City and invite all current users and representative sporting organizations active in the city for a statement of their projected needs for the life of the plan and amend the plan to reflect those requirements.

Motion 2222 Councillor(s) Cllr. Michael Conaghan

This Council agrees to insert in the new Development Plan a Parks Management Structure so as to assist in promoting our parks as safe, pleasant, and enjoyable community venues as well as to live up to our Joint Policing responsibilities.

Motion 2223 Councillor(s) Cllr. Henry Upton

To ask the manager after GC032 insert a new objective:

That public parks lighting and trails will be upgraded to further promote the safe and continuous use of public parks as a location for joggers, walkers, cyclists etc.

Motion 2224 Councillor(s) Cllr. Dermot Lacey

To add Objective GC032A as follows to the City Development Plan: “to seek the transfer of the playing field at Cathal Bruagha Barracks from the Department of Defence to Dublin City Council”.

Section 6.4.8 Specific Objectives

Motion 2225 Councillor(s) Cllr. Cieran Perry

That policy GC033 be amended to include the extension of Mount Bernard Park and the immediate provision of a major playground at Mt Bernard Park as a specific objective.

Motion 2226 Councillor(s) Cllr. Aine Clancy, Cllr. Emer Costello

That policy GC033 be amended to include the extension of Mount Bernard Park and the immediate provision of a major playground at Mt Bernard Park as a specific objective.

Motion 2227 Councillor(s) Cllr. Mary Fitzpatrick

That policy GC033 be amended to include the extension of Mount Bernard Park and the immediate provision of a major playground at Mt Bernard Park as a specific objective.

Motion 2228 Councillor(s) Cllr. Oisín Quinn

Add the following Park to the list on page 79 in GCO33:
“... Mountpleasant Square Park – to include new playground”

Motion 2229 Councillor(s) Cllr. Eoghan Murphy

That the following parks be added to the list of parks needed for further improvement under objective GCO33 (section 6.4.8):

- Mountpleasant Square Park (to improve accessibility and investigate the possibility of a new playground)
- Ranelagh Gardens
- Belgrave Square (to improve the play area)

Motion 2230 Councillor(s) Cllr. Dermot Lacey

This City Council agrees to reinstate the words “to promote and actively pursue the development of a park in the area known as Scully’s Field” in Objective GC033.

Motion 2231 Councillor(s) Cllr. Henry Upton

To ask the manager after GC037 insert a new objective:

To develop all of the open spaces identified in the Liberties Local Area Plan so as to bring green space to this area which has long lacked such spaces and which will continue to be a key engine of growth in the City Centre as it expands Westwards.

Motion 2232 Councillor(s) Cllr. Dermot Lacey

To insert the word "actively" after the first word "To" in Objective GC039

Motion 2233 Councillor(s) Cllr. Oisín Quinn

Add the following objective to page 80:

“... GCO43 To seek to return Dartmouth Square to full public ownership and pending that to ensure that full public access is maintained and provided.”

Motion 2234 Councillor(s) Cllr. Michael Conaghan

It is agreed by Dublin City Council that the Development Plan will designate Lansdowne Valley as an ‘outdoor education resource’ in light of its varied habitats and potential for learning in a number of disciplines. To this end the Council will engage the interest and energy of the local communities, schools and in particular the educational expertise of the City of Dublin VEC.

Motion 2235 Councillor(s) Cllr. Michael Conaghan

The completion of the 'Lutyens Project' i.e. the construction of the bridge across the Liffey from Memorial Park to the Magazine Fort area of the Phoenix Park), in conjunction with OPW will be a clear objective of the new Development Plan. This project will be another key piece of cultural tourism infrastructure in the west of the city and enable the 'Military Mile' trail to be developed.

Chapter 7 Fostering Dublin's Character and Culture

Section 7.1.5.1 Leading the Cultural Development of Dublin City

Motion 2236 Councillor(s) Cllr. Oisín Quinn

Add the following objective to the list of objectives on page 88 of the draft Plan:

"... To promote and support a cultural, artistic and literary fortnight in the city on an annual basis to showcase the city's key galleries and cultural and literary assets and to promote the city as venue on an international scale for literary, cultural and artistic tourists."

Motion 2237 Councillor(s) Cllr. Michael Conaghan

Given the antiquity of handwriting as a medium of communication and reflecting on the accumulation of cultural, social and artistic values attaching to this medium, City council resolves that handwriting will remain an accepted and respected mode of communication between the councillors and Managers and Staff in perpetuity.

Section 7.1.5.2 Protecting and Enhancing Dublin City's Cultural Assets

Motion 2238 Councillor(s) Cllr. Dermot Lacey

To add the words "and in English" to the end of Objective FC07 and that Section 17.9.2 be also amended to reflect this.

Motion 2239 Councillor(s) Cllr. Criona Ni Dhalaigh

That the manager's recommendation on Policy FC07 and associated standard in Section 17.9.2 be rejected and that the last 2 sentences Section 17.9.3 remain.

Motion 2240 Councillor(s) Cllr. Nial Ring

That the Development Plan includes an undertaking that all signage be both in Irish and English (where applicable) and that the consistency of translation be checked throughout the city.

Motion 2241 Councillor(s) Cllr. Dermot Lacey

To add as Objective FCO5A "To seek to secure the reinstatement of the Concert Hall, in the Town Hall, Rathmines as a performance venue".

Motion 2242 Councillor(s) Cllr. Henry Upton

To ask the manager after FC8 insert a new policy:

The City Council will investigate the possibility of a Civic Theatre in the city centre, designed to accommodate a mixed programme of events and seating for an audience of c.450 people.

Motion 2243 Councillor(s) Cllr. Henry Upton

To ask the manager after FC14 insert a new policy:

The City Council will work to ensure that any temporarily vacant buildings are used for cultural, artistic or leisure activities.

Motion 2244 Councillor(s) Cllr. Rebecca Moynihan

That the development plan make provision for of combined artists living and working space within the city in the inner city.

Motion 2245 Councillor(s) Cllr. Rebecca Moynihan

Given the importance of nurturing cultural development and inclusion at a neighbourhood level, the development plan will include an objective to support locally-based cultural plans and initiatives such as the draft Rialto Arts Plan and proposed Community Arts Academy.

Section 7.1.5.3 Cultural Hubs and Quarters

Motion 2246 Councillor(s) Cllr. Oisín Quinn

Add the following objective after objective FCO13 on page 88:

“... To support the development of a cultural quarter at the top of Parnell Square using the Hugh Lane Gallery as an anchor and to enable and facilitate its expansion to showcase more of the city's extensive art collection and to provide a unique experience, balance and synergy with the emerging retail developments at the northern end of O'Connell Street so as to facilitate the consolidation of this part of the city as a vibrant and attractive go-to area in Dublin.”

Motion 2247 Councillor(s) Cllr. Michael Conaghan

Proposed new urban quarter in west of city.

Given that City Council has decided to vote to extend the Heuston Character Area to include all of the Kilmainham/Inchicore district it is now proposed that this Character Area be placed in a wider historical hinterland and thereby form a new Urban Quarter in the west of the city.

This new proposed urban quarter, occupying an ancient topographical entity at the apex of the Liffey/Camac basin would be edged to the east by the lands of the Royal Hospital Kilmainham, to the south west by Drimnagh Castle (the moat of which is watered by the Camac River) and edged to the north west by Chapelized Village located on a strategic crossing point of the river Liffey.

This new urban quarter would incorporate the city's largest and most diverse single cluster of archaeological, architectural, military, political, ecclesiastical, cultural and social attributes, events, experiences and occurrences. This quarter would hold many of the key sites of the city's industrial past. Uniquely in the city the best examples of the harnessing of water and steam to power the technologies of production are found here.....in varied tangible and traceable forms.

Should all of these 'presences' be properly presented, as is being proposed here, there is the potential to double the current cultural tourism visitor count of 100,100 per annum into part of this quarter as of now.

As well as having the potential to economically and socially regenerate a substantial portion of the west of the city the designation of a new Urban Quarter here would act as a balance to the large scale development in the east of the city and provide a boundary to the western edge of the 'compact city'.

Motion 2248 Councillor(s) Cllr. Rebecca Moynihan

That the development plan designate the Liberties/Temple Bar area as the key cultural/creative quarter of the city.

Section 7.2 Built Heritage

Motion 2249 Councillor(s) Cllr. Oisín Quinn

Add the Four Courts to the Main Cultural Attractions Map on page 82 in view of its historic importance to the city and the fact that it is open to the public as a living and functioning historic building.

Section 7.2.3 Challenges

Motion 2250 Councillor(s) Cllr. Oisín Quinn

Add the following sentence to the first paragraph in section 7.2.3 on page 91 which relates to challenges in the context of the City's built heritage as follows:

"... A further key challenge to the City's built heritage is to find ways to keep the buildings in active use. The City Council proposes to carry out a study using international best practise as a guide to formulate detailed guidelines to assist in furthering this important conservation objective."

Section 7.2.5 Policies and Objectives

Motion 2251 Councillor(s) Cllr. Dermot Lacey

It is the policy of Dublin City Council to have regard to 'Defining Dublin's Historic Core', a Report by Dublin Civic Trust and Dublin City Business Association, in considering future plans or development proposals for the historic core of the city" and to include this as a Policy item in the Development Plan.

Motion 2252 Councillor(s) Cllr. Mary O'Shea

That the following policy be included in the Development Plan:

"It is the policy of this City Council to have regard to Defining Dublin's Historic Core, a Report by Dublin Civic Trust and Dublin City Business Association ,in considering future plans or development proposals for the historic core of the City".

Motion 2253 Councillor(s) Cllr. Cieran Perry

That this Council agrees to the inclusion of the following wording in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011-2017:

"It is the policy of Dublin City Council to have regard to 'Defining Dublin's Historic Core', a Report by Dublin Civic Trust and Dublin City Business Association, in considering future plans or development proposals for the historic core of the city."

Section 7.2.5.1 Promoting Sustainable Development in Conservation

Motion 2254 Councillor(s) Cllr. Dermot Lacey, Cllr. Mary Freehill

This Council resolves to include the following in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017:

"It is the policy of Dublin City Council to continue to protect our built heritage (including 20th century architecture of merit) and development proposals affecting the built heritage will be assessed in accordance with the Department of the Environment, Heritage and Local Government "Architectural Heritage Protection Guidelines for Planning Authorities"

Motion 2255 Councillor(s) Cllr. Catherine Noone

This Council resolves to include the following in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017:

“It is the policy of Dublin City Council to continue to protect our built heritage (including 20th century architecture of merit) and development proposals affecting the built heritage will be assessed in accordance with the Department of the Environment, Heritage and Local Government “Architectural Heritage Protection Guidelines for Planning Authorities”

Motion 2256 Councillor(s) Cllr. Declan Flanagan

This Council resolves to include the following in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017:

“It is the policy of Dublin City Council to continue to protect our built heritage and development proposals affecting the built heritage will be assessed in accordance with the Department of the Environment, Heritage and Local Government “Architectural Heritage Protection Guidelines for Planning Authorities”

Motion 2257 Councillor(s) Cllr. Declan Flanagan

This Council resolves to include the following in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017:

“It is the policy of Dublin City Council to continue to protect our built heritage (including 20th century architecture of merit) and development proposals affecting the built heritage will be assessed in accordance with the Department of the Environment, Heritage and Local Government “Architectural Heritage Protection Guidelines for Planning Authorities”

Motion 2258 Councillor(s) Cllr. Ray McAdam

This Council resolves to include the following in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017:

“It is the policy of Dublin City Council to continue to protect our built heritage (including 20th century architecture of merit) and development proposals affecting the built heritage will be assessed in accordance with the Department of the Environment, Heritage and Local Government “Architectural Heritage Protection Guidelines for Planning Authorities

Motion 2259 Councillor(s) Cllr. Ruairi McGinley

This Council resolves to include the following in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 - 2017:

"It is the policy of Dublin City Council to continue to protect our built heritage (including 20th century architecture of merit) and development proposals affecting the built heritage will be assessed in accordance with the Department of the Environment, Heritage and Local Government "Architectural Heritage Protection Guidelines for Planning Authorities"

Motion 2260 Councillor(s) Cllr. Aine Clancy, Cllr. Emer Costello

This Council resolves to include the following in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017:

“It is the policy of Dublin City Council to continue to protect our built heritage (including 20th century architecture of merit) and development proposals affecting the built heritage will be assessed in accordance with the Department of the Environment, Heritage and Local Government “Architectural Heritage Protection Guidelines for Planning Authorities”

Motion 2261 Councillor(s) Cllr. Christy Burke

This Council resolves to include the following in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017:

“It is the policy of Dublin City Council to continue to protect our built heritage (including 20th century architecture of merit) and development proposals affecting the built heritage will be assessed in accordance with the Department of the Environment, Heritage and Local Government “Architectural Heritage Protection Guidelines for Planning Authorities”

Motion 2262 Councillor(s) Cllr. Eoghan Murphy

This Council resolves to include the following in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017:

“It is the policy of Dublin City Council to continue to protect our built heritage (including 20th century architecture of merit) and development proposals affecting the built heritage will be assessed in accordance with the Department of the Environment, Heritage and Local Government “Architectural Heritage Protection Guidelines for Planning Authorities”

Motion 2263 Councillor(s) Cllr. Kevin Humphreys

This Council resolves to include the following in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017:

“It is the policy of Dublin City Council to continue to protect our built heritage (including 20th century architecture of merit) and development proposals affecting the built heritage will be assessed in accordance with the Department of the Environment, Heritage and Local Government “Architectural Heritage Protection Guidelines for Planning Authorities”

Motion 2264 Councillor(s) Cllr. Ruairi McGinley

This Council resolves to include the following in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 - 2017:

"It is the policy of Dublin City Council to continue to protect our built heritage (including 20th century architecture of merit) and development proposals affecting the built heritage will be assessed in accordance with the Department of the Environment, Heritage and Local Government "Architectural Heritage Protection Guidelines for Planning Authorities"

Motion 2265 Councillor(s) Cllr. Julia Carmichael

This Council resolves to include the following in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017:

“It is the policy of Dublin City Council to continue to protect our built heritage (including 20th century architecture of merit) and development proposals affecting the built heritage will be assessed in accordance with the Department of the Environment, Heritage and Local Government “Architectural Heritage Protection Guidelines for Planning Authorities”

Section 7.2.5.2 Historic Buildings and Protected Structures

Motion 2266 Councillor(s) Cllr. Oisín Quinn

Add an objective to the objectives listed on page 94 of the Plan as follows:

“... To provide guidelines for owners of protected structures and buildings in Conservation Areas and within the Georgian Core as to uses and configurations likely to assist in the long-term sustainable use and conservation of those buildings.”

Motion 2267 Councillor(s) Cllr. Oisín Quinn

Add the following policy to section 7.2.5.2 of the plan:

“... The City Council shall during the first year of this Plan prepare a list of key buildings on the RPS which are at risk of deterioration due to their vacancy or otherwise and shall prioritise their protection by identifying in each case bespoke solutions. Owners who work with the City Council to ameliorate the situation will be offered incentives and receive assistance from the planning department, whereas owners who neglect their responsibilities shall be pursued vigorously by all lawful means including through the Derelict Sites Acts.”

Motion 2268 Councillor(s) Cllr. Oisín Quinn

This Council welcomes the recommendation of the Manager on page 120 to continue the protection for Bewley's Café on Grafton Street on page 120 of the Manager's report.

Motion 2269 Councillor(s) Cllr. Michael Conaghan

It should be an objective of the Dublin City Development Plan that the major public buildings of the city are maintained in appropriate or original uses. In the case of the GPO the most appropriate use of the building is a continuation of its original use as the General Post Office. It should be an objective of the Development Plan to explore ways in which the continued use of the GPO as the principal public post office in the state could be adapted and space allocated (e.g. courtyard space at rear of building) to take account of the enormous historical significance of the building in terms of adding an exhibition / museum function that would bolster and take advantage of the high visitor numbers.

Motion 2270 Councillor(s) Cllr. Henry Upton

To ask the manager after FC27 insert a new policy:

To ensure that any building which has been passed for inclusion onto the Record of Protected Structures by an Area Committee be brought to a vote at a full City Council meeting at the next available opportunity to ensure the process is completed.

Motion 2271 Councillor(s) Cllr. Henry Upton

To ask the manager after FC35 insert a new policy:

To ensure that any development in the area of a protected structure does not detract from the visual amenity of the protected structure.

Section 7.2.5.3 Conservation Areas

Motion 2272 Councillor(s) Cllr. Brid Smith, Cllr. Joan Collins

With reference to Submission Numbers 2274 and 2901 to the Draft Dublin City Development Plan 2011 to 2017 to request that Dublin City Council recognises the importance that Saint Agnes Church, Crumlin Village, and the adjacent historic buildings have for the region of the Village and that a report be made for the inclusion of the vicinity of Saint Agnes' Church, including the adjacent historic buildings, as an Architectural Conservation Area.

Motion 2273 Councillor(s) Cllr. Eoghan Murphy

That the original language in the draft plan under FCO27 (7.2.5.3) be retained and the Manager's recommendation to include the following change not be accepted: “will remove the protected structure status of such buildings”

Motion 2274 Councillor(s) Cllr. Eoghan Murphy

That a statement referring to the decision to designate Sandymount Village an Architectural Conservation Area and to undertake to complete such designation during the lifetime of the Plan be included under section 7.2.5.3, as well as in the relevant Annex to the plan.

Motion 2275 Councillor(s) Cllr. Eoghan Murphy

That reference be made under section 7.2.5.3 to the preparation of a Village Design Statement for Sandymount, which will inform an ACA for the area.

Section 7.2.5.6 Dublin City Heritage Plan

Motion 2276 Councillor(s) Cllr. Michael Conaghan

The fact that a remnant of the old Richmond Barracks (Inchicore) was the focus of such an important part of the immediate aftermath of the 1916 Rising it will be a policy of this Development Plan to initiate discussions to have part of this building become an adjunct of the Kilmainham Jail museum experience.

Motion 2277 Councillor(s) Cllr. Mannix Flynn

Given the iconic status and the cultural heritage identity of Moore Street on a local, National and International level this trading area synonymous with Dublin be given heritage Cultural Status and be afforded the protection of a significant national heritage site so as it may be preserved for future generations.

Motion 2278 Councillor(s) Cllr. Nial Ring

That the Development Plan include specific reference to the anniversary of the 1916 Rising and its occurrence during the timeframe of the plan and acknowledge its importance to the history of Dublin and Ireland.

Motion 2279 Councillor(s) Cllr. Nial Ring

That the Development Plan will include specific reference to the centenary of the 1916 Rising and state that it is a commitment of the Council to put in place a plan for the celebration of the centenary.

Motion 2280 Councillor(s) Cllr. Nial Ring

That the Development Plan will include a commitment that all buildings/areas associated with the 1916 Rising will be afforded special status to ensure that their role in the Rising is acknowledged and celebrated in the centenary year.

Motion 2281 Councillor(s) Cllr. Dermot Lacey

To add the following words to the end of the sentence at FC039 “ and in particular to seek to ensure that any development in the vicinity of numbers 14-17 Moore Street fully takes into account the obligations conferred on it as a designated Monument of National Importance”.

Motion 2282 Councillor(s) Cllr. Michael Conaghan

Dublin is now one of the few remaining cities without a museum service: accordingly the Development Plan will prioritise actions to address this deficit.

Motion 2283 Councillor(s) Cllr. Michael Conaghan

As part of an evolving museum service and library service the Development Plan will include as a clear objective the commencement of a major 'oral history' project. This project, to conserve/record, etc. urban lore and vernacular patterns of speech as well as the memory of skills, work experiences, cultural and social customs, etc., to be undertaken in liaison with the Dept. of Folklore (UCD) and the city's many local history and heritage groups.

Motion 2284 Councillor(s) Cllr. Michael Conaghan

In the pursuit of the objective of broadening, deepening and diversifying the city's 'cultural tourism' appeal this Development Plan will set as an objective the creation of a major 'Industrial Archaeology' project in the Inchicore / Kilmmainham area bearing in mind that the city's proud industrial archaeology is best represented in that part of the city. In the creation of such a project the City Council will seek funding from UNESCO and the EU.

Section 7.2.5.7 Preservation of Archaeologically Sensitive Locations

Motion 2285 Councillor(s) Cllr. Nial Ring

That the Development Plan includes a statement that it is the Council's stated objective to preserve all National Monuments in the City and that all available legal remedies will be used to ensure their preservation and enhancement.

Motion 2286 Councillor(s) Cllr. Nial Ring

That the Development Plan includes a list of all National Monuments in the city and their current state of repair.

Motion 2287 Councillor(s) Cllr. Dermot Lacey

To add the following words to the end of the sentence at FC044 "and to explore the possibility of bringing new use to these disused graveyards by the erection of Columbarium walls" in line with the Feasibility Study on same conducted by the Parks and Landscape Services of Dublin City Council in July 2009.

Motion 2288 Councillor(s) Cllr. Michael Conaghan

The ancient cemetery at Bluebell with its remnants of a late Medieval church will become a key conservation project of the new Development Plan.

Section 7.2.5.8 Industrial Heritage

Motion 2289 Councillor(s) Cllr. Dermot Lacey

To amend FC046 by inserting the words "and the Poolbeg Chimeys as important examples" between the words "Kilmmainham" and "of Dublin".

Chapter 8 Making Dublin the Heart of the City Region

Section 8.3 The Strategic Approach (See Fig. 15)

Motion 2290 Councillor(s) Cllr. Oisín Quinn

Remove the text after the second bullet-point in section 8.3 on page 104 of the plan (which refers to Dublin as polycentric city) and adding the following bullet points:

- branding and developing Dublin as a city with a high quality of life and superb cultural and recreational facilities.
- delivering on the key public transport initiatives.
- supporting emerging academic and research initiatives in the University and medical sectors.

Section 8.4.2 Branding the City-Region

Motion 2291 Councillor(s) Cllr. Oisín Quinn

Add an objective after HRO2 on page 105 as follows:

“... To develop a large scale interactive touchscreen digital map of the city in City Hall or at the Wood Quay venue in the Civic Offices allowing visitors to discover and explore the city through the map and to access historic images of events and places together with existing information about zonings and also images of potential future developments as contained in LAPs or village masterplans”

Section 8.4.4 Reinforcing the Primacy of the City

Motion 2292 Councillor(s) Cllr. Oisín Quinn

Add the following to Policy HR5:

“... The growth of the city core is, in general, the priority and should take precedence over growth in peripheral centres, particularly in light of the findings in the Lord Mayor’s Commission on Employment as to the strategies likely to succeed in regenerating the city and providing jobs and making use of the substantial number of sites underutilized, vacant or derelict in the city core.”

Motion 2293 Councillor(s) Cllr. Eoghan Murphy

That use of the word ‘polycentric’ and any reference to polycentrism be deleted from the plan as this concept directly contradicts the overriding objective of the plan, which is to promote Dublin City as the core centre of the region (or ‘heart’, as is the title of Chapter 8 – ‘Making Dublin the Heart of the Region’), above and not equal to the various towns and districts that satellite the city. That the reference to polycentric cities in Fig 16 (e.g. Sandyford) also be removed as this makes no sense.

Chapter 9 Revitalising the City's Economy

Section 9.0 Revitalising the City's Economy

Motion 2294 Councillor(s) Cllr. Oisín Quinn

Add ‘Academic Medical Centres’ to the list of clusters in the City Centre on the Map on page 109.

Motion 2295 Councillor(s) Cllr. Christy Burke

That local labour be employed in all developments in Dublin City and that developers should contact local employment services in an area in order to access personal.

Motion 2296 Councillor(s) Cllr. Nial Ring

That the Development Plan includes a Charter for Local employment for all developments.

Section 9.3 The Strategic Approach

Motion 2297 Councillor(s) Cllr. Oisín Quinn

After the third bullet point on the list in section 9.3 add the following bullet point:
“... Developing academic medical centres providing excellence in research, care and teaching in the medical and health sectors”

Section 9.4 Policies and Objectives

Motion 2298 Councillor(s) Cllr. Oisín Quinn

This Council supports the recommendation of the Manager to amend policy RE2 which notes that the city centre should be the core economic generator for the city.

Section 9.4.1 General

Motion 2299 Councillor(s) Cllr. Mary O'Shea

This Council agrees that appropriate references be included in the Plan to the National Assets Management Agency (“NAMA”) such that DCC will be obliged to work with NAMA in addressing social and development issues arising in relation to lands, future or present, controlled or owned by NAMA.

Motion 2300 Councillor(s) Cllr. Aine Clancy, Cllr. Emer Costello

This Council agrees that appropriate references be included in the Plan to the National Assets Management Agency (“NAMA”) such that DCC will be obliged to work with NAMA in addressing social and development issues arising in relation to lands, future or present, controlled or owned by NAMA.

Motion 2301 Councillor(s) Cllr. Mary Fitzpatrick

This Council agrees that appropriate references be included in the Plan to the National Assets Management Agency (“NAMA”) such that DCC will be obliged to work with NAMA in addressing social and development issues arising in relation to lands, future or present, controlled or owned by NAMA.

Motion 2302 Councillor(s) Cllr. Henry Upton

To ask the manager after RE5 insert a new policy:

Dublin City Council will institute a taskforce which is to report annually on how costs and services which Dublin City Council provides to businesses and schools can be kept to a minimum.

Section 9.4.2 Enterprise

Motion 2303 Councillor(s) Cllr. Mannix Flynn

Given the enormous potential of traders markets with regard to the recent surveys shown clearly that these market stalls are a significant contributor to national and local economy given the potential for creating employment, innovation and enterprise that Dublin City Council commit themselves to providing opportunities within the city for this form of trade and enterprise in order to combat unemployment and create innovation. These enterprises greatly enhance the well being of the entire community.

Motion 2304 Councillor(s) Cllr. Oisín Quinn

Add the following policy to Section 9.4.2 dealing with Enterprise, namely as follows:
“... To promote flexible buildings that facilitate work clusters and affordable work spaces that develop in proximity to each other so as to allow skilled self-employed workers operate independently and also in collaborations in the city and develop positive, productive synergies and efficiencies.”

Motion 2305 Councillor(s) Cllr. Eoghan Murphy

That the following paragraph be included in the opening of the Enterprise section (9.4.2): Social entrepreneurs and social enterprise have a major role to play in improving the economy and quality of life in the City. Social entrepreneurs are the high potential start-ups of the not for profit sector: they are creative, innovative and dynamic and provide rapid growth organisations and initiatives

Motion 2306 Councillor(s) Cllr. Eoghan Murphy

That the following policy be included in the Enterprise section (9.4.2): To promote and facilitate social enterprise and social entrepreneurial activity in the City with a view to realising the great potential of this sector to the success of the City. To have regard for the pending report from the Social Enterprise Task Force.

Motion 2307 Councillor(s) Cllr. Eoghan Murphy

That the following objective be included in the Enterprise section (9.4.2): To facilitate the establishment of a social enterprise hub in the City Centre, in conjunction with the relevant social entrepreneur umbrella organisations.

Section 9.4.3 Innovation

Motion 2308 Councillor(s) Cllr. Rebecca Moynihan

That the draft development plan deletes all references to the Creative Dublin Alliance.

Section 9.4.4 Clusters and Corridors

Motion 2309 Councillor(s) Cllr. Dermot Lacey, Cllr. Mary Freehill

This Council does not agree with the Manager's recommendation and resolves to:

"omit all references from the Draft Dublin City Development Plan 2011-2017 to the two 'Economic Corridors' or 'Innovation Corridors' running between Dublin Airport and UCD and referred to as the 'Metro North Economic Corridor' or 'Innovation Corridor' and the 'Southern Economic Corridor' or 'Innovation Corridor'".

Motion 2310 Councillor(s) Cllr. Catherine Noone

This Council does not agree with the Manager's recommendation and resolves to:

"omit all references from the Draft Dublin City Development Plan 2011-2017 to the two 'Economic Corridors' or 'Innovation Corridors' running between Dublin Airport and UCD and referred to as the 'Metro North Economic Corridor' or 'Innovation Corridor' and the 'Southern Economic Corridor' or 'Innovation Corridor'".

Motion 2311 Councillor(s) Cllr. Declan Flanagan

This Council does not agree with the Manager's recommendation and resolves to:

"omit all references from the Draft Dublin City Development Plan 2011-2017 to the two 'Economic Corridors' or 'Innovation Corridors' running between Dublin Airport and UCD and referred to as the 'Metro North Economic Corridor' or 'Innovation Corridor' and the 'Southern Economic Corridor' or 'Innovation Corridor'".

Motion 2312 Councillor(s) Cllr. Ray McAdam

This Council does not agree with the Manager's recommendation and resolves to:

"omit all references from the Draft Dublin City Development Plan 2011-2017 to the two 'Economic Corridors' or 'Innovation Corridors' running between Dublin Airport and UCD and referred to as the 'Metro North Economic Corridor' or 'Innovation Corridor' and the 'Southern Economic Corridor' or 'Innovation Corridor'".

Motion 2313 Councillor(s) Cllr. Christy Burke

This Council does not agree with the Manager's recommendation and resolves to:

"omit all references from the Draft Dublin City Development Plan 2011-2017 to the two 'Economic Corridors' or 'Innovation Corridors' running between Dublin Airport and UCD and referred to as the 'Metro North Economic Corridor' or 'Innovation Corridor' and the 'Southern Economic Corridor' or 'Innovation Corridor'".

Motion 2314 Councillor(s) Cllr. Eoghan Murphy

This Council does not agree with the Manager's recommendation and resolves to:

"omit all references from the Draft Dublin City Development Plan 2011-2017 to the two 'Economic Corridors' or 'Innovation Corridors' running between Dublin Airport and UCD and referred to as the 'Metro North Economic Corridor' or 'Innovation Corridor' and the 'Southern Economic Corridor' or 'Innovation Corridor'".

Motion 2315 Councillor(s) Cllr. Julia Carmichael

This Council does not agree with the Manager's recommendation and resolves to:

"omit all references from the Draft Dublin City Development Plan 2011-2017 to the two 'Economic Corridors' or 'Innovation Corridors' running between Dublin Airport and UCD and referred to as the 'Metro North Economic Corridor' or 'Innovation Corridor' and the 'Southern Economic Corridor' or 'Innovation Corridor'".

Motion 2316 Councillor(s) Cllr. Dermot Lacey

For the benefit of clarity to remove all references to "Economic Corridors" and "Innovation Corridors".

Motion 2317 Councillor(s) Cllr. Eoghan Murphy

That the concept of 'innovation corridors' be removed from the plan in totality as they are meaningless, but also contrary to the need to consolidate resources within the City, to cluster like-minded industries and to plan the City in a more sustainable manner – objectives that are espoused throughout the plan as being integral to good planning.

Motion 2318 Councillor(s) Cllr. Rebecca Moynihan

That a criteria for an innovation corridor, as recommended in the managers report, is developed and included in the final development plan.

Motion 2319 Councillor(s) Cllr. Dermot Lacey

To add the following words to end of RE18 " and to specifically conduct an audit of all Brownfield sites within the City towards utilising those lands in the best long term interests of the City".

Motion 2320 Councillor(s) Cllr. Paul McAuliffe

Where there are written or graphic references to innovation corridors amend the Manager's report and the development plan replacing the word Ballymun with the words "the Ballymun / Finglas area":

- to ensure a balanced spread of innovation activity across the north west administrative area.
- to provide a seamless link between the northern innovation corridor and the innovation activity across the county boundary in areas such as Damastown and Ballycoolin.
- To ensure the existing industrial and business parks in the Finglas area can attract secure high quality jobs and are not adversely effected by artificial administrative boundaries.
- To capitalise on the research and innovation taking place at the National Orthopaedic Hospital, Cappagh.

Section 9.4.5 Office / Commercial / Employment Space

Motion 2321 Councillor(s) Cllr. Henry Upton

To ask the manager after RE23 insert a new policy:

To ensure that whilst a long term approach to the City's need for office space, the current needs and vacancy rate should be equally important in determining if there is a need for additional office space in the local area or indeed the wider city.

Section 9.4.6 Economic Area Regeneration

Motion 2322 Councillor(s) Lord Mayor, Cllr. Gerry Breen

That the draft plan incorporate a new section on vacant lands, sites and buildings to promote appropriate temporary uses and to emphasise the City Council's commitment in seeking appropriate interim solutions working in conjunction with land and property owners.

Section 9.4.7 Tourism: Visitors, International Education and Conventions

Motion 2323 Councillor(s) Cllr. Oisín Quinn

Add the National Gallery and the Hugh Lane Gallery to the list in policy RE28 on page 116.

Chapter 10 Strengthening the City as the National Retail Destination

Section 10.5.1 General Retail

Motion 2324 Councillor(s) Cllr. Jim O'Callaghan

This City Council resolves to amend Section 10.5.1 of the Draft Development Plan as follows:
The inclusion of:

"RD10 To seek to prohibit head shops and/or any other shops which, without licence, sell mind altering substances."

Motion 2325 Councillor(s) Cllr. Dermot Lacey

To add the words "and schools" after the words "residential areas" to RD9.

Motion 2326 Councillor(s) Cllr. Henry Upton

To ask the manager after RD9 insert a new policy:

To prohibit the further expansion of off licenses or part off licenses except in areas where a compelling case can be made. Any application for an off license should include a map of all the off licenses located within a 1km radius of proposed development.

Section 10.5.4 The Wider City

Motion 2327 Councillor(s) Cllr. Mary O'Shea

This Council agrees that policy RD17 (p. 125) in Part 10.5.4 entitled "The Wider City" be amended to read as follows-

"To ensure adequate and appropriate retail provision in the emerging or Key Developing Areas such as such Cherryorchard / Parkwest, North Fringe, Pelletstown and the Docklands."

Motion 2328 Councillor(s) Cllr. Cieran Perry

This Council agrees that policy RD17 (p. 125) in Part 10.5.4 entitled "The Wider City" be amended to read as follows:

"To ensure adequate and appropriate retail provision in the emerging or Key Developing Areas such as such Cherryorchard / Parkwest, North Fringe, Pelletstown and the Docklands."

Motion 2329 Councillor(s) Cllr. Aine Clancy, Cllr. Emer Costello

This Council agrees that policy RD17 (p. 125) in Part 10.5.4 entitled "The Wider City" be amended to read as follows:

"To ensure adequate and appropriate retail provision in the emerging or Key Developing Areas such as such Cherry Orchard / Parkwest, North Fringe, Pelletstown and the Docklands."

Motion 2330 Councillor(s) Cllr. Mary Fitzpatrick

This Council agrees that policy RD17 (p. 125) in Part 10.5.4 entitled "The Wider City" be amended to read as follows "To ensure adequate and appropriate retail provision in the emerging or Key Developing Areas such as such Cherryorchard / Parkwest, North Fringe, Pelletstown and the Docklands."

Motion 2331 Councillor(s) Cllr. Michael Conaghan

The old main shopping area in Ballyfermot (between Kylemore Road and Le Fanu Road) by virtue of poor architectural design, etc. no longer represents the sense of pride local people have in their area; accordingly the Development Plan will contain, as an objective, the aim to replace these badly designed buildings with structures appropriate to modern shopping and shopping experience.

Chapter 11 Providing Quality Homes in a Compact City

Section 11.4.2 Sustainable Residential Areas

Motion 2332 Councillor(s) Cllr. Mary Freehill, Cllr. Eoghan Murphy

That policy QH7 under section 11.4.2 be deleted from the plan.

Section 11.4.4 Quality Housing for All

Motion 2333 Councillor(s) Cllr. Nial Ring

That the Development Plan includes a provision for all council developments to include Senior Citizens facilities.

Section 11.4.5 Good Property Management

Motion 2334 Councillor(s) Cllr. Mary O'Shea

This Council agrees that the relevant parts of the Plan should have regard to the imminent legislation in relation to multi-unit developments, including the Multi-Unit Developments Bill 2009.

Motion 2335 Councillor(s) Cllr. Aine Clancy, Cllr. Emer Costello

This Council agrees that the relevant parts of the Plan should have regard to the imminent legislation in relation to multi-unit developments, including the Multi-Unit Developments Bill 2009.

Motion 2336 Councillor(s) Cllr. Mary Fitzpatrick

This Council agrees that the relevant parts of the Plan should have regard to the imminent legislation in relation to multi-unit developments, including the Multi-Unit Developments Bill 2009.

Motion 2337 Councillor(s) Cllr. Cieran Perry

This Council agrees that the relevant parts of the Plan should have regard to the imminent legislation in relation to multi-unit developments, including the Multi-Unit Developments Bill 2009.

Section 11.4.9 Regeneration

Motion 2338 Councillor(s) Cllr. Michael Conaghan

The history of failed attempts to redevelop the area associated with St. Michael's Estate (Inchicore) now stands as a stark rebuke to City Council and the Dept. of the Environment. This Development Plan will contain a commitment to finally confront these failures.

Section 11.4.12 Homeless Services

Motion 2339 Councillor(s) Cllr. Aine Clancy, Cllr. Emer Costello

That this Council agrees to retain Policy QH29 as agreed in the Draft Development Plan to retain the requirement for a map / list of services within a 500m radius.

Chapter 12 Creating Good Neighbourhoods and Successful Communities

Section 12.0 Creating Good Neighbourhoods and Successful Communities

Motion 2340 Councillor(s) Cllr. Michael Conaghan

In accordance with its responsibilities in the new Joint Policing arrangements the Development Plan will contain, as a stated objective, the creation of a city and community warden service which will, among other responsibilities, enforce the Council's bye-laws, etc.

Section 12.2 Challenges

Motion 2341 Councillor(s) Cllr. Eoghan Murphy

That the word “higher” be deleted in the first line of the first paragraph of section 12.2 (Good Neighbourhoods – Challenges), as agreed by the Manager prior to publication of the draft development plan.

Section 12.4.4 Schools and Educational Facilities

Motion 2342 Councillor(s) Cllr. Michael Conaghan

Given the poverty of provision for schools to teach the arts elements – particularly of the primary school curriculum – the Development Plan will undertake as an objective of the City Council to work with the City of Dublin VEC whose aim it now is to create ‘Arts in Education Centres’ in the city. Such centres to be a resource for clusters of primary schools to draw specialist arts expertise and equipment from as well as functioning as supports for ‘Arts in Communities’ in a general way.

Motion 2343 Councillor(s) Cllr. Henry Upton

To ask the manager after NC8 insert a new policy:

To ensure that large developments make a contribution towards the schools in the local community, in view of the fact that a large development will inevitably lead to further pressure on the schools.

Motion 2344 Councillor(s) Cllr. Henry Upton

Pg201

To ask the manager if there is proposals in excess of **125** units must be accompanied by an assessment of the capacity of local schools to accommodate the proposed development **and what specific measures the developer intends to take to supports the schools in the area** in accordance with the above guidelines and the Code of Practice on the Provision of Schools and the Planning System, July 2008.

Section 12.4.6 Social Audits and the Provision of Social Infrastructure

Motion 2345 Councillor(s) Cllr. Oisín Quinn

Add the following to policy NC17 on page 143 so that it reads as follows:
“To enhance and improve the provision of playgrounds, play spaces, playing pitches (including relaying such pitches using modern methods to enhance drainage and playability in various weather conditions) and recreational spaces in residential areas ... (as before)”

Chapter 13 Implementation

Section 13.2 Monitoring

Motion 2346 Councillor(s) Cllr. Rebecca Moynihan

That the city manager will develop key progress indicators for development plan and report on an monthly basis to the city council on the progress achieved.

Section 13.3 Implementation Mechanisms

Motion 2347 Councillor(s) Cllr. Dermot Lacey

To add the following words to the end of the sentence in the first paragraph of 13.3 Implementation Measures “and to report on same on an annual basis to each Area Committee.”

Chapter 14 Development Management

Section 14.1 Interest in Property

Motion 2349 Councillor(s) Cllr. Dermot Lacey

This Council agrees that as part of the implementation of this City Development Plan the following paragraph be inserted in item 14.1 Chapter 14:

“On the receipt of a Planning Application, the relevant planner will visit the site with the applicant or a nominee of the applicant to identify, clearly and accurately all relevant features detailed in the application notice and drawings in the context of conditions existing on the site and surrounding the site, with reference to complying with all aspects of this Development Plan and the relevant planning legislation.”

Section 14.2 Compliance with Permissions Granted and Enforcement

Motion 2348 Councillor(s) Cllr. Dermot Lacey

To add the following sentence to the end of 14.2 “ Towards this end an appointed Planner will visit all sites, during construction, to ensure that works are being carried out consistent with the permission granted”

Motion 2350 Councillor(s) Cllr. Henry Upton

To ask the manager to insert in the section Development Management in section 14.2 after the words “will be taken” insert Dublin City Council will undertaken to speed up the enforcement process so as to provide a speedier response to citizens who highlight a potential enforcement issue.

Section 14.5 Bonds

Motion 2351 Councillor(s) Cllr. Oisín Quinn

Add the following words into the first sentence in section 14.5 dealing with Bonds in respect of certain developments:

“... To ensure the satisfactory completion of development, including the protection of trees and adjoining structures, on a site ...”

Section Other Issues

Motion 2352 Councillor(s) Cllr. Henry Upton

To ask the manager to insert a new Section 14.8

In cases where an illegal demolition or breach of planning permission has been highlighted by the Enforcement Section, Dublin City Council will undertake to pursue any legal actions through the Circuit Court at a minimum instead of the District Court. Any developer who has been found guilty of a breach of planning laws is to be precluded from applying for planning permission individually or as part of a company for a period of 5 years.

Motion 2353 Councillor(s) Cllr. Henry Upton

To ask the manager to insert a new Section 14.9

No Development Company or Developer who has not paid up their outstanding development levies will not granted planning permission on another site until such time as they have paid their outstanding levies in full.

Motion 2354 Councillor(s) Cllr. Henry Upton

To ask the manager to insert a new Section 14.10

It should be a requirement of all future developments in Dublin City that the external sites works, for example the lighting, footpaths etc., are completed before any of the houses or apartments are deemed habitable.

Chapter 15 Land Use Zoning

Section 15.1 Zoning Principles

Motion 2355 Councillor(s) Cllr. Henry Upton

Zoning Objective

A new land use zoning of Major Public Transport Corridor should be instituted. This will allow for greater density of development along these areas, which is welcome as they are destined to become ever more important modes of transport. It would also allow the introduction of a special additional levy on developments along the route which could be used to offset the cost of construction.

Section 15.2 Challenges

Motion 2356 Councillor(s) Cllr. Oisín Quinn

Amend the last bullet point on page 153 so it reads as follows: "Dublin City Council recognizes that certain public bodies, private owners and clubs, sports organizations, and educational and health institutions, provide important facilities for the city on their sites. Some of these are zoned Z9 for Open Space, some are zoned Z15 as Resource Lands and some have a combination of these two zonings. The continued provision of these facilities is essential for the economic, social and cultural health of the city, and it is the policy of Dublin City Council to co-operate with these bodies and institutions and, where applicable, their long-term funders who have made the continued existence of these facilities possible, in relation to the future planning and development of these sites so as to consolidate these uses in their present locations."

Motion 2357 Councillor(s) Cllr. Oisín Quinn

Add the following challenge after the first bullet point in the list of challenges on page 154 in section 15.2 of the plan:

" The need to ensure that there is an increase in the amount of land available as Resource Land in the city given the capacity for increased residential units in the city (67,000) and the fact that the vast preponderance of land zoned Z15 is currently actively in use and many of the facilities (in particular schools and hospitals) do not have the capacity to meet the needs of the existing residential population of the city."

Motion 2358 Councillor(s) Cllr. Oisín Quinn

Add the following words to the end of the second last bullet point in section 15.2 on page 154:

"... and IT, research, academic medical centres, and the provision of legal, insurance and financial services."

Section 15.7 Relaxation of Zoning Objectives for Protected Structures

Motion 2359 Councillor(s) Cllr. Mary Freehill

This Council resolves to remove in its 'Relaxation of Zoning Objectives for Protected structures' and to include in Ch. 7:

"Dublin City Council actively encourages uses, which are compatible with the character of protected structures."

Motion 2360 Councillor(s) Cllr. Catherine Noone

This Council resolves to remove in its entirety Par. 15.7 'Relaxation of Zoning Objectives for Protected structures' and to include in Ch. 7:

"Dublin City Council actively encourages uses, which are compatible with the character of protected structures."

Motion 2361 Councillor(s) Cllr. Eoghan Murphy

This Council resolves to remove in its entirety Par. 15.7 'Relaxation of Zoning Objectives for Protected structures' and to include in Ch. 7:

"Dublin City Council actively encourages uses, which are compatible with the character of protected structures."

Motion 2362 Councillor(s) Cllr. Mary Freehill

Relaxation of Zoning Objectives for Protected Structures
Page 156. Section 15.7 R

Alter to:

DCC actively encourages uses, which are compatible with the character of protected structures.

Remove:

In certain cases the Planning Authority may relax site zoning restrictions in order to secure the preservation and restoration of these buildings. These restrictions, including site development standards, may be relaxed if the protected structure is being restored to the highest standard, the special interest, character and setting of the building is protected and the use and development is consistent with conservation policies and the proper planning and sustainable development of the area.

It is agreed that this paragraph is removed because it has been used to grant unsuitable developments and set undesirable precedents in Z2 conservation areas.

Section 15.10.1 Sustainable Residential Neighbourhoods - Zone Z1

Motion 2363 Councillor(s) Cllr. Mary Freehill

This Council does not agree with the Manager's recommendation and resolves to amend the list of uses in the open for consideration category in zoning designation Z1 at Par. 15.10.1 of the Draft Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 to exclude the following uses:

"Part off-licence" "live-work units" "Embassy office".

Motion 2364 Councillor(s) Cllr. Declan Flanagan

This Council does not agree with the Manager's recommendation and resolves to amend the list of uses in the open for consideration category in zoning designation Z1 at Par. 15.10.1 of the Draft Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 to exclude the following uses:

"Part off-licence" "live-work units" "Embassy office".

Motion 2365 Councillor(s) Cllr. Ray McAdam

This Council does not agree with the Manager's recommendation and resolves to amend the list of uses in the open for consideration category in zoning designation Z1 at Par. 15.10.1 of the Draft Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 to exclude the following uses:

"Part off-licence" "live-work units" "Embassy office".

Motion 2366 Councillor(s) Cllr. Christy Burke

This Council does not agree with the Manager's recommendation and resolves to amend the list of uses in the open for consideration category in zoning designation Z1 at Par. 15.10.1 of the Draft Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 to exclude the following uses:

"Part off-licence" "live-work units" "Embassy office".

Motion 2367 Councillor(s) Cllr. Eoghan Murphy

This Council does not agree with the Manager's recommendation and resolves to amend the list of uses in the open for consideration category in zoning designation Z1 at Par. 15.10.1 of the Draft Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 to exclude the following uses:

"Part off-licence" "live-work units" "Embassy office".

Motion 2368 Councillor(s) Cllr. Julia Carmichael

This Council does not agree with the Manager's recommendation and resolves to amend the list of uses in the open for consideration category in zoning designation Z1 at Par. 15.10.1 of the Draft Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 to exclude the following uses:

"Part off-licence" "live-work units" "Embassy office".

Motion 2369 Councillor(s) Cllr. Catherine Noone

This Council does not agree with the Manager's recommendation and resolves to amend the list of uses in the open for consideration category in zoning designation Z1 at Par. 15.10.1 of the Draft Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 to exclude the following uses:

"Part off-licence" "live-work units" "Embassy office".

And resolves to amend the list of uses in the open for consideration category in zoning designation Z2 at Par. 15.10.2 to exclude the following uses:

"live-work units" "Embassy office".

Motion 2370 Councillor(s) Cllr. Dermot Lacey

To remove "Embassy Office" from the "open for consideration category in the Z1 zoning.

Motion 2371 Councillor(s) Cllr. Henry Upton

Zoning Objective Z1

Open for consideration uses-Remove "Part off licence".

Section 15.10.2 Residential Neighbourhoods (Conservation Areas) - Zone Z2

Motion 2372 Councillor(s) Cllr. Declan Flanagan

And resolves to amend the list of uses in the open for consideration category in zoning designation Z2 at Par. 15.10.2 to exclude the following uses:
“live-work units” “Embassy office”.

and:

“ to remove the word “*residential*” from “*Embassy residential*” in the permissible uses category of the Z2 zoning designation at Par.15.10.2”

Motion 2373 Councillor(s) Cllr. Mary Freehill

And resolves to amend the list of uses in the open for consideration category in zoning designation Z2 at Par. 15.10.2 to exclude the following uses:
“live-work units” “Embassy office”.

and:

“ to remove the word “*residential*” from “*Embassy residential*” in the permissible uses category of the Z2 zoning designation at Par.15.10.2”

Motion 2374 Councillor(s) Cllr. Ray McAdam

This Council resolves to amend the list of uses in the open for consideration category in zoning designation Z2 at Par. 15.10.2 to exclude the following uses:
“live-work units” “Embassy office”.

and:

“to remove the word “*residential*” from “*Embassy residential*” in the permissible uses category of the Z2 zoning designation at Par.15.10.2”

Motion 2375 Councillor(s) Cllr. Christy Burke

And resolves to amend the list of uses in the open for consideration category in zoning designation Z2 at Par. 15.10.2 to exclude the following uses:
“live-work units” “Embassy office”.

and:

“ to remove the word “*residential*” from “*Embassy residential*” in the permissible uses category of the Z2 zoning designation at Par.15.10.2”

Motion 2376 Councillor(s) Cllr. Eoghan Murphy

And resolves to amend the list of uses in the open for consideration category in zoning designation Z2 at Par. 15.10.2 to exclude the following uses:
“live-work units” “Embassy office”.

and:

“ to remove the word “*residential*” from “*Embassy residential*” in the permissible uses category of the Z2 zoning designation at Par.15.10.2”

Motion 2377 Councillor(s) Cllr. Julia Carmichael

This Council resolves to amend the list of uses in the open for consideration category in zoning designation Z2 at Par. 15.10.2 to exclude the following uses:

"live-work units" "Embassy office".

and:

" to remove the word "residential" from "Embassy residential" in the permissible uses category of the Z2 zoning designation at Par.15.10.2"

Motion 2378 Councillor(s) Cllr. Catherine Noone

" to remove the word "residential" from "Embassy residential" in the permissible uses category of the Z2 zoning designation at Par.15.10.2"

Motion 2379 Councillor(s) Cllr. Dermot Lacey

To remove "Embassy Office" from the "open for consideration" category in the Z2 zoning.

Section 15.10.3 Neighbourhood Centres - Zone Z3

Motion 2380 Councillor(s) Cllr. Dermot Lacey

To insert "Embassy Office" to the "open for consideration category in the Z3 zoning.

Section 15.10.4 District Centres - Zone Z4

Motion 2381 Councillor(s) Cllr. Mary Freehill

This Council resolves to amend Par. 15.10.4 of the Draft Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 as follows:

"Omit 'Office (max. 2,000sq.metres)' and insert 'Office (max.1,200sq.metres)'"

Motion 2382 Councillor(s) Cllr. Catherine Noone

This Council resolves to amend Par. 15.10.4 of the Draft Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 as follows:

"Omit 'Office (max. 2,000sq.metres)' and insert 'Office (max.1,200sq.metres)'"

Motion 2383 Councillor(s) Cllr. Declan Flanagan

This Council resolves to amend Par. 15.10.4 of the Draft Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 as follows:

"Omit 'Office (max. 2,000sq.metres)' and insert 'Office (max.1,200sq.metres)'"

Motion 2384 Councillor(s) Cllr. Ray McAdam

This Council resolves to amend Par. 15.10.4 of the Draft Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 as follows:

"Omit 'Office (max. 2,000sq.metres)' and insert 'Office (max.1,200sq.metres)'"

Motion 2385 Councillor(s) Cllr. Aine Clancy, Cllr. Emer Costello

This Council resolves to amend Par. 15.10.4 of the Draft Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 as follows:

“Omit ‘Office (max. 2,000sq.metres)’ and insert ‘Office (max.1,200sq.metres)’”

Motion 2386 Councillor(s) Cllr. Christy Burke

This Council resolves to amend Par. 15.10.4 of the Draft Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 as follows:

“Omit ‘Office (max. 2,000sq.metres)’ and insert ‘Office (max.1,200sq.metres)’”

Motion 2387 Councillor(s) Cllr. Eoghan Murphy

This Council resolves to amend Par. 15.10.4 of the Draft Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 as follows:

“Omit ‘Office (max. 2,000sq.metres)’ and insert ‘Office (max.1,200sq.metres)’”

Motion 2388 Councillor(s) Cllr. Julia Carmichael

This Council resolves to amend Par. 15.10.4 of the Draft Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 as follows:

“Omit ‘Office (max. 2,000sq.metres)’ and insert ‘Office (max.1,200sq.metres)’”

Motion 2389 Councillor(s) Cllr. Kevin Humphreys

This Council resolves to amend Par. 15.10.4 of the Draft Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 as follows:

“Omit ‘Office (max. 2,000sq.metres)’ and insert ‘Office (max.1,200sq.metres)’”

Motion 2390 Councillor(s) Cllr. Ruairi McGinley

This Council resolves to amend Par. 15.10.4 of the Draft Dublin City Development Plan 2011 - 2017 as follows:

"Omit 'Office (max. 2,000sq.metres)' and insert 'Office (max.1,200sq.metres)'"

Section 15.10.6 Employment/Enterprise Zones - Zone Z6

Motion 2391 Councillor(s) Cllr. Henry Upton

15.10.6 Employment/Enterprise Zones –Zone Z6 Uses

To ask the manager to ensure the maximum ratios with regards to the other acceptable uses in Z6 areas should be set out to inform all about the acceptable percentage of other uses (residential/ retail) on a Z6 site.

Section 15.10.7 Employment (Industry) - Zone Z7

Motion 2392 Councillor(s) Cllr. Maria Parodi

This Council resolves to amend section **15.10.7 Employment (Industry)-Zone 7** of the Draft Dublin City Development Plan 2011-2017 as follows:

To remove "Incinerator/Waste to Energy Plant" from the Permissible Uses of the Z7 Zoning Objective

Motion 2393 Councillor(s) Cllr. Maria Parodi

This Council resolves to amend section **15.10.7 Employment (Industry)-Zone 7** of the Draft Dublin City Development Plan 2011-2017 as follows:

To remove "Incinerator/Waste to Energy Plant" from the Permissible Uses of the Z7 Zoning Objective.

Section 15.10.9 Amenity / Open Space Lands / Green Network - Zone Z9

Motion 2394 Councillor(s) Cllr. Ray McAdam

This Council resolves to amend Par. 15.10.9 of the Draft Dublin City Development Plan 2011-2017 as follows:

Omit

"Specifically, residential development shall not be permitted on public or privately owned open space apart from limited once off development on lands accommodating private sports facilities as detailed below. In the case of sports facilities in private ownership, Dublin City Council recognises that a number of such facilities are under pressure to relocate so as to release resources for the maintenance and development of the club or sports facility. In highly exceptional circumstances, where it is considered to be required to secure, protect and consolidate the sporting and amenity nature of the lands and retain the facility in the local area, some limited degree of development may be permitted, on such sites on a once off basis and subject to the primary use of the site being retained for sporting/amenity use"

Motion 2395 Councillor(s) Cllr. John Gallagher

This Council resolves to amend Par. 15.10.9 of the Draft Dublin City Development Plan 2011-2017 as follows:

Omit

"Specifically, residential development shall not be permitted on public or privately owned open space apart from limited once off development on lands accommodating private sports facilities as detailed below. In the case of sports facilities in private ownership, Dublin City Council recognises that a number of such facilities are under pressure to relocate so as to release resources for the maintenance and development of the club or sports facility. In highly exceptional circumstances, where it is considered to be required to secure, protect and consolidate the sporting and amenity nature of the lands and retain the facility in the local area, some limited degree of development may be permitted, on such sites on a once off basis and subject to the primary use of the site being retained for sporting/amenity use"

Motion 2396 Councillor(s) Cllr. Christy Burke

This Council resolves to amend Par. 15.10.9 of the Draft Dublin City Development Plan 2011-2017 as follows:

Omit

“Specifically, residential development shall not be permitted on public or privately owned open space apart from limited once off development on lands accommodating private sports facilities as detailed below. In the case of sports facilities in private ownership, Dublin City Council recognises that a number of such facilities are under pressure to relocate so as to release resources for the maintenance and development of the club or sports facility. In highly exceptional circumstances, where it is considered to be required to secure, protect and consolidate the sporting and amenity nature of the lands and retain the facility in the local area, some limited degree of development may be permitted, on such sites on a once off basis and subject to the primary use of the site being retained for sporting/amenity use”

Motion 2397 Councillor(s) Cllr. Eoghan Murphy

This Council resolves to amend Par. 15.10.9 of the Draft Dublin City Development Plan 2011-2017 as follows:

Omit:

“Specifically, residential development shall not be permitted on public or privately owned open space apart from limited once off development on lands accommodating private sports facilities as detailed below. In the case of sports facilities in private ownership, Dublin City Council recognises that a number of such facilities are under pressure to relocate so as to release resources for the maintenance and development of the club or sports facility. In highly exceptional circumstances, where it is considered to be required to secure, protect and consolidate the sporting and amenity nature of the lands and retain the facility in the local area, some limited degree of development may be permitted, on such sites on a once off basis and subject to the primary use of the site being retained for sporting/amenity use”

Motion 2398 Councillor(s) Cllr. Ray McAdam

This Council resolves to amend Par. 15.10.9 of the Draft Dublin City Development Plan 2011-2017 as follows:

Omit

“Specifically, residential development shall not be permitted on public or privately owned open space apart from limited once off development on lands accommodating private sports facilities as detailed below. In the case of sports facilities in private ownership, Dublin City Council recognises that a number of such facilities are under pressure to relocate so as to release resources for the maintenance and development of the club or sports facility. In highly exceptional circumstances, where it is considered to be required to secure, protect and consolidate the sporting and amenity nature of the lands and retain the facility in the local area, some limited degree of development may be permitted, on such sites on a once off basis and subject to the primary use of the site being retained for sporting/amenity use”

Section 15.10.11 Outer Suburban (Sustainable Mix-Use) - Zone Z10B

Motion 2399 Councillor(s) Cllr. Henry Upton

15.10.11 Outer Suburban- Sustainable Mixed Use zone Z10B

To ask the manager that any future development of these sites needs to be highly sympathetic to the previous use of the site. In particular the removal of natural vegetation/ trees should be discouraged except where it is absolutely vital to any future development.

Section 15.10.13 Institutional Land (Future Development Potential) - Zone Z12

Motion 2400 Councillor(s) Cllr. Dermot Lacey, Cllr. Mary Freehill

This Council resolves to include the following relating to public open space on Z12 lands in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 at Par. 15.10.13:

“On Z12 lands the minimum 20% public open space shall not be split up into sections and shall be comprised of soft landscape suitable for relaxation and children’s play and sports facilities. The integrity of this space shall be a priority in the consideration of development of the site.”

Motion 2401 Councillor(s) Cllr. Catherine Noone

This Council resolves to include the following relating to public open space on Z12 lands in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 at Par. 15.10.13:

“On Z12 lands the minimum 20% public open space shall not be split up into sections and shall be comprised of soft landscape suitable for relaxation and children’s play. The integrity of this space shall be a priority in the consideration of development of the site.”

Motion 2402 Councillor(s) Cllr. Declan Flanagan

This Council resolves to include the following relating to public open space on Z12 lands in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 at Par. 15.10.13:

“On Z12 lands the minimum 20% public open space shall not be split up into sections and shall be comprised of soft landscape suitable for relaxation and children’s play. The integrity of this space shall be a priority in the consideration of development of the site.”

Motion 2403 Councillor(s) Cllr. Ray McAdam

This Council resolves to include the following relating to public open space on Z12 lands in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 at Par. 15.10.13:

“On Z12 lands the minimum 20% public open space shall not be split up into sections and shall be comprised of soft landscape suitable for relaxation and children’s play. The integrity of this space shall be a priority in the consideration of development of the site.”

Motion 2404 Councillor(s) Cllr. Nial Ring

This Council resolves to include the following relating to public open space on Z12 lands in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 at Par. 15.10.13:

“On Z12 lands the minimum 20% public open space shall not be split up into sections and shall be comprised of soft landscape suitable for relaxation and children’s play. The integrity of this space shall be a priority in the consideration of development of the site.”

Motion 2405 Councillor(s) Cllr. Aine Clancy, Cllr. Emer Costello

This Council resolves to include the following relating to public open space on Z12 lands in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 at Par. 15.10.13:

“On Z12 lands the minimum 20% public open space shall not be split up into sections and shall be comprised of soft landscape suitable for relaxation and children’s play. The integrity of this space shall be a priority in the consideration of development of the site.”

Motion 2406 Councillor(s) Cllr. Christy Burke

This Council resolves to include the following relating to public open space on Z12 lands in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 at Par. 15.10.13:

“On Z12 lands the minimum 20% public open space shall not be split up into sections and shall be comprised of soft landscape suitable for relaxation and children’s play. The integrity of this space shall be a priority in the consideration of development of the site.”

Motion 2407 Councillor(s) Cllr. Eoghan Murphy

This Council resolves to include the following relating to public open space on Z12 lands in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 at Par. 15.10.13:

“On Z12 lands the minimum 20% public open space shall not be split up into sections and shall be comprised of soft landscape suitable for relaxation and children’s play. The integrity of this space shall be a priority in the consideration of development of the site.”

Motion 2408 Councillor(s) Cllr. Julia Carmichael

This Council resolves to include the following relating to public open space on Z12 lands in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 at Par. 15.10.13:

“On Z12 lands the minimum 20% public open space shall not be split up into sections and shall be comprised of soft landscape suitable for relaxation and children’s play. The integrity of this space shall be a priority in the consideration of development of the site.”

Motion 2409 Councillor(s) Cllr. Catherine Noone

This Council resolves to include the following relating to public open space on Z12 lands in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 at Par. 15.10.13:

“In the event of the development of Z12 lands, in order to protect existing residential amenities, development around the perimeter of the site adjacent to existing residential development shall respect the prevailing height of the existing residential development and any development on the site shall avoid overshadowing or overlooking of adjoining residential properties.”

Motion 2410 Councillor(s) Cllr. Declan Flanagan

This Council resolves to include the following relating to public open space on Z12 lands in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 at Par. 15.10.13:

“In the event of the development of Z12 lands, in order to protect existing residential amenities, development around the perimeter of the site adjacent to existing residential development shall respect the prevailing height of the existing residential development and any development on the site shall avoid overshadowing or overlooking of adjoining residential properties.”

Motion 2411 Councillor(s) Cllr. Ray McAdam

This Council resolves to include the following relating to public open space on Z12 lands in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 at Par. 15.10.13:

“In the event of the development of Z12 lands, in order to protect existing residential amenities, development around the perimeter of the site adjacent to existing residential development shall respect the prevailing height of the existing residential development and any development on the site shall avoid overshadowing or overlooking of adjoining residential properties.”

Motion 2412 Councillor(s) Cllr. Nial Ring

This Council resolves to include the following relating to public open space on Z12 lands in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 at Par. 15.10.13:

“In the event of the development of Z12 lands, in order to protect existing residential amenities, development around the perimeter of the site adjacent to existing residential development shall respect the prevailing height of the existing residential development and any development on the site shall avoid overshadowing or overlooking of adjoining residential properties.”

Motion 2413 Councillor(s) Cllr. Aine Clancy, Cllr. Emer Costello

This Council resolves to include the following relating to public open space on Z12 lands in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 at Par. 15.10.13:

“In the event of the development of Z12 lands, in order to protect existing residential amenities, development around the perimeter of the site adjacent to existing residential development shall respect the prevailing height of the existing residential development and any development on the site shall avoid overshadowing or overlooking of adjoining residential properties.”

Motion 2414 Councillor(s) Cllr. Christy Burke

This Council resolves to include the following relating to public open space on Z12 lands in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 at Par. 15.10.13:

“In the event of the development of Z12 lands, in order to protect existing residential amenities, development around the perimeter of the site adjacent to existing residential development shall respect the prevailing height of the existing residential development and any development on the site shall avoid overshadowing or overlooking of adjoining residential properties.”

Motion 2415 Councillor(s) Cllr. Eoghan Murphy

This Council resolves to include the following relating to public open space on Z12 lands in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 at Par. 15.10.13:

“In the event of the development of Z12 lands, in order to protect existing residential amenities, development around the perimeter of the site adjacent to existing residential development shall respect the prevailing height of the existing residential development and any development on the site shall avoid overshadowing or overlooking of adjoining residential properties.”

Motion 2416 Councillor(s) Cllr. Julia Carmichael

This Council resolves to include the following relating to public open space on Z12 lands in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 at Par. 15.10.13:

“In the event of the development of Z12 lands, in order to protect existing residential amenities, development around the perimeter of the site adjacent to existing residential development shall respect the prevailing height of the existing residential development and any development on the site shall avoid overshadowing or overlooking of adjoining residential properties.”

Motion 2417 Councillor(s) Cllr. Declan Flanagan

This Council further resolves:

“to remove the ‘*part off-licence*’ from the open for consideration category of the Z12 zoning designation in Par. 15.10.13 of the Draft Dublin City Development Plan and not to include ‘*live-work units*’ under the permissible uses category of Z12 zoning designation as suggested in the Manager’s Report.”

Motion 2418 Councillor(s) Cllr. Mary Freehill

This Council further resolves:

“to remove the ‘*part off-licence*’ from the open for consideration category of the Z12 zoning designation in Par. 15.10.13 of the Draft Dublin City Development Plan and not to include ‘*live-work units*’ under the permissible uses category of Z12 zoning designation as suggested in the Manager’s Report.”

Motion 2419 Councillor(s) Cllr. Catherine Noone

This Council further resolves:

“to remove the ‘*part off-licence*’ from the open for consideration category of the Z12 zoning designation in Par. 15.10.13 of the Draft Dublin City Development Plan and not to include ‘*live-work units*’ under the permissible uses category of Z12 zoning designation as suggested in the Manager’s Report.”

Motion 2420 Councillor(s) Cllr. Ray McAdam

This Council further resolves:

“to remove the ‘*part off-licence*’ from the open for consideration category of the Z12 zoning designation in Par. 15.10.13 of the Draft Dublin City Development Plan and not to include ‘*live-work units*’ under the permissible uses category of Z12 zoning designation as suggested in the Manager’s Report.”

Motion 2421 Councillor(s) Cllr. Christy Burke

This Council further resolves:

“to remove the ‘*part off-licence*’ from the open for consideration category of the Z12 zoning designation in Par. 15.10.13 of the Draft Dublin City Development Plan and not to include ‘*live-work units*’ under the permissible uses category of Z12 zoning designation as suggested in the Manager’s Report.”

Motion 2422 Councillor(s) Cllr. Eoghan Murphy

This Council further resolves:

“to remove the ‘*part off-licence*’ from the open for consideration category of the Z12 zoning designation in Par. 15.10.13 of the Draft Dublin City Development Plan and not to include ‘*live-work units*’ under the permissible uses category of Z12 zoning designation as suggested in the Manager’s Report.”

Motion 2423 Councillor(s) Cllr. Julia Carmichael

This Council resolves:

“to remove the ‘*part off-licence*’ from the open for consideration category of the Z12 zoning designation in Par. 15.10.13 of the Draft Dublin City Development Plan and not to include ‘*live-work units*’ under the permissible uses category of Z12 zoning designation as suggested in the Manager’s Report.”

Motion 2424 Councillor(s) Cllr. Declan Flanagan

In short, we are asking that Councillors retain the Z12 wording in the current development plan (2005-2011) instead of the draft wording currently proposed by the Manager.

Motion 2425 Councillor(s) Cllr. Paddy Bourke, Cllr. Andrew Montague, Cllr. Declan Flanagan, Cllr. Julia Carmichael

That the manager revert to the Land Use Zoning Objective, the Zoning Objective, and permissible uses and Open for Consideration uses for Z12 that was in the 2005-2011 Development Plan.

Section 15.10.15 Resource Lands (Education, Recreation, Community and Health) - Zone Z15

Motion 2426 Councillor(s) Cllr. Dermot Lacey, Cllr. Mary Freehill

This Council resolves to include the following relating to public open space on Z15 lands in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 at Par. 15.10.15:

“On Z15 lands the minimum 25% public open space shall not be split up into sections and shall be comprised of soft landscape suitable for relaxation and children’s play or sports facilities. The integrity of this space shall be a priority in the consideration of development of the site.”

Motion 2427 Councillor(s) Cllr. Catherine Noone

This Council resolves to include the following relating to public open space on Z15 lands in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 at Par. 15.10.15:

“On Z15 lands the minimum 25% public open space shall not be split up into sections and shall be comprised of soft landscape suitable for relaxation and children’s play. The integrity of this space shall be a priority in the consideration of development of the site.”

Motion 2428 Councillor(s) Cllr. Declan Flanagan

This Council resolves to include the following relating to public open space on Z15 lands in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 at Par. 15.10.15:

“On Z15 lands the minimum 25% public open space shall not be split up into sections and shall be comprised of soft landscape suitable for relaxation and children’s play. The integrity of this space shall be a priority in the consideration of development of the site.”

Motion 2429 Councillor(s) Cllr. Ray McAdam

This Council resolves to include the following relating to public open space on Z15 lands in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 at Par. 15.10.15:

“On Z15 lands the minimum 25% public open space shall not be split up into sections and shall be comprised of soft landscape suitable for relaxation and children’s play. The integrity of this space shall be a priority in the consideration of development of the site.”

Motion 2430 Councillor(s) Cllr. Nial Ring

This Council resolves to include the following relating to public open space on Z15 lands in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 at Par. 15.10.15:

“On Z15 lands the minimum 25% public open space shall not be split up into sections and shall be comprised of soft landscape suitable for relaxation and children’s play. The integrity of this space shall be a priority in the consideration of development of the site.”

Motion 2431 Councillor(s) Cllr. Aine Clancy, Cllr. Emer Costello

This Council resolves to include the following relating to public open space on Z15 lands in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 at Par. 15.10.15:

“On Z15 lands the minimum 25% public open space shall not be split up into sections and shall be comprised of soft landscape suitable for relaxation and children’s play. The integrity of this space shall be a priority in the consideration of development of the site.”

Motion 2432 Councillor(s) Cllr. Christy Burke

This Council resolves to include the following relating to public open space on Z15 lands in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 at Par. 15.10.15:

“On Z15 lands the minimum 25% public open space shall not be split up into sections and shall be comprised of soft landscape suitable for relaxation and children’s play. The integrity of this space shall be a priority in the consideration of development of the site.”

Motion 2433 Councillor(s) Cllr. Eoghan Murphy

This Council resolves to include the following relating to public open space on Z15 lands in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 at Par. 15.10.15:

“On Z15 lands the minimum 25% public open space shall not be split up into sections and shall be comprised of soft landscape suitable for relaxation and children’s play. The integrity of this space shall be a priority in the consideration of development of the site.”

Motion 2434 Councillor(s) Cllr. Julia Carmichael

This Council resolves to include the following relating to public open space on Z15 lands in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 at Par. 15.10.15:

“On Z15 lands the minimum 25% public open space shall not be split up into sections and shall be comprised of soft landscape suitable for relaxation and children’s play. The integrity of this space shall be a priority in the consideration of development of the site.”

Motion 2435 Councillor(s) Cllr. Dermot Lacey, Cllr. Mary Freehill

This Council resolves to include the following relating to public open space on Z15 lands in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 at Par. 15.10.15:

“In the event of the development of Z15 lands, in order to protect existing residential amenities, development around the perimeter of the site adjacent to existing residential development shall respect the prevailing height of the existing residential development and any development on the site shall avoid overshadowing or overlooking of adjoining residential properties.”

Motion 2436 Councillor(s) Cllr. Catherine Noone

This Council resolves to include the following relating to public open space on Z12 lands in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 at Par. 15.10.15:

“In the event of the development of Z15 lands, in order to protect existing residential amenities, development around the perimeter of the site adjacent to existing residential development shall respect the prevailing height of the existing residential development and any development on the site shall avoid overshadowing or overlooking of adjoining residential properties.”

Motion 2437 Councillor(s) Cllr. Declan Flanagan

This Council resolves to include the following relating to public open space on Z12 lands in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 at Par. 15.10.15:

“In the event of the development of Z15 lands, in order to protect existing residential amenities, development around the perimeter of the site adjacent to existing residential development shall respect the prevailing height of the existing residential development and any development on the site shall avoid overshadowing or overlooking of adjoining residential properties.”

Motion 2438 Councillor(s) Cllr. Ray McAdam

This Council resolves to include the following relating to public open space on Z12 lands in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 at Par. 15.10.15:

“In the event of the development of Z15 lands, in order to protect existing residential amenities, development around the perimeter of the site adjacent to existing residential development shall respect the prevailing height of the existing residential development and any development on the site shall avoid overshadowing or overlooking of adjoining residential properties.”

Motion 2439 Councillor(s) Cllr. Aine Clancy, Cllr. Emer Costello

This Council resolves to include the following relating to public open space on Z12 lands in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 at Par. 15.10.15:

“In the event of the development of Z15 lands, in order to protect existing residential amenities, development around the perimeter of the site adjacent to existing residential development shall respect the prevailing height of the existing residential development and any development on the site shall avoid overshadowing or overlooking of adjoining residential properties.”

Motion 2440 Councillor(s) Cllr. Christy Burke

This Council resolves to include the following relating to public open space on Z12 lands in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 at Par. 15.10.15:

“In the event of the development of Z15 lands, in order to protect existing residential amenities, development around the perimeter of the site adjacent to existing residential development shall respect the prevailing height of the existing residential development and any development on the site shall avoid overshadowing or overlooking of adjoining residential properties.”

Motion 2441 Councillor(s) Cllr. Eoghan Murphy

This Council resolves to include the following relating to public open space on Z12 lands in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 at Par. 15.10.15:

“In the event of the development of Z15 lands, in order to protect existing residential amenities, development around the perimeter of the site adjacent to existing residential development shall respect the prevailing height of the existing residential development and any development on the site shall avoid overshadowing or overlooking of adjoining residential properties.”

Motion 2442 Councillor(s) Cllr. Julia Carmichael

This Council resolves to include the following relating to public open space on Z12 lands in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 at Par. 15.10.15:

“In the event of the development of Z15 lands, in order to protect existing residential amenities, development around the perimeter of the site adjacent to existing residential development shall respect the prevailing height of the existing residential development and any development on the site shall avoid overshadowing or overlooking of adjoining residential properties.”

Motion 2443 Councillor(s) Cllr. Christy Burke

This Council agrees to omit the word ‘Residential’ from the Z15 zoning objectives, under both permissible uses and open for consideration uses.

Motion 2444 Councillor(s) Cllr. Mary O'Shea

This Council agrees to omit the word "Residential" from the Z15 zoning objectives, under both permissible uses and open for consideration uses.

Motion 2445 Councillor(s) Cllr. Cieran Perry

This Council agrees to omit the word 'Residential' from the Z15 zoning objectives, under both permissible uses and open for consideration uses.

Motion 2446 Councillor(s) Cllr. Ray McAdam

This Council agrees to omit the word 'Residential' from the Z15 zoning objectives, under both permissible uses and open for consideration uses.

Motion 2447 Councillor(s) Cllr. Aine Clancy, Cllr. Emer Costello

This Council agrees to omit the word 'Residential' from the Z15 zoning objectives, under both permissible uses and open for consideration uses.

Motion 2448 Councillor(s) Cllr. Aine Clancy, Cllr. Emer Costello

This Council agrees to omit the word "Residential" from the Z15 zoning objectives, under both permissible uses and open for consideration uses.

Motion 2449 Councillor(s) Cllr. Mary Fitzpatrick

This Council agrees to omit the word "Residential" from the Z15 zoning objectives, under both permissible uses and open for consideration uses

Motion 2450 Councillor(s) Cllr. Oisín Quinn

Further amend the Manager's recommendation in relation to a new wording for the Z15 zoning as set out on in the Manager's report at page 207 as follows:

1. increase the percentage of the site required for public open space and /or community facilities in the Master plan Requirements section to 30%; and
2. Provide that the aforesaid requirement applies as a percentage of the entire site and not, for the avoidance of doubt, as a percentage of the portion of the site being proposed for development.

Motion 2451 Councillor(s) Cllr. Oisín Quinn

Further amend the new wording for the Z15 zoning as set out on in the Manager's report at page 207 to include the following:

Add the following sentence to the seventh paragraph: "It must be demonstrated that there is an adequate plan in place to secure the viability of the uses/facilities in place in these circumstances and that the proceeds and or gains from the once off development are for the purpose of the provision of the uses/facilities. In addition, the limited degree of development referred to above, should not compromise or undermine the provision of the uses/facilities or the capacity of the site to provide such facilities/uses in any significant way."

Motion 2452 Councillor(s) Cllr. Criona Ni Dhalaiigh

That the term "some limited degree" as stated in 15.10.15 Community and Institutional Resource Lands (Education, Recreation, Community, Green Infrastructure and Health) – Zone 15 be defined.

Motion 2453 Councillor(s) Cllr. Dermot Lacey, Cllr. Mary Freehill

This Council resolves to include the following in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017:

“Development of a piecemeal nature will not be permitted on extensive sites in Z12 or Z15 lands in the absence of a comprehensive, approved, statutory Local Area Plan”

Motion 2454 Councillor(s) Cllr. Catherine Noone

This Council resolves to include the following in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017:

“Development of a piecemeal nature will not be permitted on extensive sites in Z12 or Z15 lands in the absence of a comprehensive, approved, statutory Local Area Plan”

Motion 2455 Councillor(s) Cllr. Declan Flanagan

This Council resolves to include the following in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017:

“Development of a piecemeal nature will not be permitted on extensive sites in Z12 or Z15 lands in the absence of a comprehensive, approved, statutory Local Area Plan”

Motion 2456 Councillor(s) Cllr. Ray McAdam

This Council resolves to include the following in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017:

“Development of a piecemeal nature will not be permitted on extensive sites in Z12 or Z15 lands in the absence of a comprehensive, approved, statutory Local Area Plan”

Motion 2457 Councillor(s) Cllr. Aine Clancy, Cllr. Emer Costello

This Council resolves to include the following in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017:

“Development of a piecemeal nature will not be permitted on extensive sites in Z12 or Z15 lands in the absence of a comprehensive, approved, statutory Local Area Plan”

Motion 2458 Councillor(s) Cllr. Christy Burke

This Council resolves to include the following in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017:

“Development of a piecemeal nature will not be permitted on extensive sites in Z12 or Z15 lands in the absence of a comprehensive, approved, statutory Local Area Plan”

Motion 2459 Councillor(s) Cllr. Eoghan Murphy

This Council resolves to include the following in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017:

“Development of a piecemeal nature will not be permitted on extensive sites in Z12 or Z15 lands in the absence of a comprehensive, approved, statutory Local Area Plan”

Motion 2460 Councillor(s) Cllr. Julia Carmichael

This Council resolves to include the following in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017:

“Development of a piecemeal nature will not be permitted on extensive sites in Z12 or Z15 lands in the absence of a comprehensive, approved, statutory Local Area Plan”

Section Site Specific Zonings

Motion 2461 Councillor(s) Cllr. Mary Fitzpatrick

With Regards zoning proposals in the development plan, I propose the following for the Central Area:

Map a Ref 06, Old Finglas Road should retain Z15 zoning.

Motion 2462 Councillor(s) Cllr. Mary Fitzpatrick

With Regards zoning proposals in the development plan, I propose the following for the Central Area:

Map A Ref 08 Finglas Road Glasnevin should retain Z1 zoning

Motion 2463 Councillor(s) Cllr. Mary Fitzpatrick

With Regards zoning proposals in the development plan, I propose the following for the Central Area:

Map A Ref 09, Bannow Road, should retain Z10 zoning

Motion 2464 Councillor(s) Cllr. Pat Crimmins

The grounds of Ayrfield church on Blunden Drive the zoning to be left unchanged.

Motion 2465 Councillor(s) Cllr. Pat Crimmins

Area - The old St. Pauls Swimming Pool, in Sybil Hill, that there will be no change in the zoning of this area.

Motion 2466 Councillor(s) Cllr. Aodhan O'Riordain, Cllr. Sean Kenny

That the Manager's report be rejected and that the existing Z15 zoning be retained in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011-1017, for the former St. Paul's College Swimming Pool site Sybil Hill, referred to in submission number 2889, reference 13, Map: B

Motion 2467 Councillor(s) Cllr. Aodhan O'Riordain, Cllr. Sean Kenny

"That the Manager's report be rejected and that the existing Z 15 zoning be retained in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011-1017, for the former St. Paul's College Swimming Pool site Sybil Hill, referred to in submission number 2889, reference 13, Map: B,"

Motion 2468 Councillor(s) Cllr. Naoise O'Muiri

That this Council agrees that:

- the Manager's recommendation in relation to re-zoning lands at Sybil Hill (Map B, Reference #13) from Z15 to Z1 be rejected
- the zoning of these lands be retained at Z15.

Motion 2469 Councillor(s) Cllr. Cieran Perry

St. Laurence O'Toole Diocesan Trust has requested that Corpus Christi parochial house and grounds on Homefarm Road, Dublin 9 Map B be rezoned from Z15 to Z1. This site is adjacent to Corpus Christi Girls Primary School and the RPA has proposed to run the Metro North line underneath these grounds. Griffith Avenue and District Residents Association strongly opposes this request to rezone the site to Z1.

Motion 2470 Councillor(s) Cllr. Declan Flanagan

St. Laurence O’Toole Diocesan Trust has requested that Corpus Chrlisti parochial house and grounds on Homefarm Road, Dublin 9 Map B be rezoned from Z15 to Z1. This site is adjacent to Corpus Christi Girls Primary School and the RPA has proposed to run the Metro North line underneath these grounds. GADRA strongly opposes this request to rezone the site to Z1. We understand Michael Stubbs, Assistant City Manager stated earlier this year that there is already sufficient residential zoned land to meet demand. We urge Councillors to support the Manager’s recommendation that the Zoning Z15 be retained for the site in this case.

Motion 2471 Councillor(s) Cllr. Aine Clancy, Cllr. Emer Costello

That the Z15 zoning be retained for the Corpus Chrlisti parochial house and grounds on Homefarm Road, Dublin 9 (Map B).

Motion 2472 Councillor(s) Cllr. Julia Carmichael

Motion regarding St. Laurence O’Toole Diocesan Trust request that Corpus Christi parochial house and grounds on Homefarm Road, Dublin 9 Map B be rezoned from Z15 to Z1.

This site is adjacent to Corpus Christi Girls Primary School and the RPA has proposed to run the Metro North line underneath these grounds. That this site remains Z15 and not rezoned to Z1 due to the significant local amenity that it provides to the immediate environs and community. Given the current density within this area, it would be considered out of context with the local environs and amenities to develop this land in accordance with the criteria outlined under the Z1 category.

Motion 2473 Councillor(s) Cllr. Mary Fitzpatrick

With Regards zoning proposals in the development plan, I propose the following for the Central Area:

Map Ref:-B, Reference Number:- 19, Site Address:- Home Farm Road (church house and associated site), Submission Number:- 3187.This land should retain the Z15 zoning.

Motion 2474 Councillor(s) Cllr. Mary Fitzpatrick

With Regards zoning proposals in the development plan, I propose the following for the Central Area:

Map B Ref 20, Old Finglas Road, should retain Z15 zoning.

Motion 2475 Councillor(s) Cllr. Cieran Perry

Specific Objective in relation to lands zoned Z15 in Map B bordering Griffith Avenue, Eustace Family lands at Hillside Farm/Elmhurst Convalescent Home/Hampstead Hospital, The Rise and Courtlands Estate, Drumcondra, Dublin 9, site of proposed Metro North station.

“In order to protect and preserve residential and environmental amenities, any development adjacent to and adjoining residential lands not be greater than the prevailing height of the surrounding existing residential developments. In the event of development on this site zoned Z15, a Masterplan shall be prepared and agreed with Dublin City Council and local Residents’Associations. Any development on the site shall expressly prohibit overshadowing or overlooking of adjoining residential properties. Access to any development shall not pass through any existing residential development areas. All mature trees, hedgerows, planting, wildlife habitats, nature corridors, particularly those bordering adjoining lands shall be protected and preserved”

Motion 2476 Councillor(s) Cllr. Paddy Bourke, Cllr. Andrew Montague, Cllr. Declan Flanagan, Cllr. Julia Carmichael

That the manager insert the following specific zoning objective for the Hillside Farm, marked as number 8 on Map B of the Manager's first draft of the 2011-2017 Dublin City Development Plan:

In the event of the development of the lands identified as "Hillside Farm" and marked as number 8 on Map B of the Manager's first draft of the 2011-2017 Dublin City Development Plan, in order to protect existing residential amenities, development around the perimeter of the site adjacent to existing residential development shall not be greater than the prevailing height of the existing residential developments. In the event of development, a master plan shall be prepared and agreed with Dublin City Council and local residents associations. Any development on the site shall expressly prohibit overshadowing or overlooking of adjoining residential properties. Access to any development shall not pass through any existing residential areas. All trees and hedgerows particularly those bordering residential and amenity and open space lands shall be protected.

Motion 2477 Councillor(s) Cllr. Declan Flanagan

We are also asking for a specific objective to be attached to the "Hillside Farm" lands on Griffith Avenue. Specific Objective in relation to lands zoned Z15 in Map B bordering Griffith Avenue, Eustace Family lands at Hillside Farm/Elmhurst Convalescent Home/Hampstead Hospital, The Rise and Courtlands Estate, Drumcondra, Dublin 9, site of proposed Metro North station. "In order to protect and preserve residential and environmental amenities, any development adjacent to and adjoining residential lands not be greater than the prevailing height of the surrounding existing residential developments. In the event of development on this site zoned Z15, a Masterplan shall be prepared and agreed with Dublin City Council and local Residents' Associations. Any development on the site shall expressly prohibit overshadowing or overlooking of adjoining residential properties. Access to any development shall not pass through any existing residential development areas. All mature trees, hedgerows, planting, wildlife habitats, nature corridors, particularly those bordering adjoining lands shall be protected and preserved.

Motion 2478 Councillor(s) Cllr. Declan Flanagan

In the event of the development of the lands identified as "Hillside Farm" and marked as number 8 on Map B of the Manager's first draft of the 2011-2017 Dublin City Development Plan, in order to protect existing residential amenities, development around the perimeter of the site adjacent to existing residential development shall not be greater than the prevailing height of the existing residential developments. In the event of development, a master plan shall be prepared and agreed with Dublin City Council and local residents associations. Any development on the site shall expressly prohibit overshadowing or overlooking of adjoining residential properties. Access to any development shall not pass through any existing residential areas. All mature trees, bordering residential areas, should be protected

Motion 2479 Councillor(s) Cllr. Julia Carmichael

Motion regarding specific lands zoned Z15 in Map B bordering Griffith Avenue, Eustace Family lands at Hillside Farm/Elmhurst Convalescent Home/Hampstead Hospital, The Rise and Courtlands Estate, Drumcondra, Dublin 9, site of proposed Metro North station

"In order to protect and preserve residential and environmental amenities, any development adjacent to and adjoining residential lands not be greater than the prevailing height of the surrounding existing residential developments. In the event of development on this site zoned Z15, a Masterplan/Local Area Plan shall be prepared and agreed with Dublin City Council and local Residents' Associations. Any development on the site shall expressly prohibit overshadowing or overlooking of adjoining residential properties. Access to any development shall not pass through any existing residential development areas. All mature trees, hedgerows, planting, wildlife habitats, nature corridors, particularly those bordering adjoining lands shall be protected and preserved"

Motion 2480 Councillor(s) Cllr. Pat Crimmins

Request to rezone from Z9 to Z1 at Donaghmede Church on the Grange Road to be left unchanged.

Motion 2481 Councillor(s) Cllr. Vincent Jackson

That the large open space adjoining Ballyfermot civic centre be retained as open park-land & only permitted development be the proposed development of a civic / local park. Location Ballyfermot Road, Dublin 10 next to Ballyfermot civic centre.

Motion 2482 Councillor(s) Cllr. Vincent Jackson

That following the slow down in the construction industry & the availability of other more suitable lands that dublin city council return the zoning of the large open space fronting orchard lawns cherry orchard, cherry orchard ave, croftwood crescent & blackditch road to open space / area park, the only permitted development to be considered is a local supermarket fronting the school & church on cherry orchard ave to allow the development of a retail core.

Motion 2483 Councillor(s) Cllr. Mary Fitzpatrick

With Regards zoning proposals in the development plan, I propose the following for the Central Area:

Map E Ref 01, Navan Road, should retain Z15 zoning.

Motion 2484 Councillor(s) Cllr. Mary Fitzpatrick

With Regards zoning proposals in the development plan, I propose the following for the Central Area:

Map A Ref 10 Dominican Lands, Cabra, both pieces of land should retain Z15 zoning.

Motion 2485 Councillor(s) Cllr. Mary O'Shea

That Z15 zoning be retained over the entire of the site at Dominican Convent Cabra (that is both the area fronting Abbey Drive and the area fronting Ratoath Road) Map A10 refers.

Motion 2486 Councillor(s) Cllr. Cieran Perry

With regard to the Dominican Convent lands, Cabra (map E), we call upon Dublin City Council to rezone all lands within this site as Z15 – Community & Institutional Resource.

Motion 2487 Councillor(s) Cllr. Aine Clancy, Cllr. Emer Costello

That this Council reject the manager's proposal (page 248 manager's report Ref 02) to rezone part of the lands at Dominican Convent, Cabra from Z15 to Z1.

Motion 2488 Councillor(s) Cllr. Christy Burke

Riverston Abbey Rezoning

NRCC object to the rezoning proposed to the institutional lands encompassing the Dominican Convent on the Navan Road. We are particularly concerned at any additional traffic likely to be generated by development of the convent lands accessing the residential roads of the Riverston Abbey estate or seeking to exit onto the Navan Road via Abbey Drive, Ashington Avenue and Kinvara Avenue which is already heavily congested at peak hours. The City Manager's latest proposal contained in his report to Council on the public consultation process to rezone these lands for "low rise" residential development of "up to 25m" (8 stories) in height is particularly alarming and wholly incompatible with the proper planning and development of the area

Motion 2489 Councillor(s) Cllr. Mary Fitzpatrick

With Regards zoning proposals in the development plan, I propose the following for the Central Area:

Map E Ref 02, Dominican Lands, adjacent to Riverston Abbey should retain Z15 zoning.

Motion 2490 Councillor(s) Cllr. Cieran Perry

With regard to the Dominican Convent lands, Cabra (map E, ref No. 2), We call upon Dublin City Councillors to reject the Managers recommendations with regard to the proposed rezoning on the section of land fronting onto Abbey Drive to Z1. We do not wish to lose these institutional lands to residential development and we are concerned about the implications any future residential development would have upon existing householders as well as any additional traffic likely to be generated by development of the convent lands accessing the residential roads of the Riverstown Abbey estate or seeking to exit onto the Navan Road via Abbey Drive, Ashington Avenue and Kinvara Avenue which is already heavily congested at peak hours.

Motion 2491 Councillor(s) Cllr. Mary Fitzpatrick

With Regards zoning proposals in the development plan, I propose the following for the Central Area:

Map E Ref 03, Bannow Road, should retain Z10 zoning.

Motion 2492 Councillor(s) Cllr. Mary Fitzpatrick

With Regards zoning proposals in the development plan, I propose the following for the Central Area:

Map E Ref 03, Bannow Road, should retain Z10 zoning

Motion 2493 Councillor(s) Cllr. Aine Clancy, Cllr. Emer Costello

That this Council reject the manager's proposal to rezone lands to the rear of 57 Prussia Street from Z6 to Z4 and retain the current Z6 zoning.

Motion 2494 Councillor(s) Cllr. Ray McAdam

This Council rejects the recommendation in the Manager's Report at Ref. No. 07 Map E of the Draft Dublin City Development Plan 2011-2017 relating to the rezoning of Grangegorman from zoning designation Z12 to Z14 and resolves to retain the Z12 zoning designation of the Grangegorman lands.

Motion 2495 Councillor(s) Cllr. Christy Burke

This Council rejects the recommendation in the Manager's Report at Ref. No. 07 Map E of the Draft Dublin City Development Plan 2011-2017 relating to the rezoning of Grangegorman from zoning designation Z12 to Z14 and resolves to retain the Z12 zoning designation of the Grangegorman lands.

Motion 2496 Councillor(s) Cllr. Cieran Perry

That this Council agrees to support the rezoning of the lands to the north-west of Mount Bernard Park in Phibsboro between the park and the Royal Canal from Z1 {residential} to Z9 {amenity}, (Map Reference E; Reference Number 08). This will facilitate the extension of the Mount Bernard Park to the canal as per the approved Phibsboro Local Area Plan. This zoning amendment is in the manager's report and recommended by the City Manager to councillors and is based on submissions to the Draft Dublin City Development Plan 2011-2017.

Motion 2497 Councillor(s) Cllr. Aine Clancy, Cllr. Emer Costello

That this Council support the rezoning of the lands to the north-west of Mount Bernard Park in Phibsboro between the park and the Royal Canal from Z1 {residential} to Z9 {amenity}, (Map Reference E; Reference Number 08) which will facilitate the extension of the Mount Bernard Park to the canal as per the approved Phibsboro Local Area Plan.

Motion 2498 Councillor(s) Cllr. Mary Fitzpatrick

With Regards zoning proposals in the development plan, I propose the following for the Central Area:

Map E Ref 08, Mount Bernard Park, zoning should be changed to Z9.

Motion 2499 Councillor(s) Cllr. Cieran Perry

"The council resolves that the Draft Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 be amended to change the proposed zoning of the Hendron site, Upper Dominic Street, Dublin 7, from Z10A (To consolidate and facilitate the development of inner city and inner suburban sites for mixed-use development of which office, retail and residential would be the predominant uses.) to Z3 (To provide for and improve neighbourhood facilities.)"

Motion 2500 Councillor(s) Cllr. Ray McAdam

The council resolves that the Draft Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 be amended to change the proposed zoning of the Hendron site, Upper Dominic Street, Dublin 7, from Z10A (To consolidate and facilitate the development of inner city and inner suburban sites for mixed-use development of which office, retail and residential would be the predominant uses.) to Z3 (To provide for and improve neighbourhood facilities.)

Motion 2501 Councillor(s) Cllr. Aine Clancy, Cllr. Emer Costello

The council resolves that the Draft Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 be amended to change the proposed zoning of the Hendron site, Upper Dominic Street, Dublin 7, from Z10A (To consolidate and facilitate the development of inner city and inner suburban sites for mixed-use development of which office, retail and residential would be the predominant uses.) to Z3 (To provide for and improve neighbourhood facilities.)

Motion 2502 Councillor(s) Cllr. Christy Burke

The council resolves that the Draft Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 be amended to change the proposed zoning of the Hendron site, Upper Dominic Street, Dublin 7, from Z10A (To consolidate and facilitate the development of inner city and inner suburban sites for mixed-use development of which office, retail and residential would be the predominant uses.) to Z3 (To provide for and improve neighbourhood facilities.)”

Motion 2503 Councillor(s) Cllr. Aine Clancy, Cllr. Emer Costello

Reference Map E / 12

That this Council agrees to change the zoning of the area to the rear of Sean O’Casey Avenue in use as a Community Garden from Z1 to Z9

Motion 2504 Councillor(s) Cllr. Catherine Noone

Map Ref: E

Ref No: 36

To recommend to the City Manager to incorporate into the City Plan, an alteration in zoning from Z1 to Z6 to this site at Lad Lane/Wilton Terrace and to amend the relevant maps of the Draft City Development Plan and also amend the relevant written text (as appropriate) to reflect this amendment.

Motion 2505 Councillor(s) Cllr. Catherine Noone

Map Ref: E

Ref No: 37

Site Address: Garda Station, Harcourt Terrace

Submission Number(s): 3195

Summary of Issue: Re-zone from Z2 to Z6

That Dublin City Council recognise the anomalous long-standing commercial uses currently in place at Nos. 11A (Garda Station) and 12-16 Harcourt Terrace (former Film Censor’s Office) since the 1940s through the rezoning of the subject lands from Z2 to Z6 zoning objective under the Dublin City Development Plan 2011-2017.

Motion 2506 Councillor(s) Cllr. Ruairi McGinley

That the Rialto Motors Site, Hebeton Road, D8 be rezoned from Z6 to Z 10 A to facilitate retail development.

Motion 2507 Councillor(s) Cllr. Vincent Jackson

Given that the shops at Lissadel Green, Drimnagh, Dublin 12 have been demolished and replaced with houses, it is submitted that the site should be re-zoned from Z3 to Z1.

Motion 2508 Councillor(s) Cllr. Nial Ring

That the Development Plan prohibits any rezoning of the sports facilities on Alfie Byrne Road.

Motion 2509 Councillor(s) Cllr. Dermot Lacey

This Council resolves to amend the zoning of the site at 40/56 Serpentine Avenue (Reference F14 in the Managers Report) from Z3 to Z10a and to include a site specific objective that any redevelopment of this site retain a neighbourhood centre and in particular local facilities such as convenience stores and services..

Motion 2510 Councillor(s) Cllr. Ruairi McGinley

That the manager rezone surplus land from Z15 to Z12 at Sisters of Charity - Long Mile Road.

Motion 2511 Councillor(s) Cllr. Brid Smith, Cllr. Joan Collins

With reference to Submission Number 2901 to the Draft Dublin City Development Plan 2011 to 2017, to report on the rationale of retaining the Z1 Zoning of the area opposite Saint Mary's Church, Crumlin Village, especially as this area is already maintained as public open space by Dublin City Council as is an important aspect of the conservation of Saint Mary's.

Motion 2512 Councillor(s) Cllr. Oisín Quinn

Rezone the playing pitch at Cathal Brugha Barracks (Ref H4) to Z9.

Motion 2513 Councillor(s) Cllr. Oisín Quinn

Rezone the playing pitch to the east of St Louis High School (Ref H6) to Z9.

Motion 2514 Councillor(s) Cllr. Dermot Lacey

To amend the Amenity/Open space Zone Z9 listing by including the green open spaces at the Church of Ireland Training College Upper Rathmines Road, the green open spaces at St. Louis High School and the playing pitches in Cathal Bruagha Barracks as Z9.

Motion 2515 Councillor(s) Cllr. Dermot Lacey

To include number 2 Killeen Road, Ranelagh within the Z1 zoning category to bring it approximately in line with other houses on the road.

Motion 2516 Councillor(s) Cllr. Jim O'Callaghan

Map Reference: H
Reference No: 13
Site Address: Cherryfield

This City Council resolves to amend the Draft Development Plan so that the houses on Cherryfield Avenue and Hollybank Avenue Lower identified on Map H Reference No. 13 are zoned Z2 (Residential Conservation Area) in accordance with the Z2 zoning attached to identical houses on the opposite side of the avenues.

Motion 2517 Councillor(s) Cllr. Oisín Quinn

Keep the lands at Muckcross Park Donnybrook (Ref H18) zoned Z15.

Motion 2518 Councillor(s) Cllr. Oisín Quinn

Rezone the green space in Donnybrook Village (Ref H20) to Z9.

Motion 2519 Councillor(s) Cllr. Oisín Quinn

In relation to the Leinster Rugby Grounds at Donnybrook (the lands at H21) omit the proposal to amend the Z9 zoning but instead make a site-specific objective that these lands can include student halls of residence.

Motion 2520 Councillor(s) Cllr. Eoghan Murphy

That the proposed addition from the Manager (in relation to map reference H21 – pg. 327 of the Manager's report) regarding student accommodation on all lands zoned Z9 not be accepted.

Motion 2521 Councillor(s) Cllr. Tom Brabazon

Rezoning of lands at existing newtown petrol station, Malahide road, Coolock, Dublin to reflect permitted and established petrol station use on the lands to **Z4** mixed-services facilities (or similar) from from **Z9** amenity/open space lands/ green networks.

Motion 2522 Councillor(s) Cllr. Declan Flanagan

Rezoning of lands at existing newtown petrol station, Malahide Road, Coolock, Dublin to reflect permitted and established petrol station use on the lands to **Z4** mixed-services facilities (or similar) from from **Z9** amenity / open space lands / green networks.

Motion 2523 Councillor(s) Cllr. Christy Burke

In relation to lands in the ownership of the Religious Sisters of Charity at Manor Street/Stanhope Street, that the Council agrees to rezone surplus lands which are no longer, or soon will no longer be in institutional use, from Z15 to Z12. The demarcation of these surplus lands is shown in the submission from the Sisters of Charity

Motion 2524 Councillor(s) Cllr. Eoghan Murphy

That the conservation area (z2) designation be extended from Leeson Park Avenue in to Swan Place.

Motion 2525 Councillor(s) Cllr. Eoghan Murphy

That the designated area in Ramleh Park (outlined on accompanying map) be zoned Z9 to reflect its current and historical status.

Chapter 16 Guiding Principles

Section 16.1.10 Issues for Building Design Assessment Criteria

Motion 2526 Councillor(s) Cllr. Mary Freehill

This Council resolves to omit all text from 16.1.10 from the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 and to substitute it with comprehensible and specific criteria for building design assessment.

Motion 2527 Councillor(s) Cllr. Catherine Noone

This Council resolves to omit all text from 16.1.10 from the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 and to substitute it with comprehensible and specific criteria for building design assessment.

Motion 2528 Councillor(s) Cllr. Declan Flanagan

This Council resolves to omit all text from 16.1.10 from the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 and to substitute it with comprehensible and specific criteria for building design assessment.

Motion 2529 Councillor(s) Cllr. Ray McAdam

This Council resolves to omit all text from 16.1.10 from the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 and to substitute it with comprehensible and specific criteria for building design assessment.

Motion 2530 Councillor(s) Cllr. Ruairi McGinley

This Council resolves to omit all text from 16.1.10 from the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 - 2017 and to substitute it with comprehensible and specific criteria for building design assessment.

Motion 2531 Councillor(s) Cllr. Aine Clancy, Cllr. Emer Costello

This Council resolves to omit all text from 16.1.10 from the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 and to substitute it with comprehensible and specific criteria for building design assessment.

Motion 2532 Councillor(s) Cllr. Christy Burke

This Council resolves to omit all text from 16.1.10 from the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 and to substitute it with comprehensible and specific criteria for building design assessment.

Motion 2533 Councillor(s) Cllr. Eoghan Murphy

This Council resolves to omit all text from 16.1.10 from the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 and to substitute it with comprehensible and specific criteria for building design assessment.

Motion 2534 Councillor(s) Cllr. Julia Carmichael

This Council resolves to omit all text from 16.1.10 from the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 and to substitute it with comprehensible and specific criteria for building design assessment.

Motion 2535 Councillor(s) Cllr. Kevin Humphreys

This Council resolves to omit all text from 16.1.10 from the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 and to substitute it with comprehensible and specific criteria for building design assessment.

Motion 2691 Councillor(s) Cllr. Kevin Humphreys

This Council resolves to amend SC16 of the Draft Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 as follows: omit “and enhance” and change the wording from “all new proposals must demonstrate sensitivity to” to “permission will not be granted for any building that has a negative impact on the character or setting of”.

It should change from:

“It is the policy of Dublin City Council to protect and enhance the skyline of the inner city, and to ensure that all proposals for mid-rise and taller buildings make a positive contribution to the urban character of the city, having regard to the criteria and site principles set out in the Development Standards Section. In particular all new proposals must demonstrate sensitivity to the historic city centre, the River Liffey and Quays, Trinity College, the Cathedrals, Dublin Castle, the historic squares and the city canals.”

so that it reads:

“It is the policy of Dublin City Council to protect the skyline of the city, and to ensure that all proposals for taller buildings than the local context make a positive contribution to the urban character of the city, having regard to the criteria and site principles set out in the Development Standards Section. In particular, permission will not be granted for any building that has a negative effect on the urban character of the city, the River Liffey and Quays, Trinity College, the Cathedrals, Dublin Castle, the historic squares and precincts, the Phoenix Park, the Royal Hospital, Kilmainham and the city canals.”

Section 16.2 Green Infrastructure Guiding Principles

Motion 2536 Councillor(s) Cllr. Oisín Quinn

Amend the title of section 16.2 on page 182 to read as follows:

“... Open Space Lands / Community & Institutional Resource Lands / Large Tracts of Lands / Lands with Open Character”

Section 16.3 Principles for Strategic Development and Regeneration Areas

Motion 2537 Councillor(s) Cllr. Michael Conaghan

The on-going disadvantage to the Cherry Orchard community that the absence of a village centre and appropriate facilities represents needs to be tackled in a determined manner within a given timeframe and set in to the Development Plan as an overriding objective. In this regard the Park West authorities should be consulted to see if they would undertake such a project.

Motion 2538 Councillor(s) Cllr. Eoghan Murphy

In relation to the ‘Poolbeg’ section (16.3):

- That the Manager clarifies the “global landscape plan” mentioned in point 1.
- That the Manager explain point 3.
- That the Manager explain point 4.
- That the Manager clarify whether or not the final part of point 7 pertains to possible park and ride facilities.
- That the phrase “as far as practicable” be deleted from the end of point 11.

Motion 2539 Councillor(s) Cllr. Rebecca Moynihan

That included in the development plan would be the provision of a green park space within the revised SDRA 12.

Section 16.3.1 Strategic Development and Regeneration Areas

Motion 2540 Councillor(s) Cllr. Mary O'Shea

This Council agrees that the list of principles for SDRA 3 Pelletstown contained in Part 16.3.1 entitled "Strategic Development and Regeneration Areas" be replaced with the following-

1. To provide for a sustainable living environment, prioritising public transport and mixed use.
2. To achieve a density of development that can be met by the public transport, social, educational, recreational and community infrastructure available in the immediate area.
3. To ensure that buildings in the area are such that do not facilitate social isolation, detachment or militate against opportunities for regular engagement with neighbours.
4. To ensure social and public amenities and spaces do not facilitate anti-social behaviour.
5. To ensure that development is provided in a context that does not overwhelm the surrounding areas and residents.
6. To develop a coherent spatial structure, based on a hierarchy of linked streets and public spaces, and design in keeping with the natural and other adjacent amenity areas of the Phoenix Park, Royal Canal and Tolka Valley.
7. The main components of this spatial structure are:
 - Two high quality village centres, one to the east and west respectively, as the focus for mixed use development and community activities.
 - A tree lined canal side boulevard linking the two village centres and providing the potential for developing a range of different experiences, including recreation uses.
 - A central park to provide the setting for leisure uses and community activities.
 - North/south linkages facilitating good access to public transport and to the amenity of the Tolka Valley.
8. To promote the creation of a high quality public domain by establishing a high standard of design in architecture and landscape architecture.

Motion 2541 Councillor(s) Cllr. Cieran Perry

This Council agrees that the list of principles for SDRA 3 Pelletstown contained in Part 16.3.1 entitled “Strategic Development and Regeneration Areas” be replaced with the following-

1. To provide for a sustainable living environment, prioritising public transport and mixed use.
2. To achieve a density of development that can be met by the public transport, social, educational, recreational and community infrastructure available in the immediate area.
3. To ensure that buildings in the area are such that do not facilitate social isolation, detachment or militate against opportunities for regular engagement with neighbours.
4. To ensure social and public amenities and spaces do not facilitate anti-social behaviour.
5. To ensure that development is provided in a context that does not overwhelm the surrounding areas and residents.
6. To develop a coherent spatial structure, based on a hierarchy of linked streets and public spaces, and design in keeping with the natural and other adjacent amenity areas of the Phoenix Park, Royal Canal and Tolka Valley.
7. The main components of this spatial structure are:
 - Two high quality village centres, one to the east and west respectively, as the focus for mixed use development and community activities.
 - A tree lined canal side boulevard linking the two village centres and providing the potential for developing a range of different experiences, including recreation uses.
 - A central park to provide the setting for leisure uses and community activities.
 - North/south linkages facilitating good access to public transport and to the amenity of the Tolka Valley.
8. To promote the creation of a high quality public domain by establishing a high standard of design in architecture and landscape architecture.

Motion 2542 Councillor(s) Cllr. Mary Fitzpatrick

This Council agrees that the list of principles for SDRA 3 Pelletstown contained in Part 16.3.1 entitled “Strategic Development and Regeneration Areas” be replaced with the following:

- To provide for a sustainable living environment, prioritising public transport and mixed use.
- To achieve a density of development that can be met by the public transport, social, educational, recreational and community infrastructure available in the immediate area.
- To ensure that buildings in the area are such that do not facilitate social isolation, detachment or militate against opportunities for regular engagement with neighbours.
- To ensure social and public amenities and spaces do not facilitate anti-social behaviour.
- To ensure that development is provided in a context that does not overwhelm the surrounding areas and residents.
- To develop a coherent spatial structure, based on a hierarchy of linked streets and public spaces, and design in keeping with the natural and other adjacent amenity areas of the Phoenix Park, Royal Canal and Tolka Valley.
- The main components of this spatial structure are:
 - Two high quality village centres, one to the east and west respectively, as the focus for mixed use development and community activities.
 - A tree lined canal side boulevard linking the two village centres and providing the potential for developing a range of different experiences, including recreation uses.
 - A central park to provide the setting for leisure uses and community activities.
 - North/south linkages facilitating good access to public transport and to the amenity of the Tolka Valley.
- To promote the creation of a high quality public domain by establishing a high standard of design in architecture and landscape architecture.

Chapter 17 Development Standards

Section 17.1.2 Layout

Motion 2543 Councillor(s) Cllr. Cieran Perry

This Council agrees that the Dublin City Development Plan must lend support to the aims and terms of the new Dublin City Council Anti-Social Behaviour plan, especially section 6 (– preventing and reducing anti-social behaviour-) by incorporating a compulsory policy of “Designing Out Crime” for all residential developments over 8 units and all commercial/office developments over 5,000 sqM. Section 9 of the new ABS plan aspires to this aim in regeneration projects but in the interest of community harmony, all new developments (as above) must have this compulsory aspect.

“The planning and design of places, spaces and buildings can assist in reducing crime by the application of improvements to lighting, fencing, landscaping and surveillance of the area from buildings and land use. Peoples’ behaviour, particularly in terms of the possibility of offending, as well as an individual’s perception about their safety, can be influenced by the design of that environment. Good design can reduce opportunities for offending and improve feelings of safety”. – Designing Out Crime Planning Guidelines.

Section 17.2.2 Soft Landscaping

Motion 2544 Councillor(s) Cllr. Dermot Lacey, Cllr. Mary Freehill

This Council resolves to include the following in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017:

“The preservation of all healthy mature trees will be a priority on all development sites. If they contribute to a planted feature such as a tree lined avenue or form part of the boundary treatment of a site, special care will be taken to ensure that all new development is designed in a manner that ensures their preservation.”

Motion 2545 Councillor(s) Cllr. Catherine Noone

This Council resolves to include the following in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017:

“The preservation of all healthy mature trees will be a priority on all development sites. If they contribute to a planted feature such as a tree lined avenue or form part of the boundary treatment of a site, special care will be taken to ensure that all new development is designed in a manner that ensures their preservation.”

Motion 2546 Councillor(s) Cllr. Declan Flanagan

This Council resolves to include the following in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017:

“The preservation of all healthy mature trees will be a priority on all development sites. If they contribute to a planted feature such as a tree lined avenue or form part of the boundary treatment of a site, special care will be taken to ensure that all new development is designed in a manner that ensures their preservation.”

Motion 2547 Councillor(s) Cllr. Mary O’Shea

That the preservation of all healthy mature trees will be a priority on all development sites. If they contribute to a planted feature such as a tree lined avenue or form part of the boundary treatment of a site, special care shall be taken to ensure that all new developments are designed in a manner which ensures their preservation.

Motion 2548 Councillor(s) Cllr. Criona Ni Dhalaigh

This Council resolves to include the following in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017:

“The preservation of all healthy mature trees will be a priority on all development sites. If they contribute to a planted feature such as a tree lined avenue or form part of the boundary treatment of a site, special care will be taken to ensure that all new development is designed in a manner that ensures their preservation.”

Motion 2549 Councillor(s) Cllr. Ray McAdam

This Council resolves to include the following in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017:

“The preservation of all healthy mature trees will be a priority on all development sites. If they contribute to a planted feature such as a tree lined avenue or form part of the boundary treatment of a site, special care will be taken to ensure that all new development is designed in a manner that ensures their preservation.”

Motion 2550 Councillor(s) Cllr. Aine Clancy, Cllr. Emer Costello

This Council resolves to include the following in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017:

“The preservation of all healthy mature trees will be a priority on all development sites. If they contribute to a planted feature such as a tree lined avenue or form part of the boundary treatment of a site, special care will be taken to ensure that all new development is designed in a manner that ensures their preservation.”

Motion 2551 Councillor(s) Cllr. Christy Burke

This Council resolves to include the following in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017:

“The preservation of all healthy mature trees will be a priority on all development sites. If they contribute to a planted feature such as a tree lined avenue or form part of the boundary treatment of a site, special care will be taken to ensure that all new development is designed in a manner that ensures their preservation.”

Motion 2552 Councillor(s) Cllr. Eoghan Murphy

This Council resolves to include the following in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017:

“The preservation of all healthy mature trees will be a priority on all development sites. If they contribute to a planted feature such as a tree lined avenue or form part of the boundary treatment of a site, special care will be taken to ensure that all new development is designed in a manner that ensures their preservation.”

Motion 2553 Councillor(s) Cllr. Julia Carmichael

This Council resolves to include the following in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017:

“The preservation of all healthy mature trees will be a priority on all development sites. If they contribute to a planted feature such as a tree lined avenue or form part of the boundary treatment of a site, special care will be taken to ensure that all new development is designed in a manner that ensures their preservation”

Section 17.2.3 Public Open Space - All Development

Motion 2554 Councillor(s) Cllr. Dermot Lacey, Cllr. Mary Freehill

This Council resolves to include the following in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017:

“There will be a presumption that all public open space will predominantly comprise soft landscaping and that hard landscaping will be considered only in schemes where soft landscaping would not be viable or appropriate.”

Motion 2555 Councillor(s) Cllr. Catherine Noone

This Council resolves to include the following in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017:

“There will be a presumption that all public open space will predominantly comprise soft landscaping and that hard landscaping will be considered only in schemes where soft landscaping would not be viable or appropriate.”

Motion 2556 Councillor(s) Cllr. Declan Flanagan

This Council resolves to include the following in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017:

“There will be a presumption that all public open space will predominantly comprise soft landscaping and that hard landscaping will be considered only in schemes where soft landscaping would not be viable or appropriate.”

Motion 2557 Councillor(s) Cllr. Ray McAdam

This Council resolves to include the following in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017:

“There will be a presumption that all public open space will predominantly comprise soft landscaping and that hard landscaping will be considered only in schemes where soft landscaping would not be viable or appropriate.”

Motion 2558 Councillor(s) Cllr. Aine Clancy, Cllr. Emer Costello

This Council resolves to include the following in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017:

“There will be a presumption that all public open space will predominantly comprise soft landscaping and that hard landscaping will be considered only in schemes where soft landscaping would not be viable or appropriate.”

Motion 2559 Councillor(s) Cllr. Christy Burke

This Council resolves to include the following in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017:

“There will be a presumption that all public open space will predominantly comprise soft landscaping and that hard landscaping will be considered only in schemes where soft landscaping would not be viable or appropriate.”

Motion 2560 Councillor(s) Cllr. Eoghan Murphy

This Council resolves to include the following in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017:

“There will be a presumption that all public open space will predominantly comprise soft landscaping and that hard landscaping will be considered only in schemes where soft landscaping would not be viable or appropriate.”

Motion 2561 Councillor(s) Cllr. Julia Carmichael

This Council resolves to include the following in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017:

“There will be a presumption that all public open space will predominantly comprise soft landscaping and that hard landscaping will be considered only in schemes where soft landscaping would not be viable or appropriate.”

Motion 2562 Councillor(s) Cllr. Declan Flanagan

This Council resolves to include the following in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 at Chapter 17 B1:

“Public open space is open space which makes a contribution to the public domain and is accessible to the public for the purposes of active and passive recreation, including relaxation and children’s play. Public open space also provides for visual breaks between and within residential areas and facilitates biodiversity and the maintenance of wildlife habitats.”

Motion 2563 Councillor(s) Cllr. Mary O'Shea

That Public open space is defined in the following manner "Public open space is open space which makes a contribution to the public domain and is accessible to the public for the purposes of active and passive recreation, including relaxation and children's play. Public open space also provides for open breaks between and within residential areas and facilities biodiversity and the maintenance of wildlife habitats."

Motion 2564 Councillor(s) Cllr. Ruairi McGinley

This Council resolves to include the following in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 - 2017 at Chapter 17 B1:

"Public open space is open space which makes a contribution to the public domain and is accessible to the public for the purposes of active and passive recreation, including relaxation and children's play. Public open space also provides for visual breaks between and within residential areas and facilitates biodiversity and the maintenance of wildlife habitats."

Motion 2565 Councillor(s) Cllr. Rebecca Moynihan

That an objective be inserted into the development plan of 15% of the cities land mass will be used for agricultural or green usage.

Section 17.6 Building Height in a Sustainable City (See Fig. 21)

Motion 2566 Councillor(s) Cllr. Dermot Lacey, Cllr. Mary Freehill

This Council resolves to amend the wording at Par.4.4.4.1 Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 as follows:

Omit:

"In addition, it is policy to retain the remaining areas of the city to a maximum height of 19m/25m depending on location (See 17.6.2) and proposals must respect their context in all cases."

Insert:

"In addition, it is policy to retain the remaining areas of the Inner City to a maximum height of 19m and of the Outer City to a maximum height of 16m. (See 17.6.2). Proposals must respect their context in all cases and permission will not be granted for such heights in areas where they could impact negatively on the character of the existing environment or on residential amenities."

Additionally, this Council resolves that:

"any other related references to building heights in the Draft Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017, as well as all maps/figs. referring to heights in the city, be changed to accurately reflect the amendments proposed in these motions i.e. Inner City up to 19m, Outer City up to 16m, Mid rise up to 32m and High rise over 32m."

Motion 2567 Councillor(s) Cllr. Catherine Noone

This Council resolves to amend the wording at Par.4.4.4.1 Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 as follows:

Omit:

“In addition, it is policy to retain the remaining areas of the city to a maximum height of 19m/25m depending on location (See 17.6.2) and proposals must respect their context in all cases.”

Insert:

“In addition, it is policy to retain the remaining areas of the Inner City to a maximum height of 19m and of the Outer City to a maximum height of 16m. (See 17.6.2). Proposals must respect their context in all cases and permission will not be granted for such heights in areas where they could impact negatively on the character of the existing environment or on residential amenities.”

Additionally, this Council resolves that:

“any other related references to building heights in the Draft Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017, as well as all maps/figs. referring to heights in the city, be changed to accurately reflect the amendments proposed in these motions i.e. Inner City up to 19m, Outer City up to 16m, Mid rise up to 32m and High rise over 32m.”

Motion 2568 Councillor(s) Cllr. Ray McAdam

This Council resolves to amend the wording at Par.4.4.4.1 Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 as follows:

Omit:

“In addition, it is policy to retain the remaining areas of the city to a maximum height of 19m/25m depending on location (See 17.6.2) and proposals must respect their context in all cases.”

Insert:

“In addition, it is policy to retain the remaining areas of the Inner City to a maximum height of 19m and of the Outer City to a maximum height of 16m. (See 17.6.2). Proposals must respect their context in all cases and permission will not be granted for such heights in areas where they could impact negatively on the character of the existing environment or on residential amenities.”

Additionally, this Council resolves that:

“any other related references to building heights in the Draft Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017, as well as all maps/figs. referring to heights in the city, be changed to accurately reflect the amendments proposed in these motions i.e. Inner City up to 19m, Outer City up to 16m, Mid rise up to 32m and High rise over 32m.”

Motion 2569 Councillor(s) Cllr. Nial Ring

This Council resolves to amend the wording at Par.4.4.4.1 Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 as follows:

Omit:

“In addition, it is policy to retain the remaining areas of the city to a maximum height of 19m/25m depending on location (See 17.6.2) and proposals must respect their context in all cases.”

Insert:

“In addition, it is policy to retain the remaining areas of the Inner City to a maximum height of 19m and of the Outer City to a maximum height of 16m. (See 17.6.2). Proposals must respect their context in all cases and permission will not be granted for such heights in areas where they could impact negatively on the character of the existing environment or on residential amenities.”

Additionally, this Council resolves that:

“any other related references to building heights in the Draft Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017, as well as all maps/figs. referring to heights in the city, be changed to accurately reflect the amendments proposed in these motions i.e. Inner City up to 19m, Outer City up to 16m, Mid rise up to 32m and High rise over 32m.”

Motion 2570 Councillor(s) Cllr. Aine Clancy, Cllr. Emer Costello

This Council resolves to amend the wording at Par.4.4.4.1 Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 as follows:

Omit:

“In addition, it is policy to retain the remaining areas of the city to a maximum height of 19m/25m depending on location (See 17.6.2) and proposals must respect their context in all cases.”

Insert:

“In addition, it is policy to retain the remaining areas of the Inner City to a maximum height of 19m and of the Outer City to a maximum height of 16m. (See 17.6.2). Proposals must respect their context in all cases and permission will not be granted for such heights in areas where they could impact negatively on the character of the existing environment or on residential amenities.”

Additionally, this Council resolves that:

“any other related references to building heights in the Draft Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017, as well as all maps/figs. referring to heights in the city, be changed to accurately reflect the amendments proposed in these motions i.e. Inner City up to 19m, Outer City up to 16m, Mid rise up to 32m and High rise over 32m.”

Motion 2571 Councillor(s) Cllr. John Gallagher

This Council resolves to amend the wording at Par.4.4.4.1 Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 as follows:

Omit:

“In addition, it is policy to retain the remaining areas of the city to a maximum height of 19m/25m depending on location (See 17.6.2) and proposals must respect their context in all cases.”

Insert:

“In addition, it is policy to retain the remaining areas of the Inner City to a maximum height of 19m and of the Outer City to a maximum height of 16m. (See 17.6.2). Proposals must respect their context in all cases and permission will not be granted for such heights in areas where they could impact negatively on the character of the existing environment or on residential amenities.”

Additionally, this Council resolves that:

“any other related references to building heights in the Draft Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017, as well as all maps/figs. referring to heights in the city, be changed to accurately reflect the amendments proposed in these motions i.e. Inner City up to 19m, Outer City up to 16m, Mid rise up to 32m and High rise over 32m.”

Motion 2572 Councillor(s) Cllr. Christy Burke

This Council resolves to amend the wording at Par.4.4.4.1 Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 as follows:

Omit:

“In addition, it is policy to retain the remaining areas of the city to a maximum height of 19m/25m depending on location (See 17.6.2) and proposals must respect their context in all cases.”

Insert:

“In addition, it is policy to retain the remaining areas of the Inner City to a maximum height of 19m and of the Outer City to a maximum height of 16m. (See 17.6.2). Proposals must respect their context in all cases and permission will not be granted for such heights in areas where they could impact negatively on the character of the existing environment or on residential amenities.”

Additionally, this Council resolves that:

“any other related references to building heights in the Draft Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017, as well as all maps/figs. referring to heights in the city, be changed to accurately reflect the amendments proposed in these motions i.e. Inner City up to 19m, Outer City up to 16m, Mid rise up to 32m and High rise over 32m.”

Motion 2573 Councillor(s) Cllr. Declan Flanagan

This Council resolves to amend the wording at Par.4.4.4.1 Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 as follows:

Omit:

“In addition, it is policy to retain the remaining areas of the city to a maximum height of 19m/25m depending on location (See 17.6.2) and proposals must respect their context in all cases.”

Insert:

“In addition, it is policy to retain the remaining areas of the Inner City to a maximum height of 19m and of the Outer City to a maximum height of 16m. (See 17.6.2). Proposals must respect their context in all cases and permission will not be granted for such heights in areas where they could impact negatively on the character of the existing environment or on residential amenities.”

Additionally, this Council resolves that:

“any other related references to building heights in the Draft Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017, as well as all maps/figs. referring to heights in the city, be changed to accurately reflect the amendments proposed in these motions i.e. Inner City up to 19m, Outer City up to 16m, Mid rise up to 32m and High rise over 32m.”

Motion 2574 Councillor(s) Cllr. Eoghan Murphy

This Council resolves to amend the wording at Par.4.4.4.1 Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 as follows:

Omit:

“In addition, it is policy to retain the remaining areas of the city to a maximum height of 19m/25m depending on location (See 17.6.2) and proposals must respect their context in all cases.”

Insert:

“In addition, it is policy to retain the remaining areas of the Inner City to a maximum height of 19m and of the Outer City to a maximum height of 16m. (See 17.6.2). Proposals must respect their context in all cases and permission will not be granted for such heights in areas where they could impact negatively on the character of the existing environment or on residential amenities.”

Additionally, this Council resolves that:

“any other related references to building heights in the Draft Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017, as well as all maps/figs. referring to heights in the city, be changed to accurately reflect the amendments proposed in these motions i.e. Inner City up to 19m, Outer City up to 16m, Mid rise up to 32m and High rise over 32m.”

Motion 2575 Councillor(s) Cllr. Julia Carmichael

This Council resolves to amend the wording at Par.4.4.4.1 Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 as follows:

Omit:

“In addition, it is policy to retain the remaining areas of the city to a maximum height of 19m/25m depending on location (See 17.6.2) and proposals must respect their context in all cases.”

Insert:

“In addition, it is policy to retain the remaining areas of the Inner City to a maximum height of 19m and of the Outer City to a maximum height of 16m. (See 17.6.2). Proposals must respect their context in all cases and permission will not be granted for such heights in areas where they could impact negatively on the character of the existing environment or on residential amenities.”

Additionally, this Council resolves that:

“any other related references to building heights in the Draft Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017, as well as all maps/figs. referring to heights in the city, be changed to accurately reflect the amendments proposed in these motions i.e. Inner City up to 19m, Outer City up to 16m, Mid rise up to 32m and High rise over 32m.”

Motion 2576 Councillor(s) Cllr. Ray McAdam

This Council resolves to amend the wording at Par.4.4.4.1 Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 as follows:

Omit:

“In addition, it is policy to retain the remaining areas of the city to a maximum height of 19m/25m depending on location (See 17.6.2) and proposals must respect their context in all cases.”

Insert:

“In addition, it is policy to retain the remaining areas of the Inner City to a maximum height of 19m and of the Outer City to a maximum height of 16m. (See 17.6.2). Proposals must respect their context in all cases and permission will not be granted for such heights in areas where they could impact negatively on the character of the existing environment or on residential amenities.”

Additionally, this Council resolves that:

“any other related references to building heights in the Draft Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017, as well as all maps/figs. Referring to heights in the city, be changed to accurately reflect the amendments proposed in these motions i.e. Inner City up to 19m, Outer City up to 16m, Mid rise up to 32m and High rise over 32m

Motion 2577 Councillor(s) Cllr. Eoghan Murphy

That the following statement in section 4.4.4.1 (Approach to Taller Buildings) be amended

From:

In addition, it is policy to retain the remaining areas of the city **to a maximum height of 19m/25m depending on location** and proposals must respect their context in all cases.”

To:

In addition, it is policy to retain the remaining areas of the city **as low rise** and proposals must respect their context in all cases.”

Motion 2578 Councillor(s) Cllr. Dermot Lacey, Cllr. Mary Freehill

This Council resolves that, Par. 17.6.2 'Definition of a High Building' in the Draft Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 and the Manager's Report be amended as follows:

Amend the chart at Par. 17.6.2 in the 'Definition of a High Building' as follows:

Omit:

Low- rise	Inner City Rail Hubs Outer City	Up to 8 res / 6 office Up to 6 res / 6 office Up to 6 res / 4 office	Below 25m Below 19/25m Below 19m
Mid-rise		Up to 16 res/up to 12 office	Up to 50m
High-rise		16 res and above/12 office and above	50m+

And replace it with the following:

Low- rise	Inner City Outer City	Up to 19m Up to 16m
Mid-rise		Up to 32m
High-rise		Over 32m

Motion 2579 Councillor(s) Cllr. Catherine Noone

This Council resolves that, Par. 17.6.2 'Definition of a High Building' in the Draft Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 and the Manager's Report be amended as follows:

Amend the chart at Par. 17.6.2 in the 'Definition of a High Building' as follows:

Omit:

Low- rise	Inner City Rail Hubs Outer City	Up to 8 res / 6 office Up to 6 res / 6 office Up to 6 res / 4 office	Below 25m Below 19/25m Below 19m
Mid-rise		Up to 16 res/up to 12 office	Up to 50m
High-rise		16 res and above/12 office and above	50m+

And replace it with the following:

Low- rise	Inner City Outer City	Up to 19m Up to 16m
Mid-rise		Up to 32m
High-rise		Over 32m

Motion 2580 Councillor(s) Cllr. Declan Flanagan

This Council resolves that, Par. 17.6.2 'Definition of a High Building' in the Draft Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 and the Manager's Report be amended as follows:

Amend the chart at Par. 17.6.2 in the 'Definition of a High Building' as follows:

Omit:

Low- rise Inner City Up to 8 res / 6 office Below 25m
Rail Hubs Up to 6 res / 6 office Below 19/25m
Outer City Up to 6 res / 4 office Below 19m
Mid-rise Up to 16 res/up to 12 office Up to 50m
High-rise 16 res and above/12 office and above 50m+

And replace it with the following:

Low- rise Inner City Up to 19m
Outer City Up to 16m
Mid-rise Up to 32m
High-rise Over 32m

Motion 2581 Councillor(s) Cllr. Ray McAdam

This Council resolves that, Par. 17.6.2 'Definition of a High Building' in the Draft Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 and the Manager's Report be amended as follows:

Amend the chart at Par. 17.6.2 in the 'Definition of a High Building' as follows:

Omit:

Low- rise Inner City Up to 8 res / 6 office Below 25m
Rail Hubs Up to 6 res / 6 office Below 19/25m
Outer City Up to 6 res / 4 office Below 19m

Mid-rise Up to 16 res/up to 12 office Up to 50m

High-rise 16 res and above/12 office and above 50m+

And replace it with the following:

Low- rise Inner City Up to 19m
Outer City Up to 16m

Mid-rise Up to 32m

High-rise Over 32m

Motion 2582 Councillor(s) Cllr. Rebecca Moynihan, Cllr. Criona Ni Dhalaigh

This Council resolves that, Par. 17.6.2 'Definition of a High Building' in the Draft Dublin City Development Plan 2011 - 2017 and the Manager's Report be amended as follows:

Amend the chart at Par. 17.6.2 in the 'Definition of a High Building' as follows:

Omit:

Low- rise Inner City Up to 8 res / 6 office Below 25m

Rail Hubs Up to 6 res / 6 office Below 19/25m

Outer City Up to 6 res / 4 office Below 19m

Mid-rise Up to 16 res/up to 12 office Up to 50m

High-rise 16 res and above/12 office and above 50m+

And replace it with the following:

Low- rise Inner City Up to 19m

Outer City Up to 16m

Mid-rise Up to 32m

High-rise Over 32

Motion 2583 Councillor(s) Cllr. Nial Ring

This Council resolves that, Par. 17.6.2 'Definition of a High Building' in the Draft Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 and the Manager's Report be amended as follows:

Amend the chart at Par. 17.6.2 in the 'Definition of a High Building' as follows:

Omit:

Low- rise Inner City Up to 8 res / 6 office Below 25m

Rail Hubs Up to 6 res / 6 office Below 19/25m

Outer City Up to 6 res / 4 office Below 19m

Mid-rise Up to 16 res/up to 12 office Up to 50m

High-rise 16 res and above/12 office and above 50m+

And replace it with the following:

Low- rise Inner City Up to 19m

Outer City Up to 16m

Mid-rise Up to 32m

High-rise Over 32m

Motion 2584 Councillor(s) Cllr. John Gallagher

This Council resolves that, Par. 17.6.2 'Definition of a High Building' in the Draft Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 and the Manager's Report be amended as follows:

Amend the chart at Par. 17.6.2 in the 'Definition of a High Building' as follows:

Omit:

Low- rise Inner City Up to 8 res / 6 office Below 25m
Rail Hubs Up to 6 res / 6 office Below 19/25m
Outer City Up to 6 res / 4 office Below 19m

Mid-rise Up to 16 res/up to 12 office Up to 50m

High-rise 16 res and above/12 office and above 50m+

And replace it with the following:

Low- rise Inner City Up to 19m
Outer City Up to 16m

Mid-rise Up to 32m

High-rise Over 32m

Motion 2585 Councillor(s) Cllr. Christy Burke

This Council resolves that, Par. 17.6.2 'Definition of a High Building' in the Draft Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 and the Manager's Report be amended as follows:

Amend the chart at Par. 17.6.2 in the 'Definition of a High Building' as follows:

Omit:

Low- rise Inner City Up to 8 res / 6 office Below 25m
Rail Hubs Up to 6 res / 6 office Below 19/25m
Outer City Up to 6 res / 4 office Below 19m

Mid-rise Up to 16 res/up to 12 office Up to 50m

High-rise 16 res and above/12 office and above 50m+

And replace it with the following:

Low- rise Inner City Up to 19m
Outer City Up to 16m

Mid-rise Up to 32m

High-rise Over 32m

Motion 2586 Councillor(s) Cllr. Eoghan Murphy

This Council resolves that, Par. 17.6.2 'Definition of a High Building' in the Draft Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 and the Manager's Report be amended as follows:

Amend the chart at Par. 17.6.2 in the 'Definition of a High Building' as follows:

Omit:

Low- rise	Inner City	Up to 8 res / 6 office	Below 25m
	Rail Hubs	Up to 6 res / 6 office	Below 19/25m
	Outer City	Up to 6 res / 4 office	Below 19m
Mid-rise		Up to 16 res/up to 12 office	Up to 50m
High-rise		16 res and above/12 office and above	50m+

And replace it with the following:

Low- rise	Inner City	Up to 19m
	Outer City	Up to 16m
Mid-rise		Up to 32m
High-rise		Over 32m

Motion 2587 Councillor(s) Cllr. Julia Carmichael

This Council resolves that, Par. 17.6.2 'Definition of a High Building' in the Draft Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 and the Manager's Report be amended as follows:

Amend the chart at Par. 17.6.2 in the 'Definition of a High Building' as follows:

Omit:

Low- rise	Inner City	Up to 8 res / 6 office	Below 25m
	Rail Hubs	Up to 6 res / 6 office	Below 19/25m
	Outer City	Up to 6 res / 4 office	Below 19m
Mid-rise		Up to 16 res/up to 12 office	Up to 50m
High-rise		16 res and above/12 office and above	50m+

And replace it with the following:

Low- rise	Inner City	Up to 19m
	Outer City	Up to 16m
Mid-rise		Up to 32m
High-rise		Over 32m

Motion 2588 Councillor(s) Cllr. Ray McAdam

This Council resolves that, Par. 17.6.2 'Definition of a High Building' in the Draft Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 and the Manager's Report be amended as follows:

Amend the chart at Par. 17.6.2 in the 'Definition of a High Building' as follows:

Omit:

Low- rise Inner City Up to 8 res / 6 office Below 25m
Rail Hubs Up to 6 res / 6 office Below 19/25m
Outer City Up to 6 res / 4 office Below 19m

Mid-rise Up to 16 res/up to 12
office Up to 50m

High-rise 16 res and above/12 office
and above 50m+

And replace it with the following:

Low- rise Inner City Up to 19m
Outer City Up to 16m
Mid-rise Up to 32m
High-rise Over 32m

Motion 2589 Councillor(s) Cllr. Julia Carmichael, Cllr. Mary Fitzpatrick, Cllr. Jim O'Callaghan

Section 17.6.2 Definition of a High Building

This City Council resolves to amend Section 17.6.2 of the Draft Development Plan (as recommended by the City Manager) as follows:

From:

"Low-rise

*Inner City – Up to 8 res/8 office – 25m/33m
Rail Hubs – up to 6 res/8 office – 19m/33m
Outer City - up to 6 res/6 office – 19m/25m"*

To:

"Low-rise

*Inner City – up to 6 res/6 office – 19m/25m
Outer City – up to 4 res/4 office – Below15m"*

Motion 2590 Councillor(s) Cllr. Mary Fitzpatrick

This City Council resolves to amend Section 17.6.2 of the Draft Development Plan (as recommended by the City Manager) as follows:

From

Low-rise

*Inner City – Up to 8 res/8 office – 25m/33m
Rail Hubs – up to 6 res/8 office – 19m/33m
Outer City - up to 6 res/6 office – 19m/25m”*

To

Low-rise

*Inner City – up to 6 res/6 office – 19m/24m
Outer City – up to 4 res/4 office – Below15m”*

Motion 2591 Councillor(s) Cllr. Dermot Lacey

To amend the Draft Development Plan in relation the policy on heights as specified below:

Low –rise: Inner City Up to 5 storeys 15 metres max
Rail Hubs
Outer City

Mid- Rise: Inner City: 6-7 storeys 18-21metres max* *Phibsborough
*Granegorman
*Connolly station
*Georges Quay
*Digital Hub

Outer City:
*Ballymun
*Pelletstown
*Hueston

High Rise: Inner City 7+ storeys 21 metres plus
Docklands
Naas Road

* to be treated as low rise until Local Area Plan is adopted.

Motion 2592 Councillor(s) Cllr. Christy Burke

This Council agrees that section 17.6.2 (definition of a high rise building) be amended as follows:

Low Rise: Up to 16 M
Mid Rise: From 16 M to 32M
High Rise: Over 33 M

Motion 2593 Councillor(s) Cllr. Mary O'Shea

This Council agrees that section 17.6.2 (Definition of a High Rise Building) be amended as follows:

Low Rise: Up to 16M
Mid Rise: From 16M to 32M
High Rise: Over 33M

Motion 2594 Councillor(s) Cllr. Cieran Perry

This Council agrees that section 17.6.2 (definition of a high rise building) be amended as follows:

Low Rise: Up to 16 M
Mid Rise: From 16 M to 32M
High Rise: Over 33 M

Motion 2595 Councillor(s) Cllr. Ray McAdam

This Council agrees that section 17.6.2 (definition of a high rise building) be amended as follows:

Low Rise: Up to 16 M
Mid Rise: From 16 M to 32M
High Rise: Over 33 M

Motion 2596 Councillor(s) Cllr. Ruairi McGinley

It is an objective of Dublin City Council that on sites within established commercial areas of the inner city, excluding conservation areas, within the metro north section 49 catchment area, and within 1 km of Dart, Luas or Metro, an office building of up to 8 storeys may be permitted subject to demonstrating a significant employment generating and economic benefit for the city, a contribution to the streetscape and vitality of the area through high quality of architecture and design and subject to an assessment against the standards and criteria set out elsewhere in this plan.

Page 356 of manager's report refers

Motion 2597 Councillor(s) Cllr. Oisín Quinn

Add the following in relation to Height:

" ... In relation to the Core Business District in Dublin 2 (but excluding the Georgian Core lands zoned Z8) the maximum height of any office building shall be no more than 8 storeys and all proposed buildings may be required to be lower than this as they shall all, in any event, be assessed against the qualitative and quantitative standards set out in the Development Plan, including those standards addressing local character, streetscape, open space, daylight and the amenity of existing and future residents."

Motion 2598 Councillor(s) Cllr. Oisín Quinn

Reduce the height for rail hubs in the outer city to 6-storey office and make it clear in the text that this is a maximum height (not a mandatory height) and that all proposed buildings may be required to be lower than this as they shall all, in any event, be assessed against the qualitative and quantitative standards set out in the Development Plan, including those standards addressing local character, streetscape, open space, daylight and the amenity of existing and future residents.

Motion 2599 Councillor(s) Cllr. Oisín Quinn

Reduce the height for office in the outer city to 4-storeys outside of the agreed radius for rail hubs and make it clear in the text that this is a maximum height (not a mandatory height) and that all proposed buildings may be required to be lower than this as they shall all, in any event, be assessed against the qualitative and quantitative standards set out in the Development Plan, including those standards addressing local character, streetscape, open space, daylight and the amenity of existing and future residents.

Motion 2600 Councillor(s) Cllr. Nial Ring

That the Development Plan includes an upper height restriction for Low Rise developments in the Inner City of 6 storey residential, in line with the rest of the city.

Motion 2601 Councillor(s) Cllr. Oisín Quinn, Cllr. Julia Carmichael, Cllr. Mary Fitzpatrick

Section 17.6.1 Areas identified as appropriate for High Buildings

This City Council resolves to amend the fourth paragraph of Section 17.6.1 of the Draft Development Plan as follows:

The deletion of:

“(ii) (b) within 1 km of existing and proposed main line, Dart and metro stations: 6 storey residential/6 storey office”

Motion 2602 Councillor(s) Cllr. Mary Fitzpatrick

This City Council resolves to amend the fourth paragraph of Section 17.6.1 of the Draft Development Plan as follows:

The deletion of:

“(ii) ...b) Within 1 km of existing and proposed main line, Dart and metro stations: 6 storey residential/6 storey office”

Motion 2603 Councillor(s) Cllr. Mary Freehill

This Council resolves not to accept the recommendation in the Manager’s Report and to amend Par. 17.6.1 (ii) in the Draft Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 as follows:

Omit

“ in any case, the maximum outside the identified areas will be as follows:

- a. Inner City (see definition in glossary) 8 storey residential / 6 storey office
- b. Within 1 km of existing and proposed mainline, DART and Metro Stations: 6 storey residential / 6 storey office.
- c. Rest of Outer City: 6 storey residential / 4 storey office.”

Insert:

“In any case, the maximum height outside the identified areas will be as follows:

- a. Inner City (see definition in glossary): up to 19m
- b. Outer City: up to 16m.”

Motion 2604 Councillor(s) Cllr. Catherine Noone

This Council resolves not to accept the recommendation in the Manager's Report and to amend Par. 17.6.1 (ii) in the Draft Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 as follows:

Omit

“ in any case, the maximum outside the identified areas will be as follows:

- a. Inner City (see definition in glossary) 8 storey residential / 6 storey office
- b. Within 1 km of existing and proposed mainline, DART and Metro Stations: 6 storey residential / 6 storey office.
- c. Rest of Outer City: 6 storey residential / 4 storey office.”

Insert:

“In any case, the maximum height outside the identified areas will be as follows:

- a. Inner City (see definition in glossary): up to 19m
- b. Outer City: up to 16m.”

Motion 2605 Councillor(s) Cllr. Declan Flanagan

This Council resolves not to accept the recommendation in the Manager's Report and to amend Par. 17.6.1 (ii) in the Draft Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 as follows:

Omit

“ in any case, the maximum outside the identified areas will be as follows:

1. Inner City (see definition in glossary) 8 storey residential / 6 storey office
2. Within 1 km of existing and proposed mainline, DART and Metro Stations: 6 storey residential / 6 storey office.
3. Rest of Outer City: 6 storey residential / 4 storey office.”
- 4.

Insert:

“In any case, the maximum height outside the identified areas will be as follows:

1. Inner City (see definition in glossary): up to 19m
2. Outer City: up to 16m.”
- 3.
- 4.

Motion 2606 Councillor(s) Cllr. Ray McAdam

This Council resolves not to accept the recommendation in the Manager's Report and to amend Par. 17.6.1 (ii) in the Draft Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 as follows:

Omit

“ in any case, the maximum outside the identified areas will be as follows:

- Inner City (see definition in glossary) 8 storey residential / 6 storey office .1
Within 1 km of existing and proposed mainline, DART and Metro Stations: 6 storey residential / 6 storey .2
.office
“Rest of Outer City: 6 storey residential / 4 storey office .3

Insert:

“In any case, the maximum height outside the identified areas will be as follows:

- Inner City (see definition in glossary): up to 19m .1
“Outer City: up to 16m .2

Motion 2607 Councillor(s) Cllr. Nial Ring

This Council resolves not to accept the recommendation in the Manager's Report and to amend Par. 17.6.1 (ii) in the Draft Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 as follows:

Omit

“ in any case, the maximum outside the identified areas will be as follows:

- a) Inner City (see definition in glossary) 8 storey residential / 6 storey office
- b) Within 1 km of existing and proposed mainline, DART and Metro Stations: 6 storey residential / 6 storey office.
- c) Rest of Outer City: 6 storey residential / 4 storey office.”

Insert:

“In any case, the maximum height outside the identified areas will be as follows:

- a) Inner City (see definition in glossary): up to 19m
- b) Outer City: up to 16m.”

Motion 2608 Councillor(s) Cllr. John Gallagher

This Council resolves not to accept the recommendation in the Manager's Report and to amend Par. 17.6.1 (ii) in the Draft Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 as follows:

Omit

“ in any case, the maximum outside the identified areas will be as follows:

- a) Inner City (see definition in glossary) 8 storey residential / 6 storey office
- b) Within 1 km of existing and proposed mainline, DART and Metro Stations: 6 storey residential / 6 storey office.
- c) Rest of Outer City: 6 storey residential / 4 storey office.”

Insert:

“In any case, the maximum height outside the identified areas will be as follows:

- a) Inner City (see definition in glossary): up to 19m
- b) Outer City: up to 16m.”

Motion 2609 Councillor(s) Cllr. Christy Burke

This Council resolves not to accept the recommendation in the Manager's Report and to amend Par. 17.6.1 (ii) in the Draft Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 as follows:

Omit

“ in any case, the maximum outside the identified areas will be as follows:

- a) Inner City (see definition in glossary) 8 storey residential / 6 storey office
- b) Within 1 km of existing and proposed mainline, DART and Metro Stations: 6 storey residential / 6 storey office.
- c) Rest of Outer City: 6 storey residential / 4 storey office.”

Insert:

“In any case, the maximum height outside the identified areas will be as follows:

- a) Inner City (see definition in glossary): up to 19m
- b) Outer City: up to 16m.”

Motion 2610 Councillor(s) Cllr. Eoghan Murphy

This Council resolves not to accept the recommendation in the Manager's Report and to amend Par. 17.6.1 (ii) in the Draft Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 as follows:

Omit

"in any case, the maximum outside the identified areas will be as follows:

- a) Inner City (see definition in glossary) 8 storey residential / 6 storey office
- b) Within 1 km of existing and proposed mainline, DART and Metro Stations: 6 storey residential / 6 storey office.
- c) Rest of Outer City: 6 storey residential / 4 storey office."

Insert:

"In any case, the maximum height outside the identified areas will be as follows:

- a) Inner City (see definition in glossary): up to 19m
- b) Outer City: up to 16m."

Motion 2611 Councillor(s) Cllr. Julia Carmichael

This Council resolves not to accept the recommendation in the Manager's Report and to amend Par. 17.6.1 (ii) in the Draft Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 as follows:

Omit

" in any case, the maximum outside the identified areas will be as follows:

- a) Inner City (see definition in glossary) 8 storey residential / 6 storey office
- b) Within 1 km of existing and proposed mainline, DART and Metro Stations: 6 storey residential / 6 storey office.
- c) Rest of Outer City: 6 storey residential / 4 storey office."

Insert:

"In any case, the maximum height outside the identified areas will be as follows:

- a) Inner City (see definition in glossary): up to 19m
- b) Outer City: up to 16m."

Motion 2612 Councillor(s) Cllr. Ray McAdam

This Council resolves not to accept the recommendation in the Manager's Report and to amend Par. 17.6.1 (ii) in the Draft Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 as follows:

Omit

" in any case, the maximum outside the identified areas will be as follows:

- a) Inner City (see definition in glossary) 8 storey residential / 6 storey office
- b) Within 1 km of existing and proposed mainline, DART and Metro Stations: 6 storey residential / 6 storey office.
- c) Rest of Outer City: 6 storey residential / 4 storey office."

Insert:

"In any case, the maximum height outside the identified areas will be as follows:

- a) Inner City (see definition in glossary): up to 19m
- b) Outer City: up to 16m."

Motion 2613 Councillor(s) Cllr. Rebecca Moynihan

This council rejects the managers recommendation to amend section 17.6.2

Motion 2614 Councillor(s) Cllr. Nial Ring

That the Development Plan includes an upper height restriction for High Rise development in the Inner City.

Motion 2615 Councillor(s) Cllr. Christy Burke

That height definitions of buildings should remain predominately low rise.

Motion 2616 Councillor(s) Cllr. Eoghan Murphy

That the final part of the third paragraph under section 17.6 be deleted, beginning: “and create opportunities for place making in the outer city” – the outer city being defined as that part of the city that extends out to the city boundary. This is inconsistent with other policies in relation to height in the plan, as well as Fig21, which shows that the outer city will remain low-rise.

Motion 2617 Councillor(s) Cllr. Christy Burke

City-wide height definitions

This meeting accepts that elected councillors and not paid official of the council have absolute power over what is contained in the Development Plan, and rejects any suggestion that councillors are powerless to rewrite the Draft Plan in accordance with the wishers of their electors. This meeting therefore calls on all our councilors to insist on the 2011-2017 development plan containing no higher definitions of low, mid and high rise than these:

Low Rise: up to 16 m

Mid Rise: from 16 to 30m

High Rise: over 30m

Motion 2618 Councillor(s) Cllr. Mary Freehill

This Council resolves to amend Par. 17.6.2 of the Draft Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 as follows:

- a) Omit George’s Quay from the High-rise list .1
- b) Omit Connolly from the High-rise list.

Motion 2619 Councillor(s) Cllr. Catherine Noone

This Council resolves to amend Par. 17.6.2 of the Draft Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 as follows:

- a) Omit George’s Quay from the High-rise list
- b) Omit Connolly from the High-rise list.

Motion 2620 Councillor(s) Cllr. Declan Flanagan

This Council resolves to amend Par. 17.6.2 of the Draft Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 as follows:

- a) Omit George's Quay from the High-rise list
- b) Omit Connolly from the High-rise list.

Motion 2621 Councillor(s) Cllr. Ray McAdam

This Council resolves to amend Par. 17.6.2 of the Draft Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 as follows:

- a) Omit George's Quay from the High-rise list
- b) Omit Connolly from the High-rise list.

Motion 2622 Councillor(s) Cllr. John Gallagher

This Council resolves to amend Par. 17.6.2 of the Draft Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 as follows:

- a) Omit George's Quay from the High-rise list
- b) Omit Connolly from the High-rise list.

Motion 2623 Councillor(s) Cllr. Christy Burke

This Council resolves to amend Par. 17.6.2 of the Draft Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 as follows:

- a) Omit George's Quay from the High-rise list
- b) Omit Connolly from the High-rise list.

Motion 2624 Councillor(s) Cllr. Eoghan Murphy

This Council resolves to amend Par. 17.6.2 of the Draft Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 as follows:

- a) Omit George's Quay from the High-rise list
- b) Omit Connolly from the High-rise list

Motion 2625 Councillor(s) Cllr. Julia Carmichael

This Council resolves to amend Par. 17.6.2 of the Draft Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 as follows:

- a) Omit George's Quay from the High-rise list
- b) Omit Connolly from the High-rise list.

Motion 2626 Councillor(s) Cllr. Ray McAdam

This Council resolves to amend Par. 17.6.2 of the Draft Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 as follows:

- a) Omit George's Quay from the High-rise list
- b) Omit Connolly from the High-rise list.

Motion 2627 Councillor(s) Cllr. Ray McAdam

This Council resolves to further amend Par. 17.6.2 of the Draft Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 as follows:

- a) Omit Grangegorman from the Mid-rise list
- b) Omit Phibsborough from the Mid-rise list.

Motion 2628 Councillor(s) Cllr. John Gallagher

This Council resolves to further amend Par. 17.6.2 of the Draft Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 as follows:

- a) Omit Grangegorman from the Mid-rise list.
- b) Omit Phibsborough from the Mid-rise list.

Motion 2629 Councillor(s) Cllr. Christy Burke

This Council resolves to further amend Par. 17.6.2 of the Draft Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 as follows:

- a) Omit Grangegorman from the Mid-rise list.
- b) Omit Phibsborough from the Mid-rise list.

Motion 2630 Councillor(s) Cllr. Ray McAdam

This Council resolves to further amend Par. 17.6.2 of the Draft Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 as follows:

- a) Omit Grangegorman from the Mid-rise list.
- b) Omit Phibsborough from the Mid-rise list.

Motion 2631 Councillor(s) Cllr. Mary O'Shea, Cllr. Ray McAdam

That section 16.4.2 of the Draft City Development Plan be amended by the addition of the following sentence as an additional bullet point in section6 "to provide a range of low and mid rise buildings strictly in accordance with the detailed provisions of the Local Area Plan.

Motion 2632 Councillor(s) Cllr. Cieran Perry

That section 16.4.2 be amended to include the following in section 6 page 192 – (fourth bullet point)

“to provide a range of low and mid rise buildings strictly in accordance with the detailed provisions of the Local Area Plan”

Motion 2633 Councillor(s) Cllr. Aine Clancy, Cllr. Emer Costello

That section 16.4.2 be amended to include the following in section 6 page 192 (fourth bullet point)

to provide a range of low and mid rise buildings strictly in accordance with the detailed provisions of the Local Area Plan.

Motion 2634 Councillor(s) Cllr. Mary Fitzpatrick

That section 16.4.2 be amended to include the following in section 6 page 192 – (fourth bullet point) to provide a range of low and mid rise buildings strictly in accordance with the detailed provisions of the Local Area Plan.

Motion 2635 Councillor(s) Cllr. Cieran Perry

That an additional statement be included in section 17.6.2 similar to the provisions contained for the Liberties LAP, as follows

“that DCC agrees to incorporate the existing Phibsborough / Mountjoy Local Area Plan into the Draft City Development Plan 2011-2017 and that it supersedes any relevant section of the Dublin City Development Plan 2011-2017 and that applications for permission have full regard to this Local Area Plan”

Motion 2636 Councillor(s) Cllr. Aine Clancy, Cllr. Emer Costello

That an additional statement be included in section 17.6.2 similar to the provisions contained for the Liberties LAP, as follows

- that DCC agrees to incorporate the existing Phibsborough / Mountjoy Local Area Plan into the Draft City Development Plan 2011-2017 and that it supersedes any relevant section of the Dublin City Development Plan 2011-2017 and that applications for permission have full regard to this Local Area Plan.

Motion 2637 Councillor(s) Cllr. Mary Fitzpatrick

That an additional statement be included in section 17.6.2 similar to the provisions contained for the Liberties LAP, as follows - that DCC agrees to incorporate the existing Phibsborough / Mountjoy Local Area Plan into the Draft City Development Plan 2011-2017 and that it supersedes any relevant section of the Dublin City Development Plan 2011-2017 and that applications for permission have full regard to this Local Area Plan.

Motion 2638 Councillor(s) Cllr. Mary O'Shea

This Council agrees that Part 4.4.4.1 entitled “Approach to Taller Buildings” be amended to delete the reference to Pelletstown in the following sentence (p. 33)- “Taller buildings are acceptable at major public transport hubs, or in the main Key Developing Areas such as parts of the Docklands, or in the Outer City in locations

such as Pelletstown and Ballymun, where a limited number of mid-rise buildings will help provide a new urban identity.”

Motion 2639 Councillor(s) Cllr. Cieran Perry

This Council agrees that Part 4.4.4.1 entitled "Approach to Taller Buildings" be amended to delete the reference to Pelletstown in the following sentence (p. 33)-

"Taller buildings are acceptable at major public transport hubs, or in the main Key Developing Areas such as parts of the Docklands, or in the Outer City in locations such as Pelletstown and Ballymun, where a limited number of mid-rise buildings will help provide a new urban identity."

Motion 2640 Councillor(s) Cllr. Aine Clancy, Cllr. Emer Costello

This Council agrees that Part 4.4.4.1 entitled "Approach to Taller Buildings" be amended to delete the reference to Pelletstown in the following sentence (p. 33)-

"Taller buildings are acceptable at major public transport hubs, or in the main Key Developing Areas such as parts of the Docklands, or in the Outer City in locations such as Pelletstown and Ballymun, where a limited number of mid-rise buildings will help provide a new urban identity."

Motion 2641 Councillor(s) Cllr. Mary O'Shea

This Council agrees that the key development principles for Pelletstown contained in Part 16.4.2 of the Plan be amended to read as follows-

- No further mid-rise buildings be constructed in Pelletstown as adequate community, social, recreational and amenity facilities are not provided in the area.
- It is considered that mid-rise buildings are no longer appropriate for cost, environmental, social cohesion and community reasons, and the railway corridor serving the area is already at or beyond congestion point.

Motion 2642 Councillor(s) Cllr. Cieran Perry

This Council agrees that the key development principles for Pelletstown contained in Part 16.4.2 of the Plan be amended to read as follows-

- No further mid-rise buildings be constructed in Pelletstown as adequate community, social, recreational and amenity facilities are not provided in the area.
- It is considered that mid-rise buildings are no longer appropriate for cost, environmental, social cohesion and community reasons, and the railway corridor serving the area is already at or beyond congestion point.

Motion 2643 Councillor(s) Cllr. Aine Clancy, Cllr. Emer Costello

This Council agrees that the key development principles for Pelletstown contained in Part 16.4.2 of the Plan be amended to read as follows-

- No further mid-rise buildings be constructed in Pelletstown as adequate community, social, recreational and amenity facilities are not provided in the area.
- It is considered that mid-rise buildings are no longer appropriate for cost, environmental, social cohesion and community reasons, and the railway corridor serving the area is already at or beyond congestion point.

Motion 2644 Councillor(s) Cllr. Mary O'Shea

This Council agrees that Part 17.6.1 of the Plan entitled "Areas Identified as Appropriate for High Buildings" be amended to delete the reference to Pelletstown in the list entitled "Areas with Potential for up to 50m".

Motion 2645 Councillor(s) Cllr. Cieran Perry

This Council agrees that Part 17.6.1 of the Plan entitled "Areas Identified as Appropriate for High Buildings" be amended to delete the reference to Pelletstown in the list entitled "Areas with Potential for up to 50m".

Motion 2646 Councillor(s) Cllr. Mary Fitzpatrick

This Council agrees that Part 17.6.1 of the Plan entitled "*Areas Identified as Appropriate for High Buildings*" be amended to delete the reference to Pelletstown in the list entitled "*Areas with Potential for up to 50m*". This Council agrees that Part 4.4.4.1 entitled "Approach to Taller Buildings" be amended to delete the reference to Pelletstown in the following sentence (p. 33)-"*Taller buildings are acceptable at major public transport hubs, or in the main Key Developing Areas such as parts of the Docklands, or in the Outer City in locations such as Pelletstown and Ballymun, where a limited number of mid-rise buildings will help provide a new urban identity.*"

Motion 2647 Councillor(s) Cllr. Christy Burke

Limits on future development in this area

This meeting insists that **all future development within the NRCC area** be designated as **low rise** for the purpose of the development plan, including the lands at Pelletstown and all those areas within 1km of the mainline train stations and instructs our councillors to ensure this amendment is made to the Draft Plan.

Motion 2648 Councillor(s) Cllr. Cieran Perry

This Council agrees that all future development within the Navan Road Community Council area be designated as low rise for the purpose of the development plan, including the lands at Pelletstown and all those areas within 1km of the mainline train stations and instructs our councillors to ensure this amendment is made to the Draft Plan.

Motion 2649 Councillor(s) Cllr. Aine Clancy, Cllr. Emer Costello

Insert * After Pelletstown and insert new bullet point in "for the avoidance of doubt..." to read Pelletstown will be treated as a low rise area. No height greater than that specified for the Outer City category will apply pending the adoption of a Local Area Plan.

Motion 2650 Councillor(s) Cllr. Criona Ni Dhalaigh

That all heights and densities agreed in the Liberties LAP be adhered to in the Dublin City Development Plan.

Motion 2651 Councillor(s) Cllr. Rebecca Moynihan, Cllr. Criona Ni Dhalaigh

That the heights and densities for the Liberties area in the draft development plan are revised and are reverted back to the heights set out in the Liberties area plan.

Motion 2652 Councillor(s) Cllr. Rebecca Moynihan

The development plan recognises the Kilmainham/Inchicore/Collins Barracks museum quarter of the city as being of cultural and historical significance. This area will be designated a mid rise area.

Motion 2653 Councillor(s) Cllr. Christy Burke

To ask this Committee to agree to consider that in recognition of the established role played by Liberty Hall on the city skyline, that this Central Area Committee meeting consider the below points to amend the Draft Development Plan so that any new development at this site: -

- continues this role with a proposal of outstanding architectural quality,
- protects and continues the historic linkage of the site with the Irish Trade Union movement,
- delivers new public accessibility and use at the redeveloped building,
- has regard to the relevant assessment criteria for high buildings, as set out in the Development Plan.

Motion 2654 Councillor(s) Cllr. Dr. Bill Tormey

In recognition of the established role played by Liberty Hall on the city skyline, it is the policy of Dublin City Council to consider on its merits, any redevelopment of Liberty Hall which:

- continues this role with a proposal of outstanding architectural quality,
- protects and continues the historic linkage of the site with the Irish trade union movement,
- delivers new public accessibility and use at the redeveloped building,
- which has regard to the relevant assessment criteria for high buildings, as set out in the development plan.

Motion 2655 Councillor(s) Cllr. Kevin Humphreys

Given the established role played by certain high buildings on the city skyline, it is submitted that the following be inserted in the Building Height section of the Draft Plan:

“Where a site has a pre-existing height over that stipulated above, a building of the same number of storeys, or for additional height where it is demonstrated that the proposal articulates a significant cultural and historic linkage with the site and delivers a publicly accessible facility, may be permitted, subject to the standards set out elsewhere in the plan (including para 17.6.3 below).

Motion 2656 Councillor(s) Cllr. Jim O'Callaghan

Section 4.4.4.1 Approach to Taller Buildings

This City Council resolves to amend SC16 of the Draft Development Plan as follows:

From

“SC16 To protect and enhance the skyline of the inner city, and to ensure that all proposals for mid rise and taller buildings make a positive contribution to the urban character of the City, having regard to the criteria and site principles set out in the Development Standards Section. In particular all new proposals must demonstrate sensitivity to the historic city centre, the River Liffey and Quays, Trinity College, the Cathedrals, Dublin Castle, the historic squares and the City canals”

To:

“To protect and enhance the skyline of the inner city, and to ensure that all proposals for mid rise and taller buildings make a positive contribution to the urban character of the City, having regard to the criteria and site principles set out in the Development Standards Section. In particular all new proposals must demonstrate sensitivity to and not have a negative effect upon the historic City Centre, National Monuments, the River Liffey and Quays, Trinity College, the Cathedrals, Dublin Castle, the historic squares, the City canals and the Phoenix Park.”

Motion 2657 Councillor(s) Cllr. Mary Freehill

This Council resolves to amend SC16 of the Draft Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 as follows:

omit “*and enhance*” and change the wording from “*all new proposals must demonstrate sensitivity to*” to “permission will not be granted for any building that has a negative impact on the character or setting of”. It should change from:

“It is the policy of Dublin City Council to protect and enhance the skyline of the inner city, and to ensure that all proposals for mid-rise and taller buildings make a positive contribution to the urban character of the city, having regard to the criteria and site principles set out in the Development Standards Section. In particular all new proposals must demonstrate sensitivity to the historic city centre, the River Liffey and Quays, Trinity College, the Cathedrals, Dublin Castle, the historic squares and the city canals.”

so that it reads:

“It is the policy of Dublin City Council to protect the skyline of the city, and to ensure that all proposals for taller buildings than the local context make a positive contribution to the urban character of the city, having regard to the criteria and site principles set out in the Development Standards Section. In particular, permission will not be granted for any building that has a negative effect on the urban character of the city, the River Liffey and Quays, Trinity College, the Cathedrals, Dublin Castle, the historic squares and precincts, the Phoenix Park, the Royal Hospital, Kilmainham and the city canals.”

Motion 2658 Councillor(s) Cllr. Catherine Noone

This Council resolves to amend SC16 of the Draft Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 as follows:

omit “*and enhance*” and change the wording from “*all new proposals must demonstrate sensitivity to*” to “permission will not be granted for any building that has a negative impact on the character or setting of”. It should change from:

“It is the policy of Dublin City Council to protect and enhance the skyline of the inner city, and to ensure that all proposals for mid-rise and taller buildings make a positive contribution to the urban character of the city, having regard to the criteria and site principles set out in the Development Standards Section. In particular all new proposals must demonstrate sensitivity to the historic city centre, the River Liffey and Quays, Trinity College, the Cathedrals, Dublin Castle, the historic squares and the city canals.”

so that it reads:

“It is the policy of Dublin City Council to protect the skyline of the city, and to ensure that all proposals for taller buildings than the local context make a positive contribution to the urban character of the city, having regard to the criteria and site principles set out in the Development Standards Section. In particular, permission will not be granted for any building that has a negative effect on the urban character of the city, the River Liffey and Quays, Trinity College, the Cathedrals, Dublin Castle, the historic squares and precincts, the Phoenix Park, the Royal Hospital, Kilmainham and the city canals.”

Motion 2659 Councillor(s) Cllr. Declan Flanagan

This Council resolves to amend SC16 of the Draft Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 as follows:

omit “*and enhance*” and change the wording from “*all new proposals must demonstrate sensitivity to*” to “permission will not be granted for any building that has a negative impact on the character or setting of”. It should change from:

“It is the policy of Dublin City Council to protect and enhance the skyline of the inner city, and to ensure that all proposals for mid-rise and taller buildings make a positive contribution to the urban character of the city, having regard to the criteria and site principles set out in the Development Standards Section. In particular all new proposals must demonstrate sensitivity to the historic city centre, the River Liffey and Quays, Trinity College, the Cathedrals, Dublin Castle, the historic squares and the city canals.”

so that it reads:

“It is the policy of Dublin City Council to protect the skyline of the city, and to ensure that all proposals for taller buildings than the local context make a positive contribution to the urban character of the city, having regard to the criteria and site principles set out in the Development Standards Section. In particular, permission will not be granted for any building that has a negative effect on the urban character of the city, the River Liffey and Quays, Trinity College, the Cathedrals, Dublin Castle, the historic squares and precincts, the Phoenix Park, the Royal Hospital, Kilmainham and the city canals.”

Motion 2660 Councillor(s) Cllr. John Gallagher

This Council resolves to amend SC16 of the Draft Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 as follows: omit “*and enhance*” and change the wording from “*all new proposals must demonstrate sensitivity to*” to “permission will not be granted for any building that has a negative impact on the character or setting of”. It should change from:

“It is the policy of Dublin City Council to protect and enhance the skyline of the inner city, and to ensure that all proposals for mid-rise and taller buildings make a positive contribution to the urban character of the city, having regard to the criteria and site principles set out in the Development Standards Section. In particular all new proposals must demonstrate sensitivity to the historic city centre, the River Liffey and Quays, Trinity College, the Cathedrals, Dublin Castle, the historic squares and the city canals.”

so that it reads:

“It is the policy of Dublin City Council to protect the skyline of the city, and to ensure that all proposals for taller buildings than the local context make a positive contribution to the urban character of the city, having regard to the criteria and site principles set out in the Development Standards Section. In particular, permission will not be granted for any building that has a negative effect on the urban character of the city, the River Liffey and Quays, Trinity College, the Cathedrals, Dublin Castle, the historic squares and precincts, the Phoenix Park, the Royal Hospital, Kilmainham and the city canals.”

Motion 2661 Councillor(s) Cllr. Christy Burke

This Council resolves to amend SC16 of the Draft Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 as follows: omit “*and enhance*” and change the wording from “*all new proposals must demonstrate sensitivity to*” to “permission will not be granted for any building that has a negative impact on the character or setting of”.

It should change from:

“It is the policy of Dublin City Council to protect and enhance the skyline of the inner city, and to ensure that all proposals for mid-rise and taller buildings make a positive contribution to the urban character of the city, having regard to the criteria and site principles set out in the Development Standards Section. In particular all new proposals must demonstrate sensitivity to the historic city centre, the River Liffey and Quays, Trinity College, the Cathedrals, Dublin Castle, the historic squares and the city canals.”

so that it reads:

“It is the policy of Dublin City Council to protect the skyline of the city, and to ensure that all proposals for taller buildings than the local context make a positive contribution to the urban character of the city, having regard to the criteria and site principles set out in the Development Standards Section. In particular, permission will not be granted for any building that has a negative effect on the urban character of the city, the River Liffey and Quays, Trinity College, the Cathedrals, Dublin Castle, the historic squares and precincts, the Phoenix Park, the Royal Hospital, Kilmainham and the city canals.”

Motion 2662 Councillor(s) Cllr. Ruairi McGinley

This Council resolves to amend SC16 of the Draft Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 as follows:

omit “*and enhance*” and change the wording from “*all new proposals must demonstrate sensitivity to*” to “permission will not be granted for any building that has a negative impact on the character or setting of”.

It should change from:

“It is the policy of Dublin City Council to protect and enhance the skyline of the inner city, and to ensure that all proposals for mid-rise and taller buildings make a positive contribution to the urban character of the city, having regard to the criteria and site principles set out in the Development Standards Section. In particular all new proposals must demonstrate sensitivity to the historic city centre, the River Liffey and Quays, Trinity College, the Cathedrals, Dublin Castle, the historic squares and the city canals.”

so that it reads:

“It is the policy of Dublin City Council to protect the skyline of the city, and to ensure that all proposals for taller buildings than the local context make a positive contribution to the urban character of the city, having regard to the criteria and site principles set out in the Development Standards Section. In particular, permission will not be granted for any building that has a negative effect on the urban character of the city, the River Liffey and Quays, Trinity College, the Cathedrals, Dublin Castle, the historic squares and precincts, the Phoenix Park, the Royal Hospital, Kilmainham and the city canals.”

Motion 2663 Councillor(s) Cllr. Eoghan Murphy

This Council resolves to amend SC16 of the Draft Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 as follows: omit “*and enhance*” and change the wording from “*all new proposals must demonstrate sensitivity to*” to “permission will not be granted for any building that has a negative impact on the character or setting of”.

It should change from:

“It is the policy of Dublin City Council to protect and enhance the skyline of the inner city, and to ensure that all proposals for mid-rise and taller buildings make a positive contribution to the urban character of the city, having regard to the criteria and site principles set out in the Development Standards Section. In particular all new proposals must demonstrate sensitivity to the historic city centre, the River Liffey and Quays, Trinity College, the Cathedrals, Dublin Castle, the historic squares and the city canals.”

so that it reads:

“It is the policy of Dublin City Council to protect the skyline of the city, and to ensure that all proposals for taller buildings than the local context make a positive contribution to the urban character of the city, having regard to the criteria and site principles set out in the Development Standards Section. In particular, permission will not be granted for any building that has a negative effect on the urban character of the city, the River Liffey and Quays, Trinity College, the Cathedrals, Dublin Castle, the historic squares and precincts, the Phoenix Park, the Royal Hospital, Kilmainham and the city canals.”

Motion 2664 Councillor(s) Cllr. Julia Carmichael

This Council resolves to amend SC16 of the Draft Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 as follows: omit “*and enhance*” and change the wording from “*all new proposals must demonstrate sensitivity to*” to “permission will not be granted for any building that has a negative impact on the character or setting of”.

It should change from:

“It is the policy of Dublin City Council to protect and enhance the skyline of the inner city, and to ensure that all proposals for mid-rise and taller buildings make a positive contribution to the urban character of the city, having regard to the criteria and site principles set out in the Development Standards Section. In particular all new proposals must demonstrate sensitivity to the historic city centre, the River Liffey and Quays, Trinity College, the Cathedrals, Dublin Castle, the historic squares and the city canals.”

so that it reads:

“It is the policy of Dublin City Council to protect the skyline of the city, and to ensure that all proposals for taller buildings than the local context make a positive contribution to the urban character of the city, having regard to the criteria and site principles set out in the Development Standards Section. In particular, permission will not be granted for any building that has a negative effect on the urban character of the city, the River Liffey and Quays, Trinity College, the Cathedrals, Dublin Castle, the historic squares and precincts, the Phoenix Park, the Royal Hospital, Kilmainham and the city canals.”

Motion 2665 Councillor(s) Cllr. Ray McAdam

This Council resolves to amend SC16 of the Draft Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 as follows: omit “and enhance” and change the wording from “all new proposals must demonstrate sensitivity to” to “permission will not be granted for any building that has a negative impact on the character or setting of”. It should change from:

“It is the policy of Dublin City Council to protect and enhance the skyline of the inner city, and to ensure that all proposals for mid-rise and taller buildings make a positive contribution to the urban character of the city, having regard to the criteria and site principles set out in the Development Standards Section. In particular all new proposals must demonstrate sensitivity to the historic city centre, the River Liffey and Quays, Trinity College, the Cathedrals, Dublin Castle, the historic squares and the city canals.”

so that it reads:

“It is the policy of Dublin City Council to protect the skyline of the city, and to ensure that all proposals for taller buildings than the local context make a positive contribution to the urban character of the city, having regard to the criteria and site principles set out in the Development Standards Section. In particular, permission will not be granted for any building that has a negative effect on the urban character of the city, the River Liffey and Quays, Trinity College, the Cathedrals, Dublin Castle, the historic squares and precincts, the Phoenix Park, the Royal Hospital, Kilmainham and the city canals.”

Motion 2666 Councillor(s) Cllr. Ray McAdam

This Council resolves to amend SC16 of the Draft Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 as follows:

omit “*and enhance*” and change the wording from “*all new proposals must demonstrate sensitivity to*” to “permission will not be granted for any building that has a negative impact on the character or setting of”. It should change from:

“It is the policy of Dublin City Council to protect and enhance the skyline of the inner city, and to ensure that all proposals for mid-rise and taller buildings make a positive contribution to the urban character of the city, having regard to the criteria and site principles set out in the Development Standards Section. In particular all new proposals must demonstrate sensitivity to the historic city centre, the River Liffey and Quays, Trinity College, the Cathedrals, Dublin Castle, the historic squares and the city canals.”

so that it reads:

“It is the policy of Dublin City Council to protect the skyline of the city, and to ensure that all proposals for taller buildings than the local context make a positive contribution to the urban character of the city, having regard to the criteria and site principles set out in the Development Standards Section. In particular, permission will not be granted for any building that has a negative effect on the urban character of the city, the River Liffey and Quays, Trinity College, the Cathedrals, Dublin Castle, the historic squares and precincts, the Phoenix Park, the Royal Hospital, Kilmainham and the city canals.”

Motion 2667 Councillor(s) Cllr. Ruairi McGinley

This Council resolves to amend SC16 of the Draft Dublin City Development Plan 2011 - 2017 as follows:

omit "and enhance" and change the wording from "all new proposals must demonstrate sensitivity to" to "permission will not be granted for any building that has a negative impact on the character or setting of".

It should change from:

"It is the policy of Dublin City Council to protect and enhance the skyline of the inner city, and to ensure that all proposals for mid-rise and taller buildings make a positive contribution to the urban character of the city, having regard to the criteria and site principles set out in the Development Standards Section. In particular all new proposals must demonstrate sensitivity to the historic city centre, the River Liffey and Quays, Trinity College, the Cathedrals, Dublin Castle, the historic squares and the city canals."

so that it reads:

"It is the policy of Dublin City Council to protect the skyline of the city, and to ensure that all proposals for taller buildings than the local context make a positive contribution to the urban character of the city, having regard to the criteria and site principles set out in the Development Standards Section. In particular, permission will not be granted for any building that has a negative effect on the urban character of the city, the River Liffey and Quays, Trinity College, the Cathedrals, Dublin Castle, the historic squares and precincts, the Phoenix Park, and the city canals."

Motion 2668 Councillor(s) Cllr. Catherine Noone

This Council resolves to include the following policies in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 - 2017:

- a) "It is the policy of Dublin City Council to protect the skyline and character of the city centre, established residential areas, open recreation areas, and civic spaces of local and city-wide importance."
- b) "It is the policy of Dublin City Council to protect important views and view corridors into, out of and within the city, and to protect existing city landmarks and their prominence."

Motion 2669 Councillor(s) Cllr. Dermot Lacey, Cllr. Mary Freehill

This Council resolves to include the following policies in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 - 2017:

- (a) "It is the policy of Dublin City Council to protect the skyline and character of the city centre, established residential areas, open recreation areas, and civic spaces of local and city-wide importance."
- (b) "It is the policy of Dublin City Council to protect important views and view corridors into, out of and within the city, and to protect existing city landmarks and their prominence."

Motion 2670 Councillor(s) Cllr. Declan Flanagan

This Council resolves to include the following policies in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 - 2017:

- (a) "It is the policy of Dublin City Council to protect the skyline and character of the city centre, established residential areas, open recreation areas, and civic spaces of local and city-wide importance."
- (b) "It is the policy of Dublin City Council to protect important views and view corridors into, out of and within the city, and to protect existing city landmarks and their prominence."

Motion 2671 Councillor(s) Cllr. Mary O'Shea

That the following policy be included in the Development Plan” It is the policy of this City Council to protect the skyline and character of the city centre, established residential areas, open recreational areas, and civic spaces of local and city wide importance. Further it is the policy of this City Council to protect important views and view corridors into, out of and within the city, and to protect existing city landmarks and their prominence.”

Motion 2672 Councillor(s) Cllr. Criona Ni Dhalaigh

This Council resolves to include the following policies in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 - 2017:

(a) “It is the policy of Dublin City Council to protect the skyline and character of the city centre, established residential areas, open recreation areas, and civic spaces of local and city-wide importance.”

(b) “It is the policy of Dublin City Council to protect important views and view corridors into, out of and within the city, and to protect existing city landmarks and their prominence.”

Motion 2673 Councillor(s) Cllr. Ray McAdam

This Council resolves to include the following policies in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 - 2017:

It is the policy of Dublin City Council to protect the skyline and character of the city centre, ” .1
“.established residential areas, open recreation areas, and civic spaces of local and city-wide importance

(b) “It is the policy of Dublin City Council to protect important views and view corridors into, out of and within the city, and to protect existing city landmarks and their prominence.”

Motion 2674 Councillor(s) Cllr. Nial Ring

This Council resolves to include the following policies in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 - 2017:

(a) “It is the policy of Dublin City Council to protect the skyline and character of the city centre, established residential areas, open recreation areas, and civic spaces of local and city-wide importance.”

(b) “It is the policy of Dublin City Council to protect important views and view corridors into, out of and within the city, and to protect existing city landmarks and their prominence.”

Motion 2675 Councillor(s) Cllr. Aine Clancy, Cllr. Emer Costello

This Council resolves to include the following policies in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 - 2017:

(a) “It is the policy of Dublin City Council to protect the skyline and character of the city centre, established residential areas, open recreation areas, and civic spaces of local and city-wide importance.”

(b) “It is the policy of Dublin City Council to protect important views and view corridors into, out of and within the city, and to protect existing city landmarks and their prominence.”

Motion 2676 Councillor(s) Cllr. Christy Burke

This Council resolves to include the following policies in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 - 2017:

- a) "It is the policy of Dublin City Council to protect the skyline and character of the city centre, established residential areas, open recreation areas, and civic spaces of local and city-wide importance."
- b) "It is the policy of Dublin City Council to protect important views and view corridors into, out of and within the city, and to protect existing city landmarks and their prominence."

Motion 2677 Councillor(s) Cllr. Eoghan Murphy

This Council resolves to include the following policies in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 - 2017:

- (a) "It is the policy of Dublin City Council to protect the skyline and character of the city centre, established residential areas, open recreation areas, and civic spaces of local and city-wide importance."
- (b) "It is the policy of Dublin City Council to protect important views and view corridors into, out of and within the city, and to protect existing city landmarks and their prominence."

Motion 2678 Councillor(s) Cllr. Julia Carmichael

This Council resolves to include the following policies in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 - 2017:

- (a) "It is the policy of Dublin City Council to protect the skyline and character of the city centre, established residential areas, open recreation areas, and civic spaces of local and city-wide importance."
- (b) "It is the policy of Dublin City Council to protect important views and view corridors into, out of and within the city, and to protect existing city landmarks and their prominence."

Motion 2679 Councillor(s) Cllr. Catherine Noone

This Council resolves to include the following policy in Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017:

"The relationship of Dublin's street facades to the human scale is recognised as a major attraction of the city. It is a policy of Dublin City Council that new buildings should be designed and sited with a view to maintaining this important characteristic."

Motion 2680 Councillor(s) Cllr. Declan Flanagan

This Council resolves to include the following policy in Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017:

"The relationship of Dublin's street facades to the human scale is recognised as a major attraction of the city. It is a policy of Dublin City Council that new buildings should be designed and sited with a view to maintaining this important characteristic."

Motion 2681 Councillor(s) Cllr. Ray McAdam

This Council resolves to include the following policy in Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017:

"The relationship of Dublin's street facades to the human scale is recognised as a major attraction of the city. It is a policy of Dublin City Council that new buildings should be designed and sited with a view to maintaining this important characteristic."

Motion 2682 Councillor(s) Cllr. Nial Ring

This Council resolves to include the following policy in Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017:

“The relationship of Dublin’s street facades to the human scale is recognised as a major attraction of the city. It is a policy of Dublin City Council that new buildings should be designed and sited with a view to maintaining this important characteristic.”

Motion 2683 Councillor(s) Cllr. Aine Clancy, Cllr. Emer Costello

This Council resolves to include the following policy in Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017:

“The relationship of Dublin’s street facades to the human scale is recognised as a major attraction of the city. It is a policy of Dublin City Council that new buildings should be designed and sited with a view to maintaining this important characteristic.”

Motion 2684 Councillor(s) Cllr. Christy Burke

This Council resolves to include the following policy in Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017:

“The relationship of Dublin’s street facades to the human scale is recognised as a major attraction of the city. It is a policy of Dublin City Council that new buildings should be designed and sited with a view to maintaining this important characteristic.”

Motion 2685 Councillor(s) Cllr. Eoghan Murphy

This Council resolves to include the following policy in Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017:

“The relationship of Dublin’s street facades to the human scale is recognised as a major attraction of the city. It is a policy of Dublin City Council that new buildings should be designed and sited with a view to maintaining this important characteristic.”

Motion 2686 Councillor(s) Cllr. Kevin Humphreys

This Council resolves to include the following policy in Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017:

“The relationship of Dublin’s street facades to the human scale is recognised as a major attraction of the city. It is a policy of Dublin City Council that new buildings should be designed and sited with a view to maintaining this important characteristic.”

Motion 2687 Councillor(s) Cllr. Ruairi McGinley

This Council resolves to include the following policy in Dublin City Development Plan 2011 - 2017:

"The relationship of Dublin's street facades to the human scale is recognised as a major attraction of the city. It is a policy of Dublin City Council that new buildings should be designed and sited with a view to maintaining this important characteristic."

Motion 2688 Councillor(s) Cllr. Julia Carmichael

This Council resolves to include the following policy in Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017:

“The relationship of Dublin’s street facades to the human scale is recognised as a major attraction of the city. It is a policy of Dublin City Council that new buildings should be designed and sited with a view to maintaining this important characteristic.”

Motion 2689 Councillor(s) Cllr. Dermot Lacey, Cllr. Mary Freehill

This Council resolves to include the following policy in Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017:

“The relationship of Dublin’s street facades to the human scale is recognised as a major attraction of the city. It is a policy of Dublin City Council that new buildings should be designed and sited with a view to maintaining this important characteristic.”

Motion 2690 Councillor(s) Cllr. Dermot Lacey, Cllr. Mary Freehill

This Council resolves to amend the wording at Par.17.6 of the Draft Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 as follows:

Omit the words “and enhance” from

“It is the policy of Dublin City Council to continue to protect and enhance the skyline of the inner city and to ensure that any proposals for high buildings make a positive contribution to the urban character of the city, and create opportunities for place-making identity in the outer city”

So that it reads:

“It is the policy of Dublin City Council to continue to protect the skyline of the inner city and to ensure that any proposals for high buildings make a positive contribution to the urban character of the city, and create opportunities for place-making identity in the outer city”

Motion 2692 Councillor(s) Cllr. Catherine Noone

This Council resolves to amend the wording at Par.17.6 of the Draft Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 as follows:

Omit the words “and enhance” from

“It is the policy of Dublin City Council to continue to protect and enhance the skyline of the inner city and to ensure that any proposals for high buildings make a positive contribution to the urban character of the city, and create opportunities for place-making identity in the outer city”

So that it reads:

“It is the policy of Dublin City Council to continue to protect the skyline of the inner city and to ensure that any proposals for high buildings make a positive contribution to the urban character of the city, and create opportunities for place-making identity in the outer city”

Motion 2693 Councillor(s) Cllr. Declan Flanagan

This Council resolves to amend the wording at Par.17.6 of the Draft Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 as follows:

Omit the words “and enhance” from

“It is the policy of Dublin City Council to continue to protect and enhance the skyline of the inner city and to ensure that any proposals for high buildings make a positive contribution to the urban character of the city, and create opportunities for place-making identity in the outer city”

So that it reads:

“It is the policy of Dublin City Council to continue to protect the skyline of the inner city and to ensure that any proposals for high buildings make a positive contribution to the urban character of the city, and create opportunities for place-making identity in the outer city”

Motion 2694 Councillor(s) Cllr. Ray McAdam

This Council resolves to amend the wording at Par.17.6 of the Draft Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 as follows:

Omit the words “and enhance” from

“It is the policy of Dublin City Council to continue to protect and enhance the skyline of the inner city and to ensure that any proposals for high buildings make a positive contribution to the urban character of the city, and create opportunities for place-making identity in the outer city”

So that it reads:

“It is the policy of Dublin City Council to continue to protect the skyline of the inner city and to ensure that any proposals for high buildings make a positive contribution to the urban character of the city, and create opportunities for place-making identity in the outer city”

Motion 2695 Councillor(s) Cllr. Aine Clancy, Cllr. Emer Costello

This Council resolves to amend the wording at Par.17.6 of the Draft Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 as follows:

Omit the words “and enhance” from

“It is the policy of Dublin City Council to continue to protect and enhance the skyline of the inner city and to ensure that any proposals for high buildings make a positive contribution to the urban character of the city, and create opportunities for place-making identity in the outer city”

So that it reads:

“It is the policy of Dublin City Council to continue to protect the skyline of the inner city and to ensure that any proposals for high buildings make a positive contribution to the urban character of the city, and create opportunities for place-making identity in the outer city”

Motion 2696 Councillor(s) Cllr. John Gallagher

This Council resolves to amend the wording at Par.17.6 of the Draft Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 as follows:

Omit the words “and enhance” from

“It is the policy of Dublin City Council to continue to protect and enhance the skyline of the inner city and to ensure that any proposals for high buildings make a positive contribution to the urban character of the city, and create opportunities for place-making identity in the outer city”

So that it reads:

“It is the policy of Dublin City Council to continue to protect the skyline of the inner city and to ensure that any proposals for high buildings make a positive contribution to the urban character of the city, and create opportunities for place-making identity in the outer city”

Motion 2697 Councillor(s) Cllr. Christy Burke

This Council resolves to amend the wording at Par.17.6 of the Draft Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 as follows:

Omit the words “and enhance” from

“It is the policy of Dublin City Council to continue to protect and enhance the skyline of the inner city and to ensure that any proposals for high buildings make a positive contribution to the urban character of the city, and create opportunities for place-making identity in the outer city”

So that it reads:

“It is the policy of Dublin City Council to continue to protect the skyline of the inner city and to ensure that any proposals for high buildings make a positive contribution to the urban character of the city, and create opportunities for place-making identity in the outer city”

Motion 2698 Councillor(s) Cllr. Eoghan Murphy

This Council resolves to amend the wording at Par.17.6 of the Draft Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 as follows:

Omit the words “and enhance” from

“It is the policy of Dublin City Council to continue to protect and enhance the skyline of the inner city and to ensure that any proposals for high buildings make a positive contribution to the urban character of the city, and create opportunities for place-making identity in the outer city”

So that it reads:

“It is the policy of Dublin City Council to continue to protect the skyline of the inner city and to ensure that any proposals for high buildings make a positive contribution to the urban character of the city, and create opportunities for place-making identity in the outer city”

Motion 2699 Councillor(s) Cllr. Julia Carmichael

This Council resolves to amend the wording at Par.17.6 of the Draft Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 as follows:

Omit the words “and enhance” from

“It is the policy of Dublin City Council to continue to protect and enhance the skyline of the inner city and to ensure that any proposals for high buildings make a positive contribution to the urban character of the city, and create opportunities for place-making identity in the outer city”

So that it reads:

“It is the policy of Dublin City Council to continue to protect the skyline of the inner city and to ensure that any proposals for high buildings make a positive contribution to the urban character of the city, and create opportunities for place-making identity in the outer city”

Motion 2700 Councillor(s) Cllr. Ray McAdam

This Council resolves to amend the wording at Par.17.6 of the Draft Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 as follows:

Omit the words “and enhance” from

“It is the policy of Dublin City Council to continue to protect and enhance the skyline of the inner city and to ensure that any proposals for high buildings make a positive contribution to the urban character of the city, and create opportunities for place-making identity in the outer city”

So that it reads:

“It is the policy of Dublin City Council to continue to protect the skyline of the inner city and to ensure that any proposals for high buildings make a positive contribution to the urban character of the city, and create opportunities for place-making identity in the outer city”

Motion 2701 Councillor(s) Cllr. Kevin Humphreys

This Council resolves to amend the wording at Par.17.6 of the Draft Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 as follows:

Omit the words “and enhance” from

“It is the policy of Dublin City Council to continue to protect and enhance the skyline of the inner city and to ensure that any proposals for high buildings make a positive contribution to the urban character of the city, and create opportunities for place-making identity in the outer city”

So that it reads:

“It is the policy of Dublin City Council to continue to protect the skyline of the inner city and to ensure that any proposals for high buildings make a positive contribution to the urban character of the city, and create opportunities for place-making identity in the outer city”

Motion 2702 Councillor(s) Cllr. Ruairi McGinley

This Council resolves to amend the wording at Par.17.6 of the Draft Dublin City Development Plan 2011 - 2017 as follows:

Omit the words "and enhance" from

"It is the policy of Dublin City Council to continue to protect and enhance the skyline of the inner city and to ensure that any proposals for high buildings make a positive contribution to the urban character of the city, and create opportunities for place-making identity in the outer city"

So that it reads:

"It is the policy of Dublin City Council to continue to protect the skyline of the inner city and to ensure that any proposals for high buildings make a positive contribution to the urban character of the city, and create opportunities for place-making identity in the outer city"

Motion 2703 Councillor(s) Cllr. Dermot Lacey

To delete the references to "promoting height" in Section 17.6

Motion 2704 Councillor(s) Cllr. Mary Freehill

This Council resolves to include the following statement in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017:

"The requirement to comply with the definition of height for low rise development will not necessarily apply in the event that the development is replacing a higher existing building"

Motion 2705 Councillor(s) Cllr. Catherine Noone

This Council resolves to include the following statement in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017:

"The requirement to comply with the definition of height for low rise development will not necessarily apply in the event that the development is replacing a higher building"

Motion 2706 Councillor(s) Cllr. Ruairi McGinley

This Council resolves to include the following statement in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 - 2017:

The requirement to comply with the definition of height for low rise development will not necessarily apply in the event that the development is replacing a higher building.

Motion 2707 Councillor(s) Cllr. Aine Clancy, Cllr. Emer Costello

This Council resolves to include the following statement in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017

"The requirement to comply with the definition of height for low rise development will not necessarily apply in the event that the development is replacing an existing higher building"

Motion 2708 Councillor(s) Cllr. Eoghan Murphy

This Council resolves to include the following statement in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017

"The requirement to comply with the definition of height for low rise development will not necessarily apply in the event that the development is replacing an existing higher building"

Motion 2709 Councillor(s) Cllr. Kevin Humphreys

This Council resolves to include the following statement in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017

“The requirement to comply with the definition of height for low rise development will not necessarily apply in the event that the development is replacing a higher building”

Motion 2710 Councillor(s) Cllr. Ruairi McGinley

This Council resolves to include the following statement in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 - 2017:

"The requirement to comply with the definition of height for low rise development will not necessarily apply in the event that the development is replacing a higher building"

Motion 2711 Councillor(s) Cllr. Mary Freehill

This Council resolves to amend the Manager’s suggested wording and to insert it at Sec 17.6.2. The wording to be changed from:

“Low-rise relates to the prevailing local context”

To

“Low-rise is defined by the prevailing local height”

Motion 2712 Councillor(s) Cllr. Catherine Noone

This Council resolves to amend the Manager’s suggested wording and to insert it at Sec 17.6.2. The wording to be changed from:

“Low-rise relates to the prevailing local context”

To

“Low-rise is defined by the prevailing local height”

Motion 2713 Councillor(s) Cllr. Declan Flanagan

This Council resolves to amend the Manager’s suggested wording and to insert it at Sec 17.6.2. The wording to be changed from:

“Low-rise relates to the prevailing local context”

To

“Low-rise is defined by the prevailing local height”

Motion 2714 Councillor(s) Cllr. Criona Ni Dhalaigh

This Council resolves to amend the Manager's suggested wording and to insert it at Sec 17.6.2. The wording to be changed from:

"Low-rise relates to the prevailing local context"

To

"Low-rise is defined by the prevailing local height"

Motion 2715 Councillor(s) Cllr. Ray McAdam

This Council resolves to amend the Manager's suggested wording and to insert it at Sec 17.6.2. The wording to be changed from:

"Low-rise relates to the prevailing local context"

To

"Low-rise is defined by the prevailing local height"

Motion 2716 Councillor(s) Cllr. Aine Clancy, Cllr. Emer Costello

This Council resolves to amend the Manager's suggested wording and to insert it at Sec 17.6.2. The wording to be changed from:

"Low-rise relates to the prevailing local context"

To

"Low-rise is defined by the prevailing local height"

Motion 2717 Councillor(s) Cllr. Christy Burke

This Council resolves to amend the Manager's suggested wording and to insert it at Sec 17.6.2. The wording to be changed from:

"Low-rise relates to the prevailing local context"

To

"Low-rise is defined by the prevailing local height"

Motion 2718 Councillor(s) Cllr. Eoghan Murphy

This Council resolves to amend the Manager's suggested wording and to insert it at Sec 17.6.2. The wording to be changed from:

"Low-rise relates to the prevailing local context"

To

"Low-rise is defined by the prevailing local height"

Motion 2719 Councillor(s) Cllr. Julia Carmichael

This Council resolves to amend the Manager's suggested wording and to insert it at Sec 17.6.2. The wording to be changed from:

"Low-rise relates to the prevailing local context"

To

"Low-rise is defined by the prevailing local height"

Motion 2720 Councillor(s) Cllr. Kevin Humphreys

This Council resolves to amend the Manager's suggested wording and to insert it at Sec 17.6.2. The wording to be changed from:

"Low-rise relates to the prevailing local context"

To

"Low-rise is defined by the prevailing local height"

Motion 2721 Councillor(s) Cllr. Ruairi McGinley

This Council resolves to amend the Manager's suggested wording and to insert it at Sec 17.6.2. The wording to be changed from:

"Low-rise relates to the prevailing local context"

To

"Low-rise is defined by the prevailing local height"

Motion 2722 Councillor(s) Cllr. Dermot Lacey, Cllr. Mary Freehill

This Council resolves that, Par. 17.6.2 in the Draft Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 be amended as follows:

Omit the wording "or Schematic Masterplan to be agreed by the Local Area Committee" and include "statutorily" before "approved" so that the sentence reads:

"The definition of height for various areas in the Dublin context is as follows – unless otherwise statutorily approved in a Local Area Plan."

Motion 2723 Councillor(s) Cllr. Catherine Noone

This Council resolves that, Par. 17.6.2 in the Draft Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 be amended as follows:

Omit the wording “or Schematic Masterplan to be agreed by the Local Area Committee” and include “statutorily” before “approved” so that the sentence reads:

“The definition of height for various areas in the Dublin context is as follows – unless otherwise statutorily approved in a Local Area Plan.”

Motion 2724 Councillor(s) Cllr. Declan Flanagan

This Council resolves that, Par. 17.6.2 in the Draft Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 be amended as follows:

Omit the wording “or Schematic Masterplan to be agreed by the Local Area Committee” and include “statutorily” before “approved” so that the sentence reads:

“The definition of height for various areas in the Dublin context is as follows – unless otherwise statutorily approved in a Local Area Plan.”

Motion 2725 Councillor(s) Cllr. Ray McAdam

This Council resolves that, Par. 17.6.2 in the Draft Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 be amended as follows:

Omit the wording “or Schematic Masterplan to be agreed by the Local Area Committee” and include “statutorily” before “approved” so that the sentence reads:

“The definition of height for various areas in the Dublin context is as follows – unless otherwise statutorily approved in a Local Area Plan.”

Motion 2726 Councillor(s) Cllr. Aine Clancy, Cllr. Emer Costello

This Council resolves that, Par. 17.6.2 in the Draft Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 be amended as follows:

Omit the wording “or Schematic Masterplan to be agreed by the Local Area Committee” and include “statutorily” before “approved” so that the sentence reads:

“The definition of height for various areas in the Dublin context is as follows – unless otherwise statutorily approved in a Local Area Plan.”

Motion 2727 Councillor(s) Cllr. John Gallagher

This Council resolves that, Par. 17.6.2 in the Draft Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 be amended as follows:

Omit the wording “or Schematic Masterplan to be agreed by the Local Area Committee” and include “statutorily” before “approved” so that the sentence reads:

“The definition of height for various areas in the Dublin context is as follows – unless otherwise statutorily approved in a Local Area Plan.”

Motion 2728 Councillor(s) Cllr. Christy Burke

This Council resolves that, Par. 17.6.2 in the Draft Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 be amended as follows:

Omit the wording “or Schematic Masterplan to be agreed by the Local Area Committee” and include “statutorily” before “approved” so that the sentence reads:

“The definition of height for various areas in the Dublin context is as follows – unless otherwise statutorily approved in a Local Area Plan.”

Motion 2729 Councillor(s) Cllr. Eoghan Murphy

This Council resolves that, Par. 17.6.2 in the Draft Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 be amended as follows:

Omit the wording “or Schematic Masterplan to be agreed by the Local Area Committee” and include “statutorily” before “approved” so that the sentence reads:

“The definition of height for various areas in the Dublin context is as follows – unless otherwise statutorily approved in a Local Area Plan.”

Motion 2730 Councillor(s) Cllr. Julia Carmichael

This Council resolves that, Par. 17.6.2 in the Draft Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 be amended as follows:

Omit the wording “or Schematic Masterplan to be agreed by the Local Area Committee” and include “statutorily” before “approved” so that the sentence reads:

“The definition of height for various areas in the Dublin context is as follows – unless otherwise statutorily approved in a Local Area Plan.”

Motion 2731 Councillor(s) Cllr. Ray McAdam

This Council resolves that, Par. 17.6.2 in the Draft Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 be amended as follows:

Omit the wording “or Schematic Masterplan to be agreed by the Local Area Committee” and include “statutorily” before “approved” so that the sentence reads:

“The definition of height for various areas in the Dublin context is as follows – unless otherwise statutorily approved in a Local Area Plan.”

Motion 2732 Councillor(s) Cllr. Kevin Humphreys

This Council resolves that, Par. 17.6.2 in the Draft Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 be amended as follows:

Omit the wording “or Schematic Masterplan to be agreed by the Local Area Committee” and include “statutorily” before “approved” so that the sentence reads:

“The definition of height for various areas in the Dublin context is as follows – unless otherwise statutorily approved in a Local Area Plan.”

Motion 2733 Councillor(s) Cllr. Ruairi McGinley

This Council resolves that, Par. 17.6.2 in the Draft Dublin City Development Plan 2011 - 2017 be amended as follows:

Omit the wording "or Schematic Masterplan to be agreed by the Local Area Committee" and include "statutorily" before "approved" so that the sentence reads:

"The definition of height for various areas in the Dublin context is as follows - unless otherwise statutorily approved in a Local Area Plan."

Motion 2734 Councillor(s) Cllr. Oisín Quinn

Include a specific reference to the particular standards by reference to section number that are intended to be referred to on page 205 paragraph (i) and which relate to local character, streetscape, open space, daylight and the amenity of existing and future residents. It is important that these standards are clearly identified and easy to refer to.

Motion 2735 Councillor(s) Cllr. Oisín Quinn

In relation to the Manager’s recommendation at the top of page 356, the Manager is asked to identify the standards more particularly.

Motion 2736 Councillor(s) Cllr. Henry Upton

Page 33

To ask the manager to provide more information needs to be provided on the precise areas where low/ medium and high rise is acceptable. As part of the completed Development Plan a map showing the acceptable heights across the city is to be provided, similar to that provided in relation to parking provisions.

Motion 2737 Councillor(s) Cllr. Christy Burke

All proposed new Low Rise buildings (up to 16M) but two residential storeys or more higher than the prevailing height in the vicinity shall be accompanied by an Urban Design Statement outlining:

The context indicating a site and area analysis

The design principles which have been applied to the site and how these will be translated to the development in terms of layout, density, scale, landscape, visual appearance and impact on amenities, including sunlight.

Drawings, prospectus and photomontages to demonstrate how the approach has been applied on the site

Motion 2738 Councillor(s) Cllr. Cieran Perry

All proposed new Low Rise buildings (up to 16M) but two residential storeys or more higher than the prevailing height in the vicinity shall be accompanied by an Urban Design Statement outlining:

- *The context indicating a site and area analysis*
- *The design principles which have been applied to the site and how these will be translated to the development in terms of layout, density, scale, landscape, visual appearance and impact on amenities, including sunlight.*
- *Drawings, prospectus and photomontages to demonstrate how the approach has been applied on the site*

Motion 2739 Councillor(s) Cllr. Cieran Perry

All proposed new Low Rise buildings (up to 16M) but two residential storeys or more higher than the prevailing height in the vicinity shall be accompanied by an Urban Design Statement outlining:

- *The context indicating a site and area analysis*
- *The design principles which have been applied to the site and how these will be translated to the development in terms of layout, density, scale, landscape, visual appearance and impact on amenities, including sunlight.*
- *Drawings, prospectus and photomontages to demonstrate how the approach has been applied on the site*

Motion 2740 Councillor(s) Cllr. Ray McAdam

All proposed new Low Rise buildings (up to 16M) but two residential storeys or more higher than the prevailing height in the vicinity shall be accompanied by an Urban Design Statement outlining:

- The context indicating a site and area analysis
- The design principles which have been applied to the site and how these will be translated to the development in terms of layout, density, scale, landscape, visual appearance and impact on amenities, including sunlight.
- Drawings, prospectus and photomontages to demonstrate how the approach has been applied on the site.

Motion 2741 Councillor(s) Cllr. Aine Clancy, Cllr. Emer Costello

This Council agrees that all proposed new Low Rise buildings with two residential storeys or more higher than the prevailing height in the vicinity shall be accompanied by an Urban Design Statement outlining:

- The context indicating a site and area analysis.
- The design principles which have been applied to the site and how these will be translated to the development in terms of layout, density, scale, landscape, visual appearance and impact on amenities, including sunlight.
- Drawings, prospectus and photomontages to demonstrate how the approach has been applied on the site.

Motion 2742 Councillor(s) Cllr. Mary O'Shea

This Council agrees that all proposed new Low Rise buildings (up to 16M) with two residential storeys or more higher than the prevailing height in the vicinity shall be accompanied by an Urban Design Statement outlining:

- The context indicating a site and area analysis.
- The design principles which have been applied to the site and how these will be translated to the development in terms of layout, density, scale, landscape, visual appearance and impact on amenities, including sunlight.
- Drawings, prospectus and photomontages to demonstrate how the approach has been applied on the site.

Motion 2743 Councillor(s) Cllr. Dermot Lacey, Cllr. Mary Freehill

This Council resolves that Par 17.6.1 of the Draft Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 which currently reads:

“All proposed buildings less than mid-rise in height (25m) but more than two residential storeys (6m) higher than the prevailing height in the vicinity shall be accompanied by an Urban Design Statement outlining:

- The context indicating a site and area analysis.
- The design principles which have been applied to the site and how these will be translated to the development in terms of layout, density, scale, landscape, visual appearance and impact on amenities, including sunlight.
- Drawings, prospectus and photomontages to demonstrate how the approach has been applied on the site.”

Be amended as follows:

“In all areas of the city proposed buildings less than mid-rise in height (19m inner city – 16m outer city) but in excess of 4m higher than the prevailing height in the vicinity shall be accompanied by an Urban Design Statement outlining:

- The context indicating a site and area analysis which includes an appraisal of the distinctive character of the area adjoining the site.
- The design principles which have been applied to the site and how these will be translated to the development in terms of response to local character, layout, density, scale, landscape, visual appearance and impact on amenities, including sunlight.
- Drawings, prospectus and photomontages to demonstrate how the approach has been applied on the site.”

Motion 2744 Councillor(s) Cllr. Catherine Noone

This Council resolves that Par 17.6.1 of the Draft Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 which currently reads:

“All proposed buildings less than mid-rise in height (25m) but more than two residential storeys (6m) higher than the prevailing height in the vicinity shall be accompanied by an Urban Design Statement outlining:

- The context indicating a site and area analysis.
- The design principles which have been applied to the site and how these will be translated to the development in terms of layout, density, scale, landscape, visual appearance and impact on amenities, including sunlight.
- Drawings, prospectus and photomontages to demonstrate how the approach has been applied on the site.”

Be amended as follows:

“In all areas of the city proposed buildings less than mid-rise in height (19m inner city – 16m outer city) but in excess of 4m higher than the prevailing height in the vicinity shall be accompanied by an Urban Design Statement outlining:

- The context indicating a site and area analysis which includes an appraisal of the distinctive character of the area adjoining the site.
- The design principles which have been applied to the site and how these will be translated to the development in terms of response to local character, layout, density, scale, landscape, visual appearance and impact on amenities, including sunlight.
- Drawings, prospectus and photomontages to demonstrate how the approach has been applied on the site.”

Motion 2745 Councillor(s) Cllr. Declan Flanagan

This Council resolves that Par 17.6.1 of the Draft Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 which currently reads:

“All proposed buildings less than mid-rise in height (25m) but more than two residential storeys (6m) higher than the prevailing height in the vicinity shall be accompanied by an Urban Design Statement outlining:

- The context indicating a site and area analysis.
- The design principles which have been applied to the site and how these will be translated to the development in terms of layout, density, scale, landscape, visual appearance and impact on amenities, including sunlight.
- Drawings, prospectus and photomontages to demonstrate how the approach has been applied on the site.”
-

Be amended as follows:

“In all areas of the city proposed buildings less than mid-rise in height (19m inner city – 16m outer city) but in excess of 4m higher than the prevailing height in the vicinity shall be accompanied by an Urban Design Statement outlining:

- The context indicating a site and area analysis which includes an appraisal of the distinctive character of the area adjoining the site.
- The design principles which have been applied to the site and how these will be translated to the development in terms of response to local character, layout, density, scale, landscape, visual appearance and impact on amenities, including sunlight.
- Drawings, prospectus and photomontages to demonstrate how the approach has been applied on the site.”

Motion 2746 Councillor(s) Cllr. Ray McAdam

This Council resolves that Par 17.6.1 of the Draft Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 which currently reads:

“All proposed buildings less than mid-rise in height (25m) but more than two residential storeys (6m) higher than the prevailing height in the vicinity shall be accompanied by an Urban Design Statement outlining:

- The context indicating a site and area analysis.
- The design principles which have been applied to the site and how these will be translated to the development in terms of layout, density, scale, landscape, visual appearance and impact on amenities, including sunlight.
- Drawings, prospectus and photomontages to demonstrate how the approach has been applied on the site.”

Be amended as follows:

“In all areas of the city proposed buildings less than mid-rise in height (19m inner city – 16m outer city) but in excess of 4m higher than the prevailing height in the vicinity shall be accompanied by an Urban Design Statement outlining:

- The context indicating a site and area analysis which includes an appraisal of the distinctive character of the area adjoining the site.
- The design principles which have been applied to the site and how these will be translated to the development in terms of response to local character, layout, density, scale, landscape, visual appearance and impact on amenities, including sunlight.
- Drawings, prospectus and photomontages to demonstrate how the approach has been applied on the site.”

Motion 2747 Councillor(s) Cllr. Nial Ring

This Council resolves that Par 17.6.1 of the Draft Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 which currently reads:

“All proposed buildings less than mid-rise in height (25m) but more than two residential storeys (6m) higher than the prevailing height in the vicinity shall be accompanied by an Urban Design Statement outlining:

- The context indicating a site and area analysis.
- The design principles which have been applied to the site and how these will be translated to the development in terms of layout, density, scale, landscape, visual appearance and impact on amenities, including sunlight.
- Drawings, prospectus and photomontages to demonstrate how the approach has been applied on the site.”

Be amended as follows:

“In all areas of the city proposed buildings less than mid-rise in height (19m inner city – 16m outer city) but in excess of 4m higher than the prevailing height in the vicinity shall be accompanied by an Urban Design Statement outlining:

- The context indicating a site and area analysis which includes an appraisal of the distinctive character of the area adjoining the site.
- The design principles which have been applied to the site and how these will be translated to the development in terms of response to local character, layout, density, scale, landscape, visual appearance and impact on amenities, including sunlight.
- Drawings, prospectus and photomontages to demonstrate how the approach has been applied on the site.”

Motion 2748 Councillor(s) Cllr. John Gallagher

This Council resolves that Par 17.6.1 of the Draft Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 which currently reads:

“All proposed buildings less than mid-rise in height (25m) but more than two residential storeys (6m) higher than the prevailing height in the vicinity shall be accompanied by an Urban Design Statement outlining:

- The context indicating a site and area analysis.
- The design principles which have been applied to the site and how these will be translated to the development in terms of layout, density, scale, landscape, visual appearance and impact on amenities, including sunlight.
- Drawings, prospectus and photomontages to demonstrate how the approach has been applied on the site.”

Be amended as follows:

“In all areas of the city proposed buildings less than mid-rise in height (19m inner city – 16m outer city) but in excess of 4m higher than the prevailing height in the vicinity shall be accompanied by an Urban Design Statement outlining:

- The context indicating a site and area analysis which includes an appraisal of the distinctive character of the area adjoining the site.
- The design principles which have been applied to the site and how these will be translated to the development in terms of response to local character, layout, density, scale, landscape, visual appearance and impact on amenities, including sunlight.
- Drawings, prospectus and photomontages to demonstrate how the approach has been applied on the site.”

Motion 2749 Councillor(s) Cllr. Christy Burke

This Council resolves that Par 17.6.1 of the Draft Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 which currently reads:

“All proposed buildings less than mid-rise in height (25m) but more than two residential storeys (6m) higher than the prevailing height in the vicinity shall be accompanied by an Urban Design Statement outlining:

- The context indicating a site and area analysis.
- The design principles which have been applied to the site and how these will be translated to the development in terms of layout, density, scale, landscape, visual appearance and impact on amenities, including sunlight.
- Drawings, prospectus and photomontages to demonstrate how the approach has been applied on the site.”

Be amended as follows:

“In all areas of the city proposed buildings less than mid-rise in height (19m inner city – 16m outer city) but in excess of 4m higher than the prevailing height in the vicinity shall be accompanied by an Urban Design Statement outlining:

- The context indicating a site and area analysis which includes an appraisal of the distinctive character of the area adjoining the site.
- The design principles which have been applied to the site and how these will be translated to the development in terms of response to local character, layout, density, scale, landscape, visual appearance and impact on amenities, including sunlight.
- Drawings, prospectus and photomontages to demonstrate how the approach has been applied on the site.”

Motion 2750 Councillor(s) Cllr. Eoghan Murphy

This Council resolves that Par 17.6.1 of the Draft Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 which currently reads:

“All proposed buildings less than mid-rise in height (25m) but more than two residential storeys (6m) higher than the prevailing height in the vicinity shall be accompanied by an Urban Design Statement outlining:

- The context indicating a site and area analysis.
- The design principles which have been applied to the site and how these will be translated to the development in terms of layout, density, scale, landscape, visual appearance and impact on amenities, including sunlight.
- Drawings, prospectus and photomontages to demonstrate how the approach has been applied on the site.”

Be amended as follows:

“In all areas of the city proposed buildings less than mid-rise in height (19m inner city – 16m outer city) but in excess of 4m higher than the prevailing height in the vicinity shall be accompanied by an Urban Design Statement outlining:

- The context indicating a site and area analysis which includes an appraisal of the distinctive character of the area adjoining the site.
- The design principles which have been applied to the site and how these will be translated to the development in terms of response to local character, layout, density, scale, landscape, visual appearance and impact on amenities, including sunlight.
- Drawings, prospectus and photomontages to demonstrate how the approach has been applied on the site.”

Motion 2751 Councillor(s) Cllr. Julia Carmichael

This Council resolves that Par 17.6.1 of the Draft Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 which currently reads:

“All proposed buildings less than mid-rise in height (25m) but more than two residential storeys (6m) higher than the prevailing height in the vicinity shall be accompanied by an Urban Design Statement outlining:

- The context indicating a site and area analysis.
- The design principles which have been applied to the site and how these will be translated to the development in terms of layout, density, scale, landscape, visual appearance and impact on amenities, including sunlight.
- Drawings, prospectus and photomontages to demonstrate how the approach has been applied on the site.”

Be amended as follows:

• “In all areas of the city proposed buildings less than mid-rise in height (19m inner city – 16m outer city) but in excess of 4m higher than the prevailing height in the vicinity shall be accompanied by an Urban Design Statement outlining:

- The context indicating a site and area analysis which includes an appraisal of the distinctive character of the area adjoining the site.
- The design principles which have been applied to the site and how these will be translated to the development in terms of response to local character, layout, density, scale, landscape, visual appearance and impact on amenities, including sunlight.
- Drawings, prospectus and photomontages to demonstrate how the approach has been applied on the site.”

Motion 2752 Councillor(s) Cllr. Ray McAdam

This Council resolves that Par 17.6.1 of the Draft Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 which currently reads:

“All proposed buildings less than mid-rise in height (25m) but more than two residential storeys (6m) higher than the prevailing height in the vicinity shall be accompanied by an Urban Design Statement outlining:

- The context indicating a site and area analysis.
- The design principles which have been applied to the site and how these will be translated to the development in terms of layout, density, scale, landscape, visual appearance and impact on amenities, including sunlight.
- Drawings, prospectus and photomontages to demonstrate how the approach has been applied on the site.”

Be amended as follows:

“In all areas of the city proposed buildings less than mid-rise in height (19m inner city – 16m outer city) but in excess of 4m higher than the prevailing height in the vicinity shall be accompanied by an Urban Design Statement outlining:

- The context indicating a site and area analysis which includes an appraisal of the distinctive character of the area adjoining the site.
- The design principles which have been applied to the site and how these will be translated to the development in terms of response to local character, layout, density, scale, landscape, visual appearance and impact on amenities, including sunlight.
- Drawings, prospectus and photomontages to demonstrate how the approach has been applied on the site.”

Motion 2753 Councillor(s) Cllr. Mary Fitzpatrick

All applications for new developments in low rise areas of the city that exceed the established building height by more than 6m are required to have Urban Design Statement outlining:

- The context indicating a site and area analysis.
- the design principles which have been applied to the site and how these will be translated to the development in terms of layout, density, scale, landscape, visual appearance and impact on amenities, including sunlight.
- Drawings, prospectus and photomontages to demonstrate how the approach has been applied on the site.

Motion 2754 Councillor(s) Cllr. Catherine Noone

This Council resolves to include the following criteria at Par.17.6.3 “Urban Form and Spatial Criteria” in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 - 2017:

“Consider the impact on the scale and quality of existing streetscapes, spaces and buildings.”

“Consider the impact on protected structures, conservation areas, and the architectural character and setting of existing buildings, streets, and spaces of artistic, civic and historic importance, in particular, the building’s relationship with the historic city centre, the River Liffey and quays, Trinity College, Dublin Castle, the historic squares and precincts, the Phoenix Park, the Royal Hospital, Kilmainham and the canals.”

Motion 2755 Councillor(s) Cllr. Dermot Lacey, Cllr. Mary Freehill

This Council resolves to include the following criteria at Par.17.6.3 “Urban Form and Spatial Criteria” in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 - 2017:

“Consider the impact on the scale and quality of existing streetscapes, spaces and buildings.”

“Consider the impact on protected structures, conservation areas, and the architectural character and setting of existing buildings, streets, and spaces of artistic, civic and historic importance, in particular, the building’s relationship with the historic city centre, the River Liffey and quays, Trinity College, Dublin Castle, the historic squares and precincts, the Phoenix Park, the Royal Hospital, Kilmainham and the canals.”

Motion 2756 Councillor(s) Cllr. Declan Flanagan

This Council resolves to include the following criteria at Par.17.6.3 “Urban Form and Spatial Criteria” in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 - 2017:

“Consider the impact on the scale and quality of existing streetscapes, spaces and buildings.”

“Consider the impact on protected structures, conservation areas, and the architectural character and setting of existing buildings, streets, and spaces of artistic, civic and historic importance, in particular, the building’s relationship with the historic city centre, the River Liffey and quays, Trinity College, Dublin Castle, the historic squares and precincts, the Phoenix Park, the Royal Hospital, Kilmainham and the canals.”

Motion 2757 Councillor(s) Cllr. Criona Ni Dhalaigh

This Council resolves to include the following criteria at Par.17.6.3 “Urban Form and Spatial Criteria” in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017:

Consider the impact on protected structures, conservation areas, and the architectural character and setting of existing buildings, streets, and spaces of artistic, civic and historic importance, in particular, the building’s relationship with the historic city centre, the River Liffey and quays, Trinity College, Dublin Castle, the historic squares and precincts, the Phoenix Park, the Royal Hospital, Kilmainham and the canals.

Motion 2758 Councillor(s) Cllr. Ray McAdam

This Council resolves to include the following criteria at Par.17.6.3 “Urban Form and Spatial Criteria” in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 - 2017:

“Consider the impact on the scale and quality of existing streetscapes, spaces and buildings.”

“Consider the impact on protected structures, conservation areas, and the architectural character and setting of existing buildings, streets, and spaces of artistic, civic and historic importance, in particular, the building’s relationship with the historic city centre, the River Liffey and quays, Trinity College, Dublin Castle, the historic squares and precincts, the Phoenix Park, the Royal Hospital, Kilmainham and the canals.”

Motion 2759 Councillor(s) Cllr. Aine Clancy, Cllr. Emer Costello

This Council resolves to include the following criteria at Par.17.6.3 “Urban Form and Spatial Criteria” in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 - 2017:

“Consider the impact on the scale and quality of existing streetscapes, spaces and buildings.”

“Consider the impact on protected structures, conservation areas, and the architectural character and setting of existing buildings, streets, and spaces of artistic, civic and historic importance, in particular, the building’s relationship with the historic city centre, the River Liffey and quays, Trinity College, Dublin Castle, the historic squares and precincts, the Phoenix Park, the Royal Hospital, Kilmainham and the canals.”

Motion 2760 Councillor(s) Cllr. Christy Burke

This Council resolves to include the following criteria at Par.17.6.3 “Urban Form and Spatial Criteria” in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 - 2017:

“Consider the impact on the scale and quality of existing streetscapes, spaces and buildings.”

“Consider the impact on protected structures, conservation areas, and the architectural character and setting of existing buildings, streets, and spaces of artistic, civic and historic importance, in particular, the building’s relationship with the historic city centre, the River Liffey and quays, Trinity College, Dublin Castle, the historic squares and precincts, the Phoenix Park, the Royal Hospital, Kilmainham and the canals.”

Motion 2761 Councillor(s) Cllr. Eoghan Murphy

This Council resolves to include the following criteria at Par.17.6.3 “Urban Form and Spatial Criteria” in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 - 2017:

“Consider the impact on the scale and quality of existing streetscapes, spaces and buildings.”

“Consider the impact on protected structures, conservation areas, and the architectural character and setting of existing buildings, streets, and spaces of artistic, civic and historic importance, in particular, the building’s relationship with the historic city centre, the River Liffey and quays, Trinity College, Dublin Castle, the historic squares and precincts, the Phoenix Park, the Royal Hospital, Kilmainham and the canals.”

Motion 2762 Councillor(s) Cllr. Julia Carmichael

This Council resolves to include the following criteria at Par.17.6.3 “Urban Form and Spatial Criteria” in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 - 2017:

“Consider the impact on the scale and quality of existing streetscapes, spaces and buildings.”

“Consider the impact on protected structures, conservation areas, and the architectural character and setting of existing buildings, streets, and spaces of artistic, civic and historic importance, in particular, the building’s relationship with the historic city centre, the River Liffey and quays, Trinity College, Dublin Castle, the historic squares and precincts, the Phoenix Park, the Royal Hospital, Kilmainham and the canals.”

Motion 2763 Councillor(s) Cllr. Kevin Humphreys

This Council resolves to include the following criteria at Par.17.6.3 "Urban Form and Spatial Criteria" in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 - 2017:

"Consider the impact on the scale and quality of existing streetscapes, spaces and buildings."

"Consider the impact on protected structures, conservation areas, and the architectural character and setting of existing buildings, streets, and spaces of artistic, civic and historic importance, in particular, the building's relationship with the historic city centre, the River Liffey and quays, Trinity College, Dublin Castle, the historic squares and precincts, the Phoenix Park, the Royal Hospital, Kilmainham and the canals."

Motion 2764 Councillor(s) Cllr. Ruairi McGinley

This Council resolves to include the following criteria at Par.17.6.3 "Urban Form and Spatial Criteria" in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 - 2017:

"Consider the impact on the scale and quality of existing streetscapes, spaces and buildings."

"Consider the impact on protected structures, conservation areas, and the architectural character and setting of existing buildings, streets, and spaces of artistic, civic and historic importance, in particular, the building's relationship with the historic city centre, the River Liffey and quays, Trinity College, Dublin Castle, the historic squares and precincts, the Phoenix Park, and the canals."

Motion 2765 Councillor(s) Cllr. Mary Freehill

This Council resolves to include a street to building height ratio in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 as follows:

"Street to building height ratio is a tool to help protect against negative environmental impacts of development. Given the latitude of Dublin and the prevailing climatic conditions it is considered important that care should be taken to ensure an environment which optimises daylight and sunlight and the avoidance of wind tunnels. In all new development Dublin City Council will encourage a street to building height ratio of not greater than 1:1.5. The street width is the visual width of the street i.e. as measured from building face to building face"

Motion 2766 Councillor(s) Cllr. Catherine Noone

This Council resolves to include a street to building height ratio in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 as follows:

"Street to building height ratio is a tool to help protect against negative environmental impacts of development. Given the latitude of Dublin and the prevailing climatic conditions it is considered important that care should be taken to ensure an environment which optimises daylight and sunlight and the avoidance of wind tunnels. In all new development Dublin City Council will encourage a street to building height ratio of not greater than 1:1.5. The street width is the visual width of the street i.e. as measured from building face to building face"

Motion 2767 Councillor(s) Cllr. Declan Flanagan

This Council resolves to include a street to building height ratio in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 as follows:

“Street to building height ratio is a tool to help protect against negative environmental impacts of development. Given the latitude of Dublin and the prevailing climatic conditions it is considered important that care should be taken to ensure an environment which optimises daylight and sunlight and the avoidance of wind tunnels. In all new development Dublin City Council will encourage a street to building height ratio of not greater than 1:1.5. The street width is the visual width of the street i.e. as measured from building face to building face”

Motion 2768 Councillor(s) Cllr. Ray McAdam

This Council resolves to include a street to building height ratio in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 as follows:

“Street to building height ratio is a tool to help protect against negative environmental impacts of development. Given the latitude of Dublin and the prevailing climatic conditions it is considered important that care should be taken to ensure an environment which optimises daylight and sunlight and the avoidance of wind tunnels. In all new development Dublin City Council will encourage a street to building height ratio of not greater than 1:1.5. The street width is the visual width of the street i.e. as measured from building face to building face”

Motion 2769 Councillor(s) Cllr. Nial Ring

This Council resolves to include a street to building height ratio in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 as follows:

“Street to building height ratio is a tool to help protect against negative environmental impacts of development. Given the latitude of Dublin and the prevailing climatic conditions it is considered important that care should be taken to ensure an environment which optimises daylight and sunlight and the avoidance of wind tunnels. In all new development Dublin City Council will encourage a street to building height ratio of not greater than 1:1.5. The street width is the visual width of the street i.e. as measured from building face to building face”

Motion 2770 Councillor(s) Cllr. Aine Clancy, Cllr. Emer Costello

This Council resolves to include a street to building height ratio in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 as follows:

“Street to building height ratio is a tool to help protect against negative environmental impacts of development. Given the latitude of Dublin and the prevailing climatic conditions it is considered important that care should be taken to ensure an environment which optimises daylight and sunlight and the avoidance of wind tunnels. In all new development Dublin City Council will encourage a street to building height ratio of not greater than 1:1.5. The street width is the visual width of the street i.e. as measured from building face to building face”

Motion 2771 Councillor(s) Cllr. Christy Burke

This Council resolves to include a street to building height ratio in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 as follows:

“Street to building height ratio is a tool to help protect against negative environmental impacts of development. Given the latitude of Dublin and the prevailing climatic conditions it is considered important that care should be taken to ensure an environment which optimises daylight and sunlight and the avoidance of wind tunnels. In all new development Dublin City Council will encourage a street to building height ratio of not greater than 1:1.5. The street width is the visual width of the street i.e. as measured from building face to building face”

Motion 2772 Councillor(s) Cllr. Eoghan Murphy

This Council resolves to include a street to building height ratio in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 as follows:

“Street to building height ratio is a tool to help protect against negative environmental impacts of development. Given the latitude of Dublin and the prevailing climatic conditions it is considered important that care should be taken to ensure an environment which optimises daylight and sunlight and the avoidance of wind tunnels. In all new development Dublin City Council will encourage a street to building height ratio of not greater than 1:1.5. The street width is the visual width of the street i.e. as measured from building face to building face”.

Motion 2773 Councillor(s) Cllr. Julia Carmichael

This Council resolves to include a street to building height ratio in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 as follows:

“Street to building height ratio is a tool to help protect against negative environmental impacts of development. Given the latitude of Dublin and the prevailing climatic conditions it is considered important that care should be taken to ensure an environment which optimises daylight and sunlight and the avoidance of wind tunnels. In all new development Dublin City Council will encourage a street to building height ratio of not greater than 1:1.5. The street width is the visual width of the street i.e. as measured from building face to building face”

Section 17.3 Density Standards

Motion 2774 Councillor(s) Cllr. Mary Freehill

MOTION (a):

This Council resolves to amend the first section 'Inner City' of the Density Standards chart at 17.3 of the Draft Development Plan 2011 – 2017 to omit:

"Minimum densities of 100 units per hectare will generally be required."

and to replace it with:

"Minimum densities of 50 units per hectare will generally be required"

MOTION (b):

This Council resolves to amend the second section 'Outer City' of the Density Standards chart at 17.3 of the Draft Development Plan 2011 – 2017 to omit:

"Minimum densities of 50 units per hectare will generally be promoted."

and to replace it with:

"Minimum densities of 35 units per hectare will generally be promoted"

MOTION (c):

This Council resolves to amend the third section 'Public Transport Catchments' of the Density Standards chart at 17.3 of the Draft Development Plan 2011 – 2017 to omit:

and to replace it with:

"Minimum densities of 50 units per hectare will be promoted within catchments"

MOTION (d):

This Council resolves to amend the fourth section 'Key District Centres and Key Developing Areas' of the Density Standards chart at 17.3 of the Draft Development Plan 2011 – 2017 as follows:

Include the wording "*than 50 units per hectare*" following "*Higher densities*" and omit "*In general, minimum densities of 75 units per hectare will be required*"

so that it reads:

"Higher densities than 50 units per hectare will be promoted within Key District Centres and Key Developing Areas. Local Area Plans may determine appropriate densities in these locations."

"Minimum densities of 75 units per hectare will be promoted within catchments."

Motion 2775 Councillor(s) Cllr. Catherine Noone

MOTION (a):

This Council resolves to amend the first section 'Inner City' of the Density Standards chart at 17.3 of the Draft Development Plan 2011 – 2017 to omit:

"Minimum densities of 100 units per hectare will generally be required."

and to replace it with:

"Minimum densities of 50 units per hectare will generally be required"

MOTION (b):

This Council resolves to amend the second section 'Outer City' of the Density Standards chart at 17.3 of the Draft Development Plan 2011 – 2017 to omit:

"Minimum densities of 50 units per hectare will generally be promoted."

and to replace it with:

"Minimum densities of 35 units per hectare will generally be promoted"

MOTION (c):

This Council resolves to amend the third section 'Public Transport Catchments' of the Density Standards chart at 17.3 of the Draft Development Plan 2011 – 2017 to omit:

"Minimum densities of 75 units per hectare will be promoted within catchments."

and to replace it with:

"Minimum densities of 50 units per hectare will be promoted within catchments"

MOTION (d):

This Council resolves to amend the fourth section 'Key District Centres and Key Developing Areas' of the Density Standards chart at 17.3 of the Draft Development Plan 2011 – 2017 as follows:

Include the wording "*than 50 units per hectare*" following "*Higher densities*" and omit "*In general, minimum densities of 75 units per hectare will be required*"

so that it reads:

"Higher densities than 50 units per hectare will be promoted within Key District Centres and Key Developing Areas. Local Area Plans may determine appropriate densities in these locations."

Motion 2776 Councillor(s) Cllr. Declan Flanagan

Motion (a):

This Council resolves to amend the first section 'Inner City' of the Density Standards chart at 17.3 of the Draft Development Plan 2011 – 2017 to omit:

“Minimum densities of 100 units per hectare will generally be required.”

and to replace it with:

“Minimum densities of 50 units per hectare will generally be required”

Motion (b):

This Council resolves to amend the second section 'Outer City' of the Density Standards chart at 17.3 of the Draft Development Plan 2011 – 2017 to omit:

“Minimum densities of 50 units per hectare will generally be promoted.”

and to replace it with:

“Minimum densities of 35 units per hectare will generally be promoted”

Motion (c):

This Council resolves to amend the third section 'Public Transport Catchments' of the Density Standards chart at 17.3 of the Draft Development Plan 2011 – 2017 to omit:

“Minimum densities of 75 units per hectare will be promoted within catchments.”

and to replace it with:

“Minimum densities of 50 units per hectare will be promoted within catchments”

Motion (d):

This Council resolves to amend the fourth section 'Key District Centres and Key Developing Areas' of the Density Standards chart at 17.3 of the Draft Development Plan 2011 – 2017 as follows:

Include the wording “*than 50 units per hectare*” following “*Higher densities*” and omit “*In general, minimum densities of 75 units per hectare will be required*”

so that it reads:

“Higher densities than 50 units per hectare will be promoted within Key District Centres and Key Developing Areas. Local Area Plans may determine appropriate densities in these locations.”

Motion 2777 Councillor(s) Cllr. Ray McAdam

Motion (a):

This Council resolves to amend the first section 'Inner City' of the Density Standards chart at 17.3 of the Draft Development Plan 2011 – 2017 to omit:

“Minimum densities of 100 units per hectare will generally be required”

and to replace it with:

“Minimum densities of 50 units per hectare will generally be required.”

Motion (b):

This Council resolves to amend the second section 'Outer City' of the Density Standards chart at 17.3 of the Draft Development Plan 2011 – 2017 to omit:

“Minimum densities of 50 units per hectare will generally be promoted.”

and to replace it with:

“Minimum densities of 35 units per hectare will generally be promoted.”

Motion (c):

This Council resolves to amend the third section 'Public Transport Catchments' of the Density Standards chart at 17.3 of the Draft Development Plan 2011 – 2017 to omit:

“Minimum densities of 75 units per hectare will be promoted within catchments.”

and to replace it with:

“Minimum densities of 50 units per hectare will be promoted within catchments.”

Motion (d):

This Council resolves to amend the fourth section 'Key District Centres and Key Developing Areas' of the Density Standards chart at 17.3 of the Draft Development Plan 2011 – 2017 as follows:

Include the wording “*than 50 units per hectare*” following “*Higher densities*” and omit “*In general, minimum densities of 75 units per hectare will be required*”

so that it reads:

“Higher densities than 50 units per hectare will be promoted within Key District Centres and Key Developing Areas. Local Area Plans may determine appropriate densities in these locations.”

Motion 2778 Councillor(s) Cllr. John Gallagher

MOTION (a):

This Council resolves to amend the first section 'Inner City' of the Density Standards chart at 17.3 of the Draft Development Plan 2011 – 2017 to omit:

“Minimum densities of 100 units per hectare will generally be required”

and to replace it with:

“Minimum densities of 50 units per hectare will generally be required.”

MOTION (b):

This Council resolves to amend the second section 'Outer City' of the Density Standards chart at 17.3 of the Draft Development Plan 2011 – 2017 to omit:

“Minimum densities of 50 units per hectare will generally be promoted.”

and to replace it with:

“Minimum densities of 35 units per hectare will generally be promoted.”

MOTION (c):

This Council resolves to amend the third section 'Public Transport Catchments' of the Density Standards chart at 17.3 of the Draft Development Plan 2011 – 2017 to omit:

“Minimum densities of 75 units per hectare will be promoted within catchments.”

and to replace it with:

“Minimum densities of 50 units per hectare will be promoted within catchments.”

MOTION (d):

This Council resolves to amend the fourth section 'Key District Centres and Key Developing Areas' of the Density Standards chart at 17.3 of the Draft Development Plan 2011 – 2017 as follows:

Include the wording “*than 50 units per hectare*” following “*Higher densities*” and omit “*In general, minimum densities of 75 units per hectare will be required*”

so that it reads:

“Higher densities than 50 units per hectare will be promoted within Key District Centres and Key Developing Areas. Local Area Plans may determine appropriate densities in these locations.”

Motion 2779 Councillor(s) Cllr. Christy Burke

MOTION (a):

This Council resolves to amend the first section 'Inner City' of the Density Standards chart at 17.3 of the Draft Development Plan 2011 – 2017 to omit:

"Minimum densities of 100 units per hectare will generally be required"

and to replace it with:

"Minimum densities of 50 units per hectare will generally be required."

MOTION (b):

This Council resolves to amend the second section 'Outer City' of the Density Standards chart at 17.3 of the Draft Development Plan 2011 – 2017 to omit:

"Minimum densities of 50 units per hectare will generally be promoted."

and to replace it with:

"Minimum densities of 35 units per hectare will generally be promoted."

MOTION (c):

This Council resolves to amend the third section 'Public Transport Catchments' of the Density Standards chart at 17.3 of the Draft Development Plan 2011 – 2017 to omit:

"Minimum densities of 75 units per hectare will be promoted within catchments."

and to replace it with:

"Minimum densities of 50 units per hectare will be promoted within catchments."

MOTION (d):

This Council resolves to amend the fourth section 'Key District Centres and Key Developing Areas' of the Density Standards chart at 17.3 of the Draft Development Plan 2011 – 2017 as follows:

Include the wording "*than 50 units per hectare*" following "*Higher densities*" and omit "*In general, minimum densities of 75 units per hectare will be required*"

so that it reads:

"Higher densities than 50 units per hectare will be promoted within Key District Centres and Key Developing Areas. Local Area Plans may determine appropriate densities in these locations."

Motion 2780 Councillor(s) Cllr. Eoghan Murphy

MOTION (a):

This Council resolves to amend the first section 'Inner City' of the Density Standards chart at 17.3 of the Draft Development Plan 2011 – 2017 to omit:

“Minimum densities of 100 units per hectare will generally be required.”

and to replace it with:

“Minimum densities of 50 units per hectare will generally be required”

MOTION (b):

This Council resolves to amend the second section 'Outer City' of the Density Standards chart at 17.3 of the Draft Development Plan 2011 – 2017 to omit:

“Minimum densities of 50 units per hectare will generally be promoted.”

and to replace it with:

“Minimum densities of 35 units per hectare will generally be promoted”

MOTION (c):

This Council resolves to amend the third section 'Public Transport Catchments' of the Density Standards chart at 17.3 of the Draft Development Plan 2011 – 2017 to omit:

“Minimum densities of 75 units per hectare will be promoted within catchments.”

and to replace it with:

“Minimum densities of 50 units per hectare will be promoted within catchments”

MOTION (d):

This Council resolves to amend the fourth section 'Key District Centres and Key Developing Areas' of the Density Standards chart at 17.3 of the Draft Development Plan 2011 – 2017 as follows:

Include the wording “*than 50 units per hectare*” following “*Higher densities*” and omit “*In general, minimum densities of 75 units per hectare will be required*”

so that it reads:

“Higher densities than 50 units per hectare will be promoted within Key District Centres and Key Developing Areas. Local Area Plans may determine appropriate densities in these locations.”

Motion 2781 Councillor(s) Cllr. Julia Carmichael

MOTION (a):

This Council resolves to amend the first section 'Inner City' of the Density Standards chart at 17.3 of the Draft Development Plan 2011 – 2017 to omit:

“Minimum densities of 100 units per hectare will generally be required.”

and to replace it with:

“Minimum densities of 50 units per hectare will generally be required”

MOTION (b):

This Council resolves to amend the second section 'Outer City' of the Density Standards chart at 17.3 of the Draft Development Plan 2011 – 2017 to omit:

“Minimum densities of 50 units per hectare will generally be promoted.”

and to replace it with:

“Minimum densities of 35 units per hectare will generally be promoted

MOTION (c):

This Council resolves to amend the third section 'Public Transport Catchments' of the Density Standards chart at 17.3 of the Draft Development Plan 2011 – 2017 to omit:

“Minimum densities of 75 units per hectare will be promoted within catchments.”

and to replace it with:

“Minimum densities of 50 units per hectare will be promoted within catchments”

MOTION (d):

This Council resolves to amend the fourth section 'Key District Centres and Key Developing Areas' of the Density Standards chart at 17.3 of the Draft Development Plan 2011 – 2017 as follows:

Include the wording “*than 50 units per hectare*” following “*Higher densities*” and omit “*In general, minimum densities of 75 units per hectare will be required*”

so that it reads:

“Higher densities than 50 units per hectare will be promoted within Key District Centres and Key Developing Areas. Local Area Plans may determine appropriate densities in these locations.”

Motion 2782 Councillor(s) Cllr. Christy Burke

This Council agrees to amend the Density Standards chart at 17.3 of the draft Development plan be amended to state:

Dublin City Council accepts the standards set out in the DoEHLG guidelines on Sustainable Residential Development in Urban Areas which clearly states ‘ minimum net densities of 50 dwellings per hectare, subject to appropriate design and amenity standards, should be applied within public transport corridors with the highest densities being located at rail stations/bus stops and decreasing with distance from such nodes’.

Motion 2783 Councillor(s) Cllr. Ray McAdam

This Council resolves to amend the first section 'Inner City' of the Density Standards chart at 17.3 of the Draft Development Plan 2011 – 2017 to omit:

“Minimum densities of 100 units per hectare will generally be required”

and to replace it with:

“Minimum densities of 50 units per hectare will generally be required.”

Motion b

This Council resolves to amend the second section 'Outer City' of the Density Standards chart at 17.3 of the Draft Development Plan 2011 – 2017 to omit:

“Minimum densities of 50 units per hectare will generally be promoted.”

and to replace it with:

“Minimum densities of 35 units per hectare will generally be promoted.”

Motion c

This Council resolves to amend the third section 'Public Transport Catchments' of the Density Standards chart at 17.3 of the Draft Development Plan 2011 – 2017 **to omit:**

“Minimum densities of 75 units per hectare will be promoted within catchments.”

and to replace it with:

“Minimum densities of 50 units per hectare will be promoted within catchments.”

Motion d

This Council resolves to amend the fourth section 'Key District Centres and Key Developing Areas' of the Density Standards chart at 17.3 of the Draft Development Plan 2011 – 2017 as follows:

Include the wording “than 50 units per hectare” following “Higher densities” and omit “In general, minimum densities of 75 units per hectare will be required”

so that it reads:

“Higher densities than 50 units per hectare will be promoted within Key District Centres and Key Developing Areas. Local Area Plans may determine appropriate densities in these locations.”

Motion 2784 Councillor(s) Cllr. Mary O'Shea

This Council agrees to amend the Density Standards chart at 17.3 of the Draft Development Plan to state: Dublin City Council accepts the standards set out in the DoEHLG guidelines on Sustainable Residential Development in Urban Areas which clearly state-

"minimum net densities of 50 dwellings per hectare, subject to appropriate design and amenity standards, should be applied within public transport corridors with the highest densities being located at rail stations/bus stops and decreasing with distance from such nodes".

Motion 2785 Councillor(s) Cllr. Cieran Perry

This Council agrees to amend the Density Standards chart at 17.3 of the draft Development plan be amended to state:

“Dublin City Council accepts the standards set out in the DoEHLG guidelines on Sustainable Residential Development in Urban Areas which clearly states ‘ minimum net densities of 50 dwellings per hectare, subject to appropriate design and amenity standards, should be applied within public transport corridors with the highest densities being located at rail stations/bus stops and decreasing with distance from such nodes”

Motion 2786 Councillor(s) Cllr. Ray McAdam

This Council agrees to amend the Density Standards chart at 17.3 of the draft Development plan be amended to state:

Dublin City Council accepts the standards set out in the DoEHLG guidelines on Sustainable Residential Development in Urban Areas which clearly states ‘ minimum net densities of 50 dwellings per hectare, subject to appropriate design and amenity standards, should be applied within public transport corridors with the highest densities being located at rail stations/bus stops and decreasing with distance from such nodes’.

Motion 2787 Councillor(s) Cllr. Dermot Lacey

That, in accordance with the Department of the Environment, Heritage and Local Government Guidelines, the Council agrees to amend the Table under Density Standards to read “Minimum densities of 50 units per hectare will generally be promoted”.

Motion 2788 Councillor(s) Cllr. Mary O'Shea

This Council agrees that the reference in Key District Centres and Key Developing Areas in Part 17.3 (p.201) to “minimum densities of 75 units per hectare will be required” be amended to read “minimum densities of 50 units per hectare will be required”.

Motion 2789 Councillor(s) Cllr. Cieran Perry

This Council agrees that the reference in Key District Centres and Key Developing Areas in Part 17.3 (p.201) to “minimum densities of 75 units per hectare will be required” be amended to read “minimum densities of 50 units per hectare will be required”.

Motion 2790 Councillor(s) Cllr. Henry Upton

Density Standards

To ask the manager that the in the Inner City- There should be no minimum density requirement of 100 units per hectare. While I accept there should be a higher density in the Inner City establishing such a large minimum density of development could have a detrimental effect on particular inner city areas which have still maintained their “neighbourhood” feel.

Motion 2791 Councillor(s) Cllr. Henry Upton

Outer City- There should be no minimum density requirement of 50 units per hectare. Development should be cognisant with the density and uses of the surrounding area and installing a minimum density requirement could irrevocably damage well established low to medium density neighbourhoods.

Motion 2792 Councillor(s) Cllr. Rebecca Moynihan

The development plan in setting it's density and height standards for public transport catchments will differentiate between inner city and outer city rail hubs. Outer city rail hubs will allow for a density standard of 50 units per hectare.

Motion 2793 Councillor(s) Cllr. Oisín Quinn

Reduce the distance from DART, Metro and Mainline Rail stations from 1 kilometre to 750 metres in respect of all references in the plan and the Manager's recommendations in relation to height and density and other standards.

Motion 2794 Councillor(s) Cllr. Mary O'Shea

This Council agrees that the reference to Public Transport Catchments in Part 17.3 (p.201) be amended from-

"Distances of 1 kilometre from existing or proposed mainline, DART and Metro Stations define public transport catchments."

to read-

"Distances of 0.5 kilometre from existing or proposed mainline, DART and Metro Stations define public transport catchments."

Motion 2795 Councillor(s) Cllr. Cieran Perry

This Council agrees that the reference to Public Transport Catchments in Part 17.3 (p.201) be amended from:

"Distances of 1 kilometre from existing or proposed mainline, DART and Metro Stations define public transport catchments."

to read:

"Distances of 0.5 kilometre from existing or proposed mainline, DART and Metro Stations define public transport catchments."

Motion 2796 Councillor(s) Cllr. Dermot Lacey

To amend the section Density Standards Section: 17.3 on page 201 of the Draft Report by inserting the words a "half- kilometre" in place of "Kilometre" and "400 metres" in place of "800 metres" in all Conservation Areas and settled communities.

Motion 2797 Councillor(s) Cllr. Oisín Quinn

Remove all references to the Luas or Luas stations as a basis for increased density or height (on the basis that the Luas simply represents a quality of public transport that is achievable by QBCs and should be the standard for all primary routes into the city).

Motion 2798 Councillor(s) Cllr. Rebecca Moynihan

This council rejects the managers recommendation on density standards page 201 Section 17.3

Motion 2799 Councillor(s) Cllr. Kevin Humphreys

That the density standards on pg. 201 of the Draft should be amended to state that increased densities will be promoted at public transport corridors etc. rather than required.

Motion 2800 Councillor(s) Cllr. Catherine Noone

This Council resolves to include the following in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017:

“Appropriate density levels are determined both by the design of the development and the use of the building and by the character and scale of the receiving environment.”

Motion 2801 Councillor(s) Cllr. Declan Flanagan

This Council resolves to include the following in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017:

“Appropriate density levels are determined both by the design of the development and the use of the building and by the character and scale of the receiving environment.”

Motion 2802 Councillor(s) Cllr. Ray McAdam

This Council resolves to include the following in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017:

“Appropriate density levels are determined both by the design of the development and the use of the building and by the character and scale of the receiving environment.”

Motion 2803 Councillor(s) Cllr. Christy Burke

This Council resolves to include the following in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017:

“Appropriate density levels are determined both by the design of the development and the use of the building and by the character and scale of the receiving environment.”

Motion 2804 Councillor(s) Cllr. Eoghan Murphy

This Council resolves to include the following in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017:

“Appropriate density levels are determined both by the design of the development and the use of the building and by the character and scale of the receiving environment.”

Motion 2805 Councillor(s) Cllr. Julia Carmichael

This Council resolves to include the following in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017:

“Appropriate density levels are determined both by the design of the development and the use of the building and by the character and scale of the receiving environment.”

Motion 2806 Councillor(s) Cllr. Kevin Humphreys

This Council resolves to include the following in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017:

“Appropriate density levels are determined both by the design of the development and the use of the building and by the character and scale of the receiving environment.”

Motion 2807 Councillor(s) Cllr. Ruairi McGinley

This Council resolves to include the following in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 - 2017:

"Appropriate density levels are determined both by the design of the development and the use of the building and by the character and scale of the receiving environment."

Motion 2808 Councillor(s) Cllr. Dermot Lacey, Cllr. Mary Freehill

This Council resolves to include the following in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017:

“Appropriate density levels are determined both by the design of the development and the use of the building and by the character and scale of the receiving environment.”

Section 17.4 Plot Ratio

Motion 2809 Councillor(s) Cllr. Mary Freehill

This Council resolves that plot ratio standards included in the Draft Development Plan 2011 – 2017 at Par.17.4 be omitted, be replaced with the following:

“Zones Z1 and Z2 (Outer City) Indicative Plot Ratio 0.25-1.0:1
Zones Z1 and Z2 (Inner City) Indicative Plot Ratio 0.5-1.50:1
Zone Z3 Indicative Plot Ratio 1.0 -1.5:1
Zone 4 Indicative Plot Ratio 1.0 -2.0:1
Zone 5 Indicative Plot Ratio 2.5-3.0:1
Zone Z6 Indicative Plot Ratio (Outer City) 1.0 -1.5:1
Zone Z6 Indicative Plot Ratio (Inner City) 1.5 -2.0:1
Zone Z7 Indicative Plot Ratio 1.0 -3.0:1
Zone Z8 Indicative Plot Ratio 1.0 – 1.5:1
Zone 10 Indicative Plot Ratio 1.0 -2.0:1
Zone 12 Indicative Plot Ratio 0.25-1.0:1
Zone 14 Indicative Plot Ratio 1.0- 2.0:1
Zone Z15 Indicative Plot Ratio 0.25-1.0:1”

Motion 2810 Councillor(s) Cllr. Catherine Noone

This Council resolves that plot ratio standards included in the Draft Development Plan 2011 – 2017 at Par.17.4 be omitted, be replaced with the following:

“Zones Z1 and Z2 (Outer City) Indicative Plot Ratio 0.25-1.0:1
Zones Z1 and Z2 (Inner City) Indicative Plot Ratio 0.5-1.50:1
Zone Z3 Indicative Plot Ratio 1.0 -1.5:1
Zone 4 Indicative Plot Ratio 1.0 -2.0:1
Zone 5 Indicative Plot Ratio 2.5-3.0:1
Zone Z6 Indicative Plot Ratio (Outer City) 1.0 -1.5:1
Zone Z6 Indicative Plot Ratio (Inner City) 1.5 -2.0:1
Zone Z7 Indicative Plot Ratio 1.0 -3.0:1
Zone Z8 Indicative Plot Ratio 1.0 – 1.5:1
Zone 10 Indicative Plot Ratio 1.0 -2.0:1
Zone 12 Indicative Plot Ratio 0.25-1.0:1
Zone 14 Indicative Plot Ratio 1.0- 2.0:1
Zone Z15 Indicative Plot Ratio 0.25-1.0:1”

Motion 2811 Councillor(s) Cllr. Declan Flanagan

This Council resolves that plot ratio standards included in the Draft Development Plan 2011 – 2017 at Par.17.4 be omitted, be replaced with the following:

“Zones Z1 and Z2 (Outer City) Indicative Plot Ratio 0.25-1.0:1
Zones Z1 and Z2 (Inner City) Indicative Plot Ratio 0.5-1.50:1
Zone Z3 Indicative Plot Ratio 1.0 -1.5:1
Zone 4 Indicative Plot Ratio 1.0 -2.0:1
Zone 5 Indicative Plot Ratio 2.5-3.0:1
Zone Z6 Indicative Plot Ratio (Outer City) 1.0 -1.5:1
Zone Z6 Indicative Plot Ratio (Inner City) 1.5 -2.0:1
Zone Z7 Indicative Plot Ratio 1.0 -3.0:1
Zone Z8 Indicative Plot Ratio 1.0 – 1.5:1
Zone 10 Indicative Plot Ratio 1.0 -2.0:1
Zone 12 Indicative Plot Ratio 0.25-1.0:1
Zone 14 Indicative Plot Ratio 1.0- 2.0:1
Zone Z15 Indicative Plot Ratio 0.25-1.0:1”

Motion 2812 Councillor(s) Cllr. Ray McAdam

This Council resolves that plot ratio standards included in the Draft Development Plan 2011 – 2017 at Par.17.4 be omitted, be replaced with the following:

“Zones Z1 and Z2 (Outer City) Indicative Plot Ratio 0.25-1.0:1
Zones Z1 and Z2 (Inner City) Indicative Plot Ratio 0.5-1.50:1
Zone Z3 Indicative Plot Ratio 1.0 -1.5:1
Zone 4 Indicative Plot Ratio 1.0 -2.0:1
Zone 5 Indicative Plot Ratio 2.5-3.0:1
Zone Z6 Indicative Plot Ratio (Outer City) 1.0 -1.5:1
Zone Z6 Indicative Plot Ratio (Inner City) 1.5 -2.0:1
Zone Z7 Indicative Plot Ratio 1.0 -3.0:1
Zone Z8 Indicative Plot Ratio 1.0 – 1.5:1
Zone 10 Indicative Plot Ratio 1.0 -2.0:1
Zone 12 Indicative Plot Ratio 0.25-1.0:1
Zone 14 Indicative Plot Ratio 1.0- 2.0:1
Zone Z15 Indicative Plot Ratio 0.25-1.0:1”

Motion 2813 Councillor(s) Cllr. Nial Ring

This Council resolves that plot ratio standards included in the Draft Development Plan 2011 – 2017 at Par.17.4 be omitted, be replaced with the following:

“Zones Z1 and Z2 (Outer City) Indicative Plot Ratio 0.25-1.0:1
Zones Z1 and Z2 (Inner City) Indicative Plot Ratio 0.5-1.50:1
Zone Z3 Indicative Plot Ratio 1.0 -1.5:1
Zone 4 Indicative Plot Ratio 1.0 -2.0:1
Zone 5 Indicative Plot Ratio 2.5-3.0:1
Zone Z6 Indicative Plot Ratio (Outer City) 1.0 -1.5:1
Zone Z6 Indicative Plot Ratio (Inner City) 1.5 -2.0:1
Zone Z7 Indicative Plot Ratio 1.0 -3.0:1
Zone Z8 Indicative Plot Ratio 1.0 – 1.5:1
Zone 10 Indicative Plot Ratio 1.0 -2.0:1
Zone 12 Indicative Plot Ratio 0.25-1.0:1
Zone 14 Indicative Plot Ratio 1.0- 2.0:1
Zone Z15 Indicative Plot Ratio 0.25-1.0:1”

Motion 2814 Councillor(s) Cllr. John Gallagher

This Council resolves that plot ratio standards included in the Draft Development Plan 2011 – 2017 at Par.17.4 be omitted, be replaced with the following:

“Zones Z1 and Z2 (Outer City) Indicative Plot Ratio 0.25-1.0:1
Zones Z1 and Z2 (Inner City) Indicative Plot Ratio 0.5-1.50:1
Zone Z3 Indicative Plot Ratio 1.0 -1.5:1
Zone 4 Indicative Plot Ratio 1.0 -2.0:1
Zone 5 Indicative Plot Ratio 2.5-3.0:1
Zone Z6 Indicative Plot Ratio (Outer City) 1.0 -1.5:1
Zone Z6 Indicative Plot Ratio (Inner City) 1.5 -2.0:1
Zone Z7 Indicative Plot Ratio 1.0 -3.0:1
Zone Z8 Indicative Plot Ratio 1.0 – 1.5:1
Zone 10 Indicative Plot Ratio 1.0 -2.0:1
Zone 12 Indicative Plot Ratio 0.25-1.0:1
Zone 14 Indicative Plot Ratio 1.0- 2.0:1
Zone Z15 Indicative Plot Ratio 0.25-1.0:1”

Motion 2815 Councillor(s) Cllr. Christy Burke

This Council resolves that plot ratio standards included in the Draft Development Plan 2011 – 2017 at Par.17.4 be omitted, be replaced with the following:

“Zones Z1 and Z2 (Outer City) Indicative Plot Ratio 0.25-1.0:1
Zones Z1 and Z2 (Inner City) Indicative Plot Ratio 0.5-1.50:1
Zone Z3 Indicative Plot Ratio 1.0 -1.5:1
Zone 4 Indicative Plot Ratio 1.0 -2.0:1
Zone 5 Indicative Plot Ratio 2.5-3.0:1
Zone Z6 Indicative Plot Ratio (Outer City) 1.0 -1.5:1
Zone Z6 Indicative Plot Ratio (Inner City) 1.5 -2.0:1
Zone Z7 Indicative Plot Ratio 1.0 -3.0:1
Zone Z8 Indicative Plot Ratio 1.0 – 1.5:1
Zone 10 Indicative Plot Ratio 1.0 -2.0:1
Zone 12 Indicative Plot Ratio 0.25-1.0:1
Zone 14 Indicative Plot Ratio 1.0- 2.0:1
Zone Z15 Indicative Plot Ratio 0.25-1.0:1”

Motion 2816 Councillor(s) Cllr. Eoghan Murphy

This Council resolves that plot ratio standards included in the Draft Development Plan 2011 – 2017 at Par.17.4 be omitted, be replaced with the following:

“Zones Z1 and Z2 (Outer City) Indicative Plot Ratio 0.25-1.0:1
Zones Z1 and Z2 (Inner City) Indicative Plot Ratio 0.5-1.50:1
Zone Z3 Indicative Plot Ratio 1.0 -1.5:1
Zone 4 Indicative Plot Ratio 1.0 -2.0:1
Zone 5 Indicative Plot Ratio 2.5-3.0:1
Zone Z6 Indicative Plot Ratio (Outer City) 1.0 -1.5:1
Zone Z6 Indicative Plot Ratio (Inner City) 1.5 -2.0:1
Zone Z7 Indicative Plot Ratio 1.0 -3.0:1
Zone Z8 Indicative Plot Ratio 1.0 – 1.5:1
Zone 10 Indicative Plot Ratio 1.0 -2.0:1
Zone 12 Indicative Plot Ratio 0.25-1.0:1
Zone 14 Indicative Plot Ratio 1.0- 2.0:1
Zone Z15 Indicative Plot Ratio 0.25-1.0:1”

Motion 2817 Councillor(s) Cllr. Julia Carmichael

This Council resolves that plot ratio standards included in the Draft Development Plan 2011 – 2017 at Par.17.4 be omitted, be replaced with the following:

“Zones Z1 and Z2 (Outer City) Indicative Plot Ratio 0.25-1.0:1
Zones Z1 and Z2 (Inner City) Indicative Plot Ratio 0.5-1.50:1
Zone Z3 Indicative Plot Ratio 1.0 -1.5:1
Zone 4 Indicative Plot Ratio 1.0 -2.0:1
Zone 5 Indicative Plot Ratio 2.5-3.0:1
Zone Z6 Indicative Plot Ratio (Outer City) 1.0 -1.5:1
Zone Z6 Indicative Plot Ratio (Inner City) 1.5 -2.0:1
Zone Z7 Indicative Plot Ratio 1.0 -3.0:1
Zone Z8 Indicative Plot Ratio 1.0 – 1.5:1
Zone 10 Indicative Plot Ratio 1.0 -2.0:1
Zone 12 Indicative Plot Ratio 0.25-1.0:1
Zone 14 Indicative Plot Ratio 1.0- 2.0:1
Zone Z15 Indicative Plot Ratio 0.25-1.0:1”

Motion 2818 Councillor(s) Cllr. Ray McAdam

This Council resolves that plot ratio standards included in the Draft Development Plan 2011 – 2017 at Par.17.4 be omitted, be replaced with the following:

“Zones Z1 and Z2 (Outer City) Indicative Plot Ratio 0.25-1.0:1
Zones Z1 and Z2 (Inner City) Indicative Plot Ratio 0.5-1.50:1
Zone Z3 Indicative Plot Ratio 1.0 -1.5:1
Zone 4 Indicative Plot Ratio 1.0 -2.0:1
Zone 5 Indicative Plot Ratio 2.5-3.0:1
Zone Z6 Indicative Plot Ratio
(Outer City) 1.0 -1.5:1
Zone Z6 Indicative Plot Ratio
(Inner City) 1.5 -2.0:1
Zone Z7 Indicative Plot Ratio 1.0 -3.0:1
Zone Z8 Indicative Plot Ratio 1.0 – 1.5:1
Zone 10 Indicative Plot Ratio 1.0 -2.0:1
Zone 12 Indicative Plot Ratio 0.25-1.0:1
Zone 14 Indicative Plot Ratio 1.0- 2.0:1
Zone Z15 Indicative Plot Ratio 0.25-1.0:1”

Motion 2819 Councillor(s) Cllr. Mary O'Shea

This Council agrees that the plot ratio standards for the following zoning designations be amended in the draft Development Plan as follows:

Z1 and Z2 (suburbs) indicative plot ratio 0.25 - 1. 0:1
Z1 and Z2 (inner city) 1.0 - 1.75:1
Z3 1.0 - 1.5:1
Z6 (suburbs) 1.0 - 1.5:1
Z6 (inner city) 1.5 - 2.5:1
Z12 0.5 – 1.0:1
Z14 1.0 – 2.0:1
Z15 0.5 - 1.0

Motion 2820 Councillor(s) Cllr. Cieran Perry

This Council agrees that the plot ratio standards for the following zoning designations be amended in the draft Development Plan as follows:

Zones Z1 and Z2 (Outer City) Indicative Plot Ratio 0.25-1.0:1
Zones Z1 and Z2 (Inner City) Indicative Plot Ratio 0.5-1.50:1
Zone Z3 Indicative Plot Ratio 1.0 -1.5:1
Zone 4 Indicative Plot Ratio 1.0 -2.0:1
Zone 5 Indicative Plot Ratio 2.5-3.0:1
Zone Z6 Indicative Plot Ratio (Outer City) 1.0 -1.5:1
Zone Z6 Indicative Plot Ratio (Inner City) 1.5 -2.0:1
Zone Z7 Indicative Plot Ratio 1.0 -3.0:1
Zone Z8 Indicative Plot Ratio 1.0 – 1.5:1
Zone 10 Indicative Plot Ratio 1.0 -2.0:1
Zone 12 Indicative Plot Ratio 0.25-1.0:1
Zone 14 Indicative Plot Ratio 1.0- 2.0:1
Zone Z15 Indicative Plot Ratio 0.25-1.0:1

Motion 2821 Councillor(s) Cllr. Christy Burke

This Council agrees that the plot ratio standards for the following zoning designations be amended in the draft Development Plan as follows:

Z1 and Z2 (suburbs) 0.25 - 1.0
Z1 and Z2 (inner city) 1.0 - 1.5
Z3 1.0 - 1.5
Z4 1.0 – 2.0
Z6 (suburbs) 1.0 - 1.5
Z6 (inner city) 1.5 - 2.0
Z7 1.0 - 3.0
Z8 1.0 –1.5
Z10 1.0 - 2.0
Z12 0.5 - 1.0
Z14 1.0 - 2.0
Z15 0.5 - 1.0

Motion 2822 Councillor(s) Cllr. Christy Burke

That DCC revise the plot ratios so as not to have overdevelopment in area and apply minimum net densities not higher density apartments schemes.

Section 17.5 Site Coverage

Motion 2823 Councillor(s) Cllr. Mary Freehill

This Council resolves that site coverage standards included in the Draft Development Plan 2011 – 2017 be amended at Par.17.5 as follows:

“Zone Z1 Indicative Site Coverage 45% - up to 60% in certain Inner City and infill/mews locations
Zone Z2 Indicative Site coverage 45%
Zone Z3 Indicative Site Coverage 60%
Zone Z4 Indicative Site Coverage 80%
Zone Z5 Indicative Site Coverage 90%
Zone Z6 Indicative Site Coverage (Inner City) 60%
Zone Z6 Indicative Site Coverage (Suburbs) 45%
Zone Z8 Indicative Site Coverage 50%
Zone Z10 Indicative Site Coverage 50%?
Zone Z12 Indicative Site Coverage (Developed area) 45%
Zone Z14 Indicative Site Coverage 50%?
Zone Z15 Indicative Site Coverage (Developed areas) 45%”

Motion 2824 Councillor(s) Cllr. Catherine Noone

This Council resolves that site coverage standards included in the Draft Development Plan 2011 – 2017 be amended at Par.17.5 as follows:

“Zone Z1 Indicative Site Coverage 45% - up to 60% in certain Inner City and infill/mews locations
Zone Z2 Indicative Site coverage 45%
Zone Z3 Indicative Site Coverage 60%
Zone Z4 Indicative Site Coverage 80%
Zone Z5 Indicative Site Coverage 90%
Zone Z6 Indicative Site Coverage (Inner City) 60%
Zone Z6 Indicative Site Coverage (Suburbs) 45%
Zone Z8 Indicative Site Coverage 50%
Zone Z10 Indicative Site Coverage 50%?
Zone Z12 Indicative Site Coverage (Developed area) 45%
Zone Z14 Indicative Site Coverage 50%?
Zone Z15 Indicative Site Coverage (Developed areas) 45%”

Motion 2825 Councillor(s) Cllr. Declan Flanagan

This Council resolves that site coverage standards included in the Draft Development Plan 2011 – 2017 be amended at Par.17.5 as follows:

“Zone Z1 Indicative Site Coverage 45% - up to 60% in certain Inner City and infill/mews locations
Zone Z2 Indicative Site coverage 45%
Zone Z3 Indicative Site Coverage 60%
Zone Z4 Indicative Site Coverage 80%
Zone Z5 Indicative Site Coverage 90%
Zone Z6 Indicative Site Coverage (Inner City) 60%
Zone Z6 Indicative Site Coverage (Suburbs) 45%
Zone Z8 Indicative Site Coverage 50%
Zone Z10 Indicative Site Coverage 50%?
Zone Z12 Indicative Site Coverage (Developed area) 45%
Zone Z14 Indicative Site Coverage 50%?
Zone Z15 Indicative Site Coverage (Developed areas) 45%”

Motion 2826 Councillor(s) Cllr. Ray McAdam

This Council resolves that site coverage standards included in the Draft Development Plan 2011 – 2017 be amended at Par.17.5 as follows:

“Zone Z1 Indicative Site Coverage 45% - up to 60% in certain Inner City and infill/mews locations
Zone Z2 Indicative Site coverage 45%
Zone Z3 Indicative Site Coverage 60%
Zone Z4 Indicative Site Coverage 80%
Zone Z5 Indicative Site Coverage 90%
Zone Z6 Indicative Site Coverage (Inner City) 60%
Zone Z6 Indicative Site Coverage (Suburbs) 45%
Zone Z8 Indicative Site Coverage 50%
Zone Z10 Indicative Site Coverage 50%
Zone Z12 Indicative Site Coverage (Developed area) 45%
Zone Z14 Indicative Site Coverage 50%
Zone Z15 Indicative Site Coverage (Developed areas) 45%”

Motion 2827 Councillor(s) Cllr. Nial Ring

This Council resolves that site coverage standards included in the Draft Development Plan 2011 – 2017 be amended at Par.17.5 as follows:

“Zone Z1 Indicative Site Coverage 45% - up to 60% in certain Inner City and infill/mews locations
Zone Z2 Indicative Site coverage 45%
Zone Z3 Indicative Site Coverage 60%
Zone Z4 Indicative Site Coverage 80%
Zone Z5 Indicative Site Coverage 90%
Zone Z6 Indicative Site Coverage (Inner City) 60%
Zone Z6 Indicative Site Coverage (Suburbs) 45%
Zone Z8 Indicative Site Coverage 50%
Zone Z10 Indicative Site Coverage 50%
Zone Z12 Indicative Site Coverage (Developed area) 45%
Zone Z14 Indicative Site Coverage 50%
Zone Z15 Indicative Site Coverage (Developed areas) 45%”

Motion 2828 Councillor(s) Cllr. John Gallagher

This Council resolves that site coverage standards included in the Draft Development Plan 2011 – 2017 be amended at Par.17.5 as follows:

“Zone Z1 Indicative Site Coverage 45% - up to 60% in certain Inner City and infill/mews locations
Zone Z2 Indicative Site coverage 45%
Zone Z3 Indicative Site Coverage 60%
Zone Z4 Indicative Site Coverage 80%
Zone Z5 Indicative Site Coverage 90%
Zone Z6 Indicative Site Coverage (Inner City) 60%
Zone Z6 Indicative Site Coverage (Suburbs) 45%
Zone Z8 Indicative Site Coverage 50%
Zone Z10 Indicative Site Coverage 50%
Zone Z12 Indicative Site Coverage (Developed area) 45%
Zone Z14 Indicative Site Coverage 50%
Zone Z15 Indicative Site Coverage (Developed areas) 45%”

Motion 2829 Councillor(s) Cllr. Christy Burke

This Council resolves that site coverage standards included in the Draft Development Plan 2011 – 2017 be amended at Par.17.5 as follows:

“Zone Z1 Indicative Site Coverage 45% - up to 60% in certain Inner City and infill/mews locations
Zone Z2 Indicative Site coverage 45%
Zone Z3 Indicative Site Coverage 60%
Zone Z4 Indicative Site Coverage 80%
Zone Z5 Indicative Site Coverage 90%
Zone Z6 Indicative Site Coverage (Inner City) 60%
Zone Z6 Indicative Site Coverage (Suburbs) 45%
Zone Z8 Indicative Site Coverage 50%
Zone Z10 Indicative Site Coverage 50%
Zone Z12 Indicative Site Coverage (Developed area) 45%
Zone Z14 Indicative Site Coverage 50%
Zone Z15 Indicative Site Coverage (Developed areas) 45%”

Motion 2830 Councillor(s) Cllr. Eoghan Murphy

This Council resolves that site coverage standards included in the Draft Development Plan 2011 – 2017 be amended at Par.17.5 as follows:

“Zone Z1 Indicative Site Coverage 45% - up to 60% in certain Inner City and infill/mews locations
Zone Z2 Indicative Site coverage 45%
Zone Z3 Indicative Site Coverage 60%
Zone Z4 Indicative Site Coverage 80%
Zone Z5 Indicative Site Coverage 90%
Zone Z6 Indicative Site Coverage (Inner City) 60%
Zone Z6 Indicative Site Coverage (Suburbs) 45%
Zone Z8 Indicative Site Coverage 50%
Zone Z10 Indicative Site Coverage 50%?
Zone Z12 Indicative Site Coverage (Developed area) 45%
Zone Z14 Indicative Site Coverage 50%?
Zone Z15 Indicative Site Coverage (Developed areas) 45%”

Motion 2831 Councillor(s) Cllr. Julia Carmichael

This Council resolves that site coverage standards included in the Draft Development Plan 2011 – 2017 be amended at Par.17.5 as follows:

“Zone Z1 Indicative Site Coverage 45% - up to 60% in certain Inner City and infill/mews locations
Zone Z2 Indicative Site coverage 45%
Zone Z3 Indicative Site Coverage 60%
Zone Z4 Indicative Site Coverage 80%
Zone Z5 Indicative Site Coverage 90%
Zone Z6 Indicative Site Coverage (Inner City) 60%
Zone Z6 Indicative Site Coverage (Suburbs) 45%
Zone Z8 Indicative Site Coverage 50%
Zone Z10 Indicative Site Coverage 50%?
Zone Z12 Indicative Site Coverage (Developed area) 45%
Zone Z14 Indicative Site Coverage 50%?
Zone Z15 Indicative Site Coverage (Developed areas) 45%”

Motion 2832 Councillor(s) Cllr. Ray McAdam

This Council resolves that site coverage standards included in the Draft Development Plan 2011 – 2017 be amended at Par.17.5 as follows:

“Zone Z1 Indicative Site Coverage 45% - up to 60% in certain Inner City and infill/mews locations
Zone Z2 Indicative Site coverage 45%
Zone Z3 Indicative Site Coverage 60%
Zone Z4 Indicative Site Coverage 80%
Zone Z5 Indicative Site Coverage 90%
Zone Z6 Indicative Site Coverage (Inner City) 60%
Zone Z6 Indicative Site Coverage (Suburbs) 45%
Zone Z8 Indicative Site Coverage 50%
Zone Z10 Indicative Site Coverage 50%
Zone Z12 Indicative Site Coverage (Developed area) 45%
Zone Z14 Indicative Site Coverage 50%
Zone Z15 Indicative Site Coverage (Developed areas) 45%”

Section 17.9 Standards for Residential Development

Motion 2833 Councillor(s) Cllr. Dermot Lacey, Cllr. Mary Freehill

This Council resolves to include the following wording at Par 17.9 of the Dublin City Development Plan 2011-2017:

“The provision and protection of residential amenities is a primary concern of Dublin City Council. This will be achieved through the zoning objectives”

Motion 2834 Councillor(s) Cllr. Declan Flanagan

This Council resolves to include the following wording at Par 17.9 of the Dublin City Development Plan 2011-2017:

“The provision and protection of residential amenities is a primary concern of Dublin City Council. This will be achieved through the zoning objectives”

Motion 2835 Councillor(s) Cllr. Ray McAdam

This Council resolves to include the following wording at Par 17.9 of the Dublin City Development Plan 2011-2017:

“The provision and protection of residential amenities is a primary concern of Dublin City Council. This will be achieved through the zoning objectives”

Motion 2836 Councillor(s) Cllr. Julia Carmichael

This Council resolves to include the following wording at Par 17.9 of the Dublin City Development Plan 2011-2017:

“The provision and protection of residential amenities is a primary concern of Dublin City Council. This will be achieved through the zoning objectives”.

Motion 2837 Councillor(s) Cllr. Catherine Noone

This Council resolves to include the following wording at Par 17.9 of the Dublin City Development Plan 2011-2017:

“The provision and protection of residential amenities is a primary concern of Dublin City Council. This will be achieved through the zoning objectives”

Motion 2838 Councillor(s) Cllr. Aine Clancy, Cllr. Emer Costello

This Council resolves to include the following wording at Par 17.9 of the Dublin City Development Plan 2011-2017:

“The provision and protection of residential amenities is a primary concern of Dublin City Council. This will be achieved through the zoning objectives”

Motion 2839 Councillor(s) Cllr. Eoghan Murphy

This Council resolves to include the following wording at Par 17.9 of the Dublin City Development Plan 2011-2017:

“The provision and protection of residential amenities is a primary concern of Dublin City Council. This will be achieved through the zoning objectives”

Motion 2840 Councillor(s) Cllr. Christy Burke

This Council resolves to include the following wording at Par 17.9 of the Dublin City Development Plan 2011-2017:

“The provision and protection of residential amenities is a primary concern of Dublin City Council. This will be achieved through the zoning objectives”.

Section 17.9.1 Residential Quality Standards

Motion 2841 Councillor(s) Cllr. Eoghan Murphy

This Council resolves to include the following in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 at Chapter 17 B1:

“Public open space is open space which makes a contribution to the public domain and is accessible to the public for the purposes of active and passive recreation, including relaxation and children’s play. Public open space also provides for visual breaks between and within residential areas and facilitates biodiversity and the maintenance of wildlife habitats.”

Motion 2842 Councillor(s) Cllr. Catherine Noone

This Council resolves to include the following in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 at Chapter 17 B1:

“Public open space is open space which makes a contribution to the public domain and is accessible to the public for the purposes of active and passive recreation, including relaxation and children’s play. Public open space also provides for visual breaks between and within residential areas and facilitates biodiversity and the maintenance of wildlife habitats.”

Motion 2843 Councillor(s) Cllr. Ray McAdam

This Council resolves to include the following in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 at Chapter 17 B1:

“Public open space is open space which makes a contribution to the public domain and is accessible to the public for the purposes of active and passive recreation, including relaxation and children’s play. Public open space also provides for visual breaks between and within residential areas and facilitates biodiversity and the maintenance of wildlife habitats.”

Motion 2844 Councillor(s) Cllr. Dermot Lacey, Cllr. Mary Freehill

This Council resolves to include the following in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 at Chapter 17 B1:

“Public open space is open space which makes a contribution to the public domain and is accessible to the public for the purposes of active and passive recreation, including relaxation and children’s play but where appropriate and agreed by the Local Area Committee the provision of public accessible sports facilities. Public open space also provides for visual breaks between and within residential areas and facilitates biodiversity and the maintenance of wildlife habitats.”

Motion 2845 Councillor(s) Cllr. Julia Carmichael

This Council resolves to include the following in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 at Chapter 17 B1:

“Public open space is open space which makes a contribution to the public domain and is accessible to the public for the purposes of active and passive recreation, including relaxation and children’s play. Public open space also provides for visual breaks between and within residential areas and facilitates biodiversity and the maintenance of wildlife habitats.”

Motion 2846 Councillor(s) Cllr. Kevin Humphreys

This Council resolves to include the following in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 at Chapter 17 B1:

“Public open space is open space which makes a contribution to the public domain and is accessible to the public for the purposes of active and passive recreation, including relaxation and children’s play. Public open space also provides for visual breaks between and within residential areas and facilitates biodiversity and the maintenance of wildlife habitats.”

Motion 2847 Councillor(s) Cllr. Nial Ring

This Council resolves to include the following in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 at Chapter 17 B1:

“Public open space is open space which makes a contribution to the public domain and is accessible to the public for the purposes of active and passive recreation, including relaxation and children’s play. Public open space also provides for visual breaks between and within residential areas and facilitates biodiversity and the maintenance of wildlife habitats.”

Motion 2848 Councillor(s) Cllr. Christy Burke

This Council resolves to include the following in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 at Chapter 17 B1:

“Public open space is open space which makes a contribution to the public domain and is accessible to the public for the purposes of active and passive recreation, including relaxation and children’s play. Public open space also provides for visual breaks between and within residential areas and facilitates biodiversity and the maintenance of wildlife habitats.”

Section 17.9.5 Backland Development

Motion 2849 Councillor(s) Cllr. Catherine Noone

This Council resolves to remove in its entirety Par. 17.9.5 ‘Backland Development’ from the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017.

Motion 2850 Councillor(s) Cllr. Mary Freehill

Backland Development
Page 218. Section 17.9.5

Remove this entire paragraph:

Either a brownfield site exists or not but this paragraph has been used to develop land in Conservation Areas to the detriment of the architectural integrity, character and amenity of the existing area.

Motion 2851 Councillor(s) Cllr. Mary Freehill

This Council resolves to remove in its entirety Par. 17.9.5 ‘Backland Development’ from the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017.

Motion 2852 Councillor(s) Cllr. Eoghan Murphy

This Council resolves to remove in its entirety Par. 17.9.5 ‘Backland Development’ from the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017

Section 17.9.6 Corner / Side Garden Sites

Motion 2853 Councillor(s) Cllr. Catherine Noone

This Council resolves to amend Par. 17.9.6 'Corner/Side Garden Sites' of the Dublin City Development Plan 2011-2017 to include additional wording (underlined for clarity):

"The development of a dwelling(s) in the side garden of existing dwellings is a means of making the most efficient use of serviced residential lands. Such developments, when undertaken on suitable sites and to a high standard can constitute valuable additions to the residential building stock of an area and will generally be encouraged by the planning authority on suitable large sites. All such developments must harmonise in style, colour, materials & scale with the existing architectural context. In Z2 Conservation areas the protection of the established character & historic fabric shall take precedence in any consideration of development."

Motion 2854 Councillor(s) Cllr. Mary Freehill

Page 218 Section 17.9.6

Add the qualifying section:

The development of a dwelling(s) in the side garden of existing dwellings is a means of making the most efficient use of serviced residential lands. Such developments, when undertaken on suitable sites and to a high standard can constitute valuable additions to the residential building stock of an area and will generally be encouraged by the planning authority on suitable large sites, except in Z2 Conservation areas where the protection of the established character & historic fabric takes precedence. All such developments must harmonise in style materials & scale with the existing architectural context.

Motion 2855 Councillor(s) Cllr. Ruairi McGinley

This Council resolves to amend Par. 17.9.6 'Corner/Side Garden Sites' of the Dublin City Development Plan 2011-2017 to include additional wording:

"The development of a dwelling(s) in the side garden of existing dwellings is a means of making the most efficient use of serviced residential lands. Such developments, when undertaken on suitable sites and to a high standard can constitute valuable additions to the residential building stock of an area and will generally be encouraged by the planning authority on suitable large sites. All such developments must harmonise in style, colour, materials & scale with the existing architectural context. In Z2 Conservation areas the protection of the established character & historic fabric shall take precedence in any consideration of development."

Motion 2856 Councillor(s) Cllr. Eoghan Murphy

This Council resolves to amend Par. 17.9.6 'Corner/Side Garden Sites' of the Dublin City Development Plan 2011-2017 to include additional wording (underlined for clarity):

"The development of a dwelling(s) in the side garden of existing dwellings is a means of making the most efficient use of serviced residential lands. Such developments, when undertaken on suitable sites and to a high standard can constitute valuable additions to the residential building stock of an area and will generally be encouraged by the planning authority on suitable large sites. All such developments must harmonise in style, colour, materials & scale with the existing architectural context. In Z2 Conservation areas the protection of the established character & historic fabric shall take precedence in any consideration of development."

Motion 2857 Councillor(s) Cllr. Kevin Humphreys

This Council resolves to amend Par. 17.9.6 'Corner/Side Garden Sites' of the Dublin City Development Plan 2011-2017 to include additional wording:

"The development of a dwelling(s) in the side garden of existing dwellings is a means of making the most efficient use of serviced residential lands. Such developments, when undertaken on suitable sites and to a high standard can constitute valuable additions to the residential building stock of an area and will generally be encouraged by the planning authority on suitable large sites. All such developments must harmonise in style, colour, materials & scale with the existing architectural context. In Z2 Conservation areas the protection of the established character & historic fabric shall take precedence in any consideration of development."

Motion 2858 Councillor(s) Cllr. Ruairi McGinley

This Council resolves to amend Par. 17.9.6 'Corner/Side Garden Sites' of the Dublin City Development Plan 2011-2017 to include additional wording (underlined for clarity):

The development of a dwelling(s) in the side garden of existing dwellings is a means of making the most efficient use of serviced residential lands. Such developments, when undertaken on suitable sites and to a high standard can constitute valuable additions to the residential building stock of an area and will generally be encouraged by the planning authority on suitable large sites. All such developments must harmonise in style, colour, materials & scale with the existing architectural context. In Z2 Conservation areas the protection of the established character & historic fabric shall take precedence in any consideration of development.

Motion 2859 Councillor(s) Cllr. Mary Freehill

This Council resolves to amend Par. 17.9.6 'Corner/Side Garden Sites' of the Dublin City Development Plan 2011-2017 to include additional wording (underlined for clarity):

"The development of a dwelling(s) in the side garden of existing dwellings is a means of making the most efficient use of serviced residential lands. Such developments, when undertaken on suitable sites and to a high standard can constitute valuable additions to the residential building stock of an area and will generally be encouraged by the planning authority on suitable large sites. All such developments must harmonise in style, colour, materials & scale with the existing architectural context. In Z2 Conservation areas the protection of the established character & historic fabric shall take precedence in any consideration of development."

Section 17.9.7 Infill Housing

Motion 2860 Councillor(s) Cllr. Catherine Noone

This Council resolves to amend Par. 17.9.7 'Infill Housing' of the Dublin City Development Plan 2011-2017 to include additional wording (underlined for clarity):

"the Planning Authority may relax normal planning standards in the interest of ensuring that vacant, derelict and under-utilised land in the inner and outer city is developed. All such developments must harmonise in style, materials & scale with the existing architectural context. In Z2 Conservation areas the protection of the established character & historic fabric takes precedence in any consideration of development".

Motion 2861 Councillor(s) Cllr. Mary Freehill

This Council resolves to amend Par. 17.9.7 'Infill Housing' of the Dublin City Development Plan 2011-2017 to include additional wording (underlined for clarity):

“the Planning Authority may relax normal planning standards in the interest of ensuring that vacant, derelict and under-utilised land in the inner and outer city is developed. All such developments must harmonise in style, materials & scale with the existing architectural context. In Z2 Conservation areas the protection of the established character & historic fabric takes precedence in any consideration of development”.

Motion 2862 Councillor(s) Cllr. Eoghan Murphy

This Council resolves to amend Par. 17.9.7 'Infill Housing' of the Dublin City Development Plan 2011-2017 to include additional wording (underlined for clarity):

“the Planning Authority may relax normal planning standards in the interest of ensuring that vacant, derelict and under-utilised land in the inner and outer city is developed. All such developments must harmonise in style, materials & scale with the existing architectural context. In Z2 Conservation areas the protection of the established character & historic fabric takes precedence in any consideration of development”.

Motion 2863 Councillor(s) Cllr. Mary Freehill

Infill Housing

Page 219 Section 17.9.7

Add qualifying sentence to first paragraph as follows

'the Planning Authority may relax normal planning standards in the interest of ensuring that vacant, derelict and under-utilised land in the inner and outer city is developed' except in Z2 Conservation areas where the protection of the established character & historic fabric takes precedence. All such developments must harmonise in style materials & scale with the existing architectural context.

Section 17.9.14 Mews Dwellings

Motion 2864 Councillor(s) Cllr. Mary Freehill

Palmerston Lane Dublin 6

The DoEHLG Guidelines for Planning Authorities 'Architectural Heritage Protection' states in Section,13.5.3 Where a large house has a garden, which contributes to the character of the protected structure, subdivision of the garden, particularly by permanent sub dividers, may be inappropriate.

Richview Residents Association & in particular the Residents of Palmerston Lane, which is a single access, sub standard lane with no footpaths request that- Any future development of residential mews sites must permit ONLY 1 residential unit per original house.

Motion 2865 Councillor(s) Cllr. Mary Freehill

Page 222, 223 Section 17.9.14

Alter as follows:

A - Proposals to demolish such buildings on economic grounds will (generally) not be accepted.

B - In certain circumstances, three storey mews developments incorporating apartments will be acceptable except in Z2 Conservation areas where the protection of the established character & historic fabric takes precedence. All such developments must harmonise in style & materials.

C - The amalgamation or subdivision of plots on mews lanes will (generally) not be encouraged.

Add

Provision of rear access to the main frontage premise should be encouraged for maintenance.

Remove

'The 15 m2 of private open space per bed space standard may be relaxed for proposals in the suburbs provided any existing residential buildings comply with minimum private open space standards and the proposed mews dwelling has a rear garden with a minimum length of 7.5 metres for its entire width.'

This provision must be removed as it causes erosion of the quality and amenity and architectural heritage of the existing residential area. Its stated aim is take from the residential amenity of the receiving environment.

As stated above

The DoEHLG Guidelines for Planning Authorities 'Architectural Heritage Protection' states in Section, 13.5.3 Where a large house has a garden, which contributes to the character of the protected structure, subdivision of the garden, particularly by permanent sub dividers, may be inappropriate.

E - The distance between the opposing windows of mews dwellings and of the main houses shall be a minimum of 22 metres. In exceptional circumstances, this requirement may be relaxed due to site constraints. In such cases innovative and high quality design will be required to ensure privacy and prevent overlooking between the main building and mews building to provide for a quality residential environment and to provide an adequate setting including amenity space for both the main building and mews dwelling.

The above sentence must be removed.

In effect this statement allows the erosion of the existing environment and architectural heritage and in our experience the 'in exceptional circumstances' part of the sentence is ignored. The 'adequate setting and amenity space' part of the sentence is also ignored in favour of relaxing the site constraints. This is a typical example of the contradictions in this Development Plan.

Motion 2866 Councillor(s) Cllr. Eoghan Murphy

This Council resolves to amend Par. 17.9.14 'Mews Dwellings' of the Dublin City Development Plan 2011-2017 as follows:

OMIT wording (in bold and [brackets]) and INCLUDE additional wording (underlined for clarity)

A Proposals to demolish such buildings on economic grounds will **[generally]** not be accepted.

B In certain circumstances, three storey mews developments incorporating apartments will be acceptable except in Z2 Conservation areas where the protection of the established character & historic fabric takes precedence. All such developments must harmonise in style & materials.

E The amalgamation or subdivision of plots on mews lanes will **[generally not]** be **[encouraged]** discouraged and provision of rear access to the main frontage premises shall be sought where possible .

N **[The 15 m2 of private open space per bed space standard may be relaxed for proposals in the suburbs provided any existing residential buildings comply with minimum private open space standards and the proposed mews dwelling has a rear garden with a minimum length of 7.5 metres for its entire width.]**

P The distance between the opposing windows of mews dwellings and of the main houses shall be a minimum of 22 metres. **[In exceptional circumstances, this requirement may be relaxed due to site constraints. In such cases innovative and high quality design will be required to ensure privacy and prevent overlooking between the main building and mews building to provide for a quality residential environment and to provide an adequate setting including amenity space for both the main building and mews dwelling.]**

Motion 2867 Councillor(s) Cllr. Catherine Noone

This Council resolves to amend Par. 17.9.14 'Mews Dwellings' of the Dublin City Development Plan 2011-2017 as follows:

OMIT wording (in bold and [brackets]) and INCLUDE additional wording (underlined for clarity)

A - Proposals to demolish such buildings on economic grounds will **[generally]** not be accepted.

B - In certain circumstances, three storey mews developments incorporating apartments will be acceptable except in Z2 Conservation areas where the protection of the established character & historic fabric takes precedence. All such developments must harmonise in style & materials.

E - The amalgamation or subdivision of plots on mews lanes will **[generally not]** be **[encouraged]** discouraged and provision of rear access to the main frontage premises shall be sought where possible.

N - [The 15 m2 of private open space per bed space standard may be relaxed for proposals in the suburbs provided any existing residential buildings comply with minimum private open space standards and the proposed mews dwelling has a rear garden with a minimum length of 7.5 metres for its entire width.]

P - The distance between the opposing windows of mews dwellings and of the main houses shall be a minimum of 22 metres. **[In exceptional circumstances, this requirement may be relaxed due to site constraints. In such cases innovative and high quality design will be required to ensure privacy and prevent overlooking between the main building and mews building to provide for a quality residential environment and to provide an adequate setting including amenity space for both the main building and mews dwelling.]**

Motion 2868 Councillor(s) Cllr. Mary Freehill

This Council resolves to amend Par. 17.9.14 'Mews Dwellings' of the Dublin City Development Plan 2011-2017 as follows:

OMIT wording (in bold and [brackets]) and INCLUDE additional wording (underlined for clarity)

A - Proposals to demolish such buildings on economic grounds will **[generally]** not be accepted.

B - In certain circumstances, three storey mews developments incorporating apartments will be acceptable except in Z2 Conservation areas where the protection of the established character & historic fabric takes precedence. All such developments must harmonise in style & materials.

E - The amalgamation or subdivision of plots on mews lanes will **[generally not]** be **[encouraged]** discouraged and provision of rear access to the main frontage premises shall be sought where possible.

N - **[The 15 m2 of private open space per bed space standard may be relaxed for proposals in the suburbs provided any existing residential buildings comply with minimum private open space standards and the proposed mews dwelling has a rear garden with a minimum length of 7.5 metres for its entire width.]**

P - The distance between the opposing windows of mews dwellings and of the main houses shall be a minimum of 22 metres. **[In exceptional circumstances, this requirement may be relaxed due to site constraints. In such cases innovative and high quality design will be required to ensure privacy and prevent overlooking between the main building and mews building to provide for a quality residential environment and to provide an adequate setting including amenity space for both the main building and mews dwelling.]**

Section 17.10.2 Development within the Curtilage of a Protected Structure

Motion 2869 Councillor(s) Cllr. Eoghan Murphy

This Council resolves to amend Paragraph at end of Page 225/of the Dublin City Development Plan 2011-2017 as follows:

Development within the Curtilage of a Protected Structure Section 17.10.2

Omit:

"will be limited to an area equal to the footprint of the Protected structure"

Insert:

"will not be permitted" so that the sentence reads:

"In order to protect and encourage mature landscaping within the city & suburbs it is the policy of the City Council that the removal of rear gardens to facilitate construction of underground accommodation will not be permitted."

Motion 2870 Councillor(s) Cllr. Catherine Noone

This Council resolves to amend Par. 17.10.2 of the Dublin City Development Plan 2011-2017 as follows:

Omit:

“will be limited to an area equal to the footprint of the Protected structure”

Insert:

“will not be permitted” so that the sentence reads:

“In order to protect and encourage mature landscaping within the city & suburbs it is the policy of the City Council that the removal of rear gardens to facilitate construction of underground accommodation will not be permitted.”

Motion 2871 Councillor(s) Cllr. Dermot Lacey, Cllr. Mary Freehill

This Council resolves to amend Paragraph at end of Page 225/of the Dublin City Development Plan 2011-2017 as follows:

Development within the Curtilage of a Protected Structure Section 17.10.2

Omit:

“will be limited to an area equal to the footprint of the Protected structure”

Insert:

“will not be permitted” so that the sentence reads:

“In order to protect and encourage mature landscaping within the city & suburbs it is the policy of the City Council that the removal of rear gardens to facilitate construction of underground accommodation or facilities will not be permitted.”

Motion 2872 Councillor(s) Cllr. Mary Freehill

Development within the curtilage of a
Protected Structure

Page 225 Section 17.10.2

The 3rd paragraph states:

'Development proposals should include an appraisal of the wider context of the site or structure. This appraisal should examine the visual impact and design of the proposal and should address issues of historic settings, sensitivity to scale and context, views and the design of innovative quality architecture, which would complement the setting of the protected structure. The Planning Authority will seek to retain the traditional proportionate relationship in scale between buildings, their returns, gardens and mews structures and shall also seek to retain gardens and mature trees which contribute to the character of a protected structure, as soft landscape.'

The above aspirational paragraph is totally contradicted by the Section 17.9.14 section on Mews dwellings unless the amendments proposed above Nos 16B, 16E, 16N & 16 P are incorporated.

Motion 2873 Councillor(s) Cllr. Mary Freehill

Underground Development

Page 225

'In order to protect and encourage mature landscaping within the city environs it is an objective of the City Council that the removal of rear gardens to facilitate construction of underground accommodation will be limited to an area equal to the original footprint of the protected structure.'

Surely this sentence is contradictory. We would understand that this would ALLOW an underground development the size of the footprint of the original protected structure.

It is agreed that this sentence be revised as follows

In order to protect and encourage mature landscaping within the city & suburbs it is the policy of the City Council that the removal of rear gardens to facilitate construction of underground accommodation will not be permitted.

We would again quote from the same document on Page 225 'The Planning Authority will seek to retain the traditional proportionate relationship in scale between buildings, their returns, gardens and mews structures and shall also seek to retain gardens and mature trees which contribute to the character of a protected structure, as soft landscape.'

Which we agree with.

Section 17.10.3 Demolition of Protected Structures

Motion 2874 Councillor(s) Cllr. Henry Upton

To ask the manager that Section 17.10.3 Demolition of Protected Structures be removed.

Section 17.10.8.1 Development in Conservation Areas

Motion 2875 Councillor(s) Cllr. Ray McAdam

This Council resolves to include a provision in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 as follows:

“It shall be a requirement for all new development within Victorian and Edwardian areas, or within the vicinity of such areas, to have regard to the character of the area and to harmonise with the existing architecture in design, colour, materials, scale and roof treatment in order to avoid a negative impact on the visual quality of the area”

Motion 2876 Councillor(s) Cllr. Christy Burke

This Council resolves to include a provision in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 as follows:

“It shall be a requirement for all new development within Victorian and Edwardian areas, or within the vicinity of such areas, to have regard to the character of the area and to harmonise with the existing architecture in design, colour, materials, scale and roof treatment in order to avoid a negative impact on the visual quality of the area”

Motion 2877 Councillor(s) Cllr. Declan Flanagan

This Council resolves to include a provision in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 as follows:

“It shall be a requirement for all new development within Victorian and Edwardian areas, or within the vicinity of such areas, to have regard to the character of the area and to harmonise with the existing architecture in design, colour, materials, scale and roof treatment in order to avoid a negative impact on the visual quality of the area”

Motion 2878 Councillor(s) Cllr. Criona Ni Dhalaigh

This Council resolves to include a provision in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 as follows:

“It shall be a requirement for all new development within Victorian and Edwardian areas, or within the vicinity of such areas, to have regard to the character of the area and to harmonise with the existing architecture in design, colour, materials, scale and roof treatment in order to avoid a negative impact on the visual quality of the area”

Motion 2879 Councillor(s) Cllr. Criona Ni Dhalaigh

This Council resolves to include a provision in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 as follows:

“It shall be a requirement for all new development within Victorian and Edwardian areas, or within the vicinity of such areas, to have regard to the character of the area and to harmonise with the existing architecture in design, colour, materials, scale and roof treatment in order to avoid a negative impact on the visual quality of the area”

Motion 2880 Councillor(s) Cllr. Nial Ring

This Council resolves to include a provision in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 as follows:

“It shall be a requirement for all new development within Victorian and Edwardian areas, or within the vicinity of such areas, to have regard to the character of the area and to harmonise with the existing architecture in design, colour, materials, scale and roof treatment in order to avoid a negative impact on the visual quality of the area”

Motion 2881 Councillor(s) Cllr. Eoghan Murphy

This Council resolves to include a provision in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 as follows:

“It shall be a requirement for all new development within Victorian and Edwardian areas, or within the vicinity of such areas, to have regard to the character of the area and to harmonise with the existing architecture in design, colour, materials, scale and roof treatment in order to avoid a negative impact on the visual quality of the area”.

Motion 2882 Councillor(s) Cllr. Julia Carmichael

This Council resolves to include a provision in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 as follows:

“It shall be a requirement for all new development within Victorian and Edwardian areas, or within the vicinity of such areas, to have regard to the character of the area and to harmonise with the existing architecture in design, colour, materials, scale and roof treatment in order to avoid a negative impact on the visual quality of the area”

Motion 2883 Councillor(s) Cllr. Mary Fitzpatrick

This Council resolves to include a provision in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 as follows: “It shall be a requirement for all new development within Victorian and Edwardian areas, or within the vicinity of such areas, to have regard to the character of the area and to harmonise with the existing architecture in design, colour, materials, scale and roof treatment in order to avoid a negative impact on the visual quality of the area”.

Motion 2884 Councillor(s) Cllr. Kevin Humphreys

This Council resolves to include a provision in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 as follows:

“It shall be a requirement for all new development within Victorian and Edwardian areas, or within the vicinity of such areas, to have regard to the character of the area and to harmonise with the existing architecture in design, colour, materials, scale and roof treatment in order to avoid a negative impact on the visual quality of the area”

Motion 2885 Councillor(s) Cllr. Aine Clancy, Cllr. Emer Costello

This Council resolves to include a provision in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 as follows:

“It shall be a requirement for all new development within Victorian and Edwardian areas, or within the vicinity of such areas, to have regard to the character of the area and to harmonise with the existing architecture in design, colour, materials, scale and roof treatment in order to avoid a negative impact on the visual quality of the area”

Motion 2886 Councillor(s) Cllr. Ruairi McGinley

This Council resolves to include a provision in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 - 2017 as follows:

"It shall be a requirement for all new development within Victorian and Edwardian areas, or within the vicinity of such areas, to have regard to the character of the area and to harmonise with the existing architecture in design, colour, materials, scale and roof treatment in order to avoid a negative impact on the visual quality of the area"

Motion 2887 Councillor(s) Cllr. Mary O'Shea

That it shall be a requirement of all new development within Victorian and Edwardian areas, or within the vicinity of such areas, to have regard to the character of the area and to harmonise with the existing architecture in design, colour, materials, scale and roof treatment in order to avoid a negative impact on the visual quality of the area.

Motion 2888 Councillor(s) Cllr. Catherine Noone

This Council resolves to include a provision in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 as follows:

“It shall be a requirement for all new development within Victorian and Edwardian areas, or within the vicinity of such areas, to have regard to the character of the area and to harmonise with the existing architecture in design, colour, materials, scale and roof treatment in order to avoid a negative impact on the visual quality of the area”

Motion 2889 Councillor(s) Cllr. Dermot Lacey, Cllr. Mary Freehill

This Council resolves to include a provision in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 as follows:

“It shall be a requirement for all new development within Victorian and Edwardian areas, or within the vicinity of such areas, to have regard to the character of the area and to harmonise with the existing architecture in design, colour, materials, scale and roof treatment in order to avoid a negative impact on the visual quality of the area”

Motion 2890 Councillor(s) Cllr. Aine Clancy, Cllr. Emer Costello

This Council resolves to include a provision in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 as follows:
“All applications for development in Georgian, Victorian or Edwardian areas, or in the vicinity of such areas, shall be accompanied by an appraisal of the distinctive character of the area adjoining the site and an illustration of how the design of the proposed development responds to and compliments that character.”

Motion 2891 Councillor(s) Cllr. Declan Flanagan

This Council resolves to include a provision in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 as follows:
“All applications for development in Georgian, Victorian or Edwardian areas, or in the vicinity of such areas, shall be accompanied by an appraisal of the distinctive character of the area adjoining the site and an illustration of how the design of the proposed development responds to and compliments that character.”

Motion 2892 Councillor(s) Cllr. Christy Burke

This Council resolves to include a provision in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 as follows:

“All applications for development in Georgian, Victorian or Edwardian areas, or in the vicinity of such areas, shall be accompanied by an appraisal of the distinctive character of the area adjoining the site and an illustration of how the design of the proposed development responds to and compliments that character.”

Motion 2893 Councillor(s) Cllr. Catherine Noone

This Council resolves to include a provision in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 as follows:

“All applications for development in Georgian, Victorian or Edwardian areas, or in the vicinity of such areas, shall be accompanied by an appraisal of the distinctive character of the area adjoining the site and an illustration of how the design of the proposed development responds to and compliments that character.”

Motion 2894 Councillor(s) Cllr. Mary Fitzpatrick

This Council resolves to include a provision in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 as follows:

“All applications for development in Georgian, Victorian or Edwardian areas, or in the vicinity of such areas, shall be accompanied by an appraisal of the distinctive character of the area adjoining the site and an illustration of how the design of the proposed development responds to and compliments that character.”

Motion 2895 Councillor(s) Cllr. Eoghan Murphy

This Council resolves to include a provision in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 as follows:

“All applications for development in Georgian, Victorian or Edwardian areas, or in the vicinity of such areas, shall be accompanied by an appraisal of the distinctive character of the area adjoining the site and an illustration of how the design of the proposed development responds to and compliments that character.”

Motion 2896 Councillor(s) Cllr. Julia Carmichael

This Council resolves to include a provision in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 as follows:

“All applications for development in Georgian, Victorian or Edwardian areas, or in the vicinity of such areas, shall be accompanied by an appraisal of the distinctive character of the area adjoining the site and an illustration of how the design of the proposed development responds to and compliments that character.”

Motion 2897 Councillor(s) Cllr. Ray McAdam

This Council resolves to include a provision in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 as follows:

“All applications for development in Georgian, Victorian or Edwardian areas, or in the vicinity of such areas, shall be accompanied by an appraisal of the distinctive character of the area adjoining the site and an illustration of how the design of the proposed development responds to and compliments that character.”

Motion 2898 Councillor(s) Cllr. Dermot Lacey, Cllr. Mary Freehill

This Council resolves to include a provision in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017 as follows:

“All applications for development in Georgian, Victorian or Edwardian areas, or in the vicinity of such areas, shall be accompanied by an appraisal of the distinctive character of the area adjoining the site and an illustration of how the design of the proposed development responds to and compliments that character.”

Motion 2899 Councillor(s) Cllr. Eoghan Murphy

In view of the cultural, architectural and historic significance of the Georgian city and its predominantly intact state, Dublin City Council will ensure that new infill development within Georgian Dublin's terraces accurately replicates original elevation and roof profile detail, and that original materials are used where possible.

Section 17.14 Medical and Related Consultants and Medical Practices

Motion 2900 Councillor(s) Cllr. Henry Upton

17.14 Medical and Related Consultants and Medical Practices

To ask the manager in order to ensure that there is not an oversupply of medical practises in a particular area, any application should include a map of all the medical practices located within a 1km radius of proposed development.

Section 17.20 Nursing Homes

Motion 2901 Councillor(s) Cllr. Christy Burke

That Nursing Home beds be incorporated in any housing development in Dublin City.

Section 17.30 Restaurants

Motion 2902 Councillor(s) Lord Mayor, Cllr. Gerry Breen

Section 17.30-

Insert at the start of the first paragraph of the section – “The positive contribution of café and restaurant uses, and their clustering, to the vitality of city streets is recognised.”

Re-designate Moore Street from a Category 1 Street to a Category 2 Street throughout the Plan

Section 17.38 Noise

Motion 2903 Councillor(s) Cllr. Julia Carmichael

Motion regarding Section 17.38 Noise

That this particular item be reviewed as part of the City Policy & Strategy for Anti-Social behaviour as part of the remit of the Dublin City Joint Policing Committee.

Section 17.40 Car Parking Standards

Motion 2904 Councillor(s) Cllr. Emer Costello

Car Parking for Food Shops/supermarkets (section 17.40)

having regard to:

- the fact that most people do their weekly bulky shop by car especially families with children,
- the need to promote supermarket provision in the city (policies RD23 and RD24),
- the fact that lack of good quality shopping within the city boundaries forces people to travel longer distances outside the city boundary for their weekly shop
- the need to encourage families to live in the city area and to supply all the necessary services in an easily accessible manner
- the energy sustainability benefits of these resulting shorter distances travelled for the weekly shop
- the employment creation benefits of greater supermarket provision in the city area
- the regeneration benefits in areas that urgently need investment
- our current restrictive standards are a disincentive to investment and food shopping provision in the city and an incentive for supermarket investors to locate in adjoining local authorities where there are more appropriate car parking standards for supermarkets/food shops
- the recommendations of the Lord Mayors Commission on Employment to the draft Development Plan

Recommendation: There should be a specific car parking standard for supermarkets of 1 space per 10m² as is the case in adjoining local authorities such as Dun Laoighre and others (section 17.40)

Motion 2905 Councillor(s) Cllr. Henry Upton

Insert a new section after 17.40 and 17.41

To ask the manager where a development is to proceed on a phased basis, no phase shall be allowed to open until such time as the requisite car and bicycle parking have been provided and will open at the same time.

Motion 2906 Councillor(s) Cllr. Christy Burke

That street parking on West Road should not be removed to facilitate the location of a Dual Premium Cycle Lane down West Road.

Appendix 1 Schedule of Non Statutory Plans

Section Appendix 1 Schedule of Non Statutory Plans

Motion 2907 Councillor(s) Cllr. Mary Freehill

That the Rathmines Local Action Plan be rejected and not included in the Draft Development Plan as it includes text suggesting that St Louis High School was identified by the community as a potential opportunity site.

Appendix 4 Retail Strategy

Section Retail Strategy

Motion 2908 Councillor(s) Cllr. Eoghan Murphy

That the rationale behind the plan's reliance on The Retail Strategy for the Greater Dublin Area 2008-2016 (section 10.3 & Appendix 4) be explained given that this plan was formulated and agreed at a time of different economic circumstances/projections.

Motion 2909 Councillor(s) Cllr. Eoghan Murphy

That paragraph 2 of Appendix 4 (Retail Strategy) be amended as follows (bold indicates deletion)

From:

There have been record levels of sustained population growth and consumer expenditure over the past decade, giving rise to a greater demand for retail provision and changes in shopping patterns. However, the recent economic downturn presents a rather different scenario and a challenge in terms of a number of technical assumptions relating to population, economic growth and consumer demand, all of which influence projections for retail floorspace provision.

To:

The economic downturn presents a challenge in terms of a number of technical assumptions relating to population, economic growth and consumer demand, all of which influence projections for retail floorspace provision.

Motion 2910 Councillor(s) Cllr. Catherine Noone

It is proposed that Omni Park Shopping Centre be designated as a higher order District Centre and included on the list of Key District Centres as outlined in Appendix 4 Retail Strategy of the Draft Dublin City Development Plan 2011-2017.

Appendix 6 Transport Assessment

Section Transport Assessment

Motion 2911 Councillor(s) Cllr. Henry Upton

Appendix 6 Transport assessment

To ask the manager to ensure the requirements for a Transport Impact Assessment when submitting a planning application should be cut by 25% ie 150 dwelling units and not 200, 3750m² and not 5000m² etc.

Appendix 11 Proposed Architectural Conservation Areas

Section Proposed Architectural Conservation Areas

Motion 2912 Councillor(s) Cllr. Dermot Lacey

That Swan Place, Dublin 4 be included as an Architectural Conservation Area in its own right or as an extension of the Leeson Park Avenue Conservation Area.

Motion 2913 Councillor(s) Cllr. Aine Clancy, Cllr. Emer Costello

That Mountjoy Square be designated an ACA in the new Development Plan.

Motion 2914 Councillor(s) Cllr. Dermot Lacey

That Swan Place, Dublin 4 be included as an Architectural Conservation Area in its own right or as an extension of the Leeson Park Avenue Conservation Area.

Motion 2915 Councillor(s) Cllr. Ruairi McGinley

That the council adopt the proposal to have an Architectural Conservation area for eastern part of Rathdown Park / Rathfarnham Road in line with detailed submission made by local residents.

Motion 2916 Councillor(s) Cllr. Michael Conaghan

Given the significance of so much of Chapelizod Village's built environment and streetscape diversity and in light of the lack of proper conservation measures to protect those inherent values to date this Council will take as an objective the placing of the ACA for the area in the Development Plan.

Motion 2917 Councillor(s) Cllr. John Gallagher, Cllr. Rebecca Moynihan, Cllr. Criona Ni Dhalaigh

Following a city council motion passed in December- which directed the manager to begin the process of ACA status for the CIE estate- this ACA policy will be incorporated into the final development plan.

Motion 2918 Councillor(s) Cllr. Ray McAdam

In view of Georgian Dublin and its Literary Connections being proposed as a UNESCO World Heritage site, and as Dublin's architecture of international renown is its Georgian architecture, it is proposed that Mountjoy Square be proposed as an Architectural Conservation Area (ACA) with immediate effect. In particular it is noted that as approximately one third of Mountjoy Square is pastiche, with these buildings not currently protected, it is of crucial importance that the council moves to protect the streetscape by way of ACA, as without such designation there is the danger that demolitions and or unsuitable alterations could occur at any time, and this would utterly destroy the appearance and uniformity of Mountjoy Square. It is also noted that this proposal would be in line with the dozens of submissions calling for this - including from persons of international cultural renown, such as JP Donleavy, Shane McGowan, Desmond Guinness, and Tim Pat Coogan.

Motion 2919 Councillor(s) Cllr. Aine Clancy, Cllr. Emer Costello

That all areas identified in the Phibsborough Mountjoy Local Area Plan as being suitable as Architectural Conservation Area be designated as Architectural Conservation Areas and that the boundaries therein be repeated in the development plan.

Motion 2920 Councillor(s) Cllr. Mary Fitzpatrick

That all areas identified in the Phibsborough Mountjoy Local Area Plan as being suitable as Architectural Conservation Area be designated as Architectural Conservation Areas and that the boundaries therein be repeated in the development plan.

Motion 2921 Councillor(s) Cllr. Cieran Perry

That all areas identified in the Phibsborough Mountjoy Local Area Plan as being suitable as Architectural Conservation Area be designated as Architectural Conservation Areas and that the boundaries therein be repeated in the development plan.

Motion 2922 Councillor(s) Cllr. Dermot Lacey

That, pending the conclusions of the Sandymount Village Design Statement process and any variations that may emerge from same, the existing Architectural Conservation Area in Sandymount Village be extended to include the entire sites to their rear boundaries.

Motion 2923 Councillor(s) Cllr. John Gallagher, Cllr. Rebecca Moynihan, Cllr. Criona Ni Dhalaigh

This city council agrees to add the CIE Estate wall (along Sarsfield Road) and the CIE Sports and Social Club to the list of protected structures.

Motion 2924 Councillor(s) Cllr. Ruairi McGinley

That the proposal by local residents to have an architectural conservation area for Iveagh Gardens Crumlin Dublin 12 being the old Guinness housing estate be adopted as part of Development Plan

Motion 2925 Councillor(s) Cllr. Eoghan Murphy

This Council resolves to extend the Newmarket hatched-line Conservation Area designation to include Brown Street South (east of Brickfield Lane), Weaver Square, Chamber Street, Mill Street and Mill Lane (and including that section of Ardee Street which adjoins).

Appendix 12 Stone Setts to be Retained, Restored or Introduced

Section Stone Setts to be Retained, Restored or Introduced

Motion 2926 Councillor(s) Cllr. Claire O'Regan

To ask the manager to remove Castleforbes Road Dublin 1 from the appendix of the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 - 2017 so the necessary upgrade works can be carried out.

Motion 2927 Councillor(s) Cllr. Mary Fitzpatrick

That the Dublin City Development plan requires the City Manager to ensure that the City Council, relevant developers and/or utility companies provide a proper and safe road surface on Castleforbes Road.

Motion 2928 Councillor(s) Cllr. Christy Burke

Due to the current advanced state of disrepair of Castleforbes Road and to prevent its further deterioration, I support the residents request that Castleforbes Road be removed from Appendix 12 of the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 - 2017 to permit resurfacing works to be undertaken to a modern standard.

Motion 2929 Councillor(s) Cllr. Cieran Perry

Resolution to remove Castleforbes Road, Dublin 1 from Dublin City Development Plan 2011- 2017 - Appendix 12

Due to the current advanced state of disrepair of Castleforbes Road and to prevent its further deterioration, this Council agrees that Castleforbes Road be removed from Appendix 12 of the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 - 2017 to permit resurfacing works to be undertaken to a modern standard.

Motion 2930 Councillor(s) Cllr. Aine Clancy, Cllr. Emer Costello

To remove Castleforbes Road from Appendix 12 to allow resurfacing take place there.

Appendix 15 Flood Defence Infrastructure

Section Flood Defence Infrastructure

Motion 2931 Councillor(s) Cllr. Dermot Lacey

To seek clarification that any works undertaken under the auspices of appendix 15 Flood Defence Infrastructure, will be subject to individual planning or Part 8 planning applications.

Motion 2932 Councillor(s) Cllr. Michael Conaghan

Flood Defence Infrastructure - The Liffey at Chapelizod; "It is agreed by this Council that the sentence 'Good level of protection given by the retaining wall' be replaced with the following 'Concrete retaining wall to industrial estate to be strengthened or replaced'(Page 320 of Draft Plan).

Appendix 24 Taking in Charge of Residential Developments

Section Taking in Charge of Residential Developments

Motion 2933 Councillor(s) Cllr. Dermot Lacey

That with reference to Appendix 24 the City Council agrees to make provision in the City Development Plan that at all times Planning permissions granted shall provide that proper provision for pedestrian access to existing or planned Public Transport embarkation or exit points shall be provided for through such privately managed estates.

Appendix 25 Outdoor Advertising Strategy

Section Outdoor Advertising Strategy

Motion 2934 Councillor(s) Cllr. Mary Freehill

This Council resolves to include the following in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017:

“Outdoor Advertising will not be permitted within conservation areas and will not generally be permitted within residential zones or amenity areas.”

Motion 2935 Councillor(s) Cllr. Christy Burke

This Council resolves to include the following in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017:

“Outdoor Advertising will not be permitted within conservation areas and will not generally be permitted within residential zones or amenity areas.”

Motion 2936 Councillor(s) Cllr. Eoghan Murphy

This Council resolves to include the following in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017:

“Outdoor Advertising will not be permitted within conservation areas and will not generally be permitted within residential zones or amenity areas.”

Motion 2937 Councillor(s) Cllr. Aine Clancy, Cllr. Emer Costello

This Council resolves to include the following in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017:

“Outdoor Advertising will not be permitted within conservation areas and will not generally be permitted within residential zones or amenity areas.”

Motion 2938 Councillor(s) Cllr. Catherine Noone

This Council resolves to include the following in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017:

“Outdoor Advertising will not be permitted within conservation areas and will not generally be permitted within residential zones or amenity areas.”

Motion 2939 Councillor(s) Cllr. Julia Carmichael

This Council resolves to include the following in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017:

“Outdoor Advertising will not be permitted within conservation areas and will not generally be permitted within residential zones or amenity areas.”

Motion 2940 Councillor(s) Cllr. Ray McAdam

This Council resolves to include the following in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017:

“Outdoor Advertising will not be permitted within conservation areas and will not generally be permitted within residential zones or amenity areas.”

Motion 2941 Councillor(s) Cllr. Declan Flanagan

This Council resolves to include the following in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017:

“Outdoor Advertising will not be permitted within conservation areas and will not generally be permitted within residential zones or amenity areas.”

Motion 2942 Councillor(s) Cllr. Mary Fitzpatrick

That Appendix 25 be deleted from the Draft Development Plan, and that The Outdoor Advertising Strategy is reconsidered with the same rigour and process as that for a Local Area Plan and The Outdoor Advertising Strategy is to be re-submitted for adoption as a Variation to the Development Plan 2011-2017 in order to give appropriate consideration to the potential damage to Dublin City’s character as a result of inappropriate advertising and the appropriate controls on all advertising media. The existing Development Plan policies and controls in relation to advertising to be re-inserted, including any wording changes or improvements as a result of the preparation of the plan.

Motion 2943 Councillor(s) Cllr. Christy Burke

That Appendix 25 be deleted from the Draft Development Plan, and that The Outdoor Advertising Strategy is reconsidered with the same rigour and process as that for a Local Area Plan and The Outdoor Advertising Strategy is to be re-submitted for adoption as a Variation to the Development Plan 2011-2017 in order to give appropriate consideration to the potential damage to Dublin City's character as a result of inappropriate advertising and the appropriate controls on all advertising media. The existing Development Plan policies and controls in relation to advertising to be re-inserted, including any wording changes or improvements as a result of the preparation of the plan.

Motion 2944 Councillor(s) Cllr. Declan Flanagan

That Appendix 25 be deleted from the Draft Development Plan, and that The Outdoor Advertising Strategy is reconsidered with the same rigour and process as that for a Local Area Plan and The Outdoor Advertising Strategy is to be re-submitted for adoption as a Variation to the Development Plan 2011-2017 in order to give appropriate consideration to the potential damage to Dublin City's character as a result of inappropriate advertising and the appropriate controls on all advertising media. The existing Development Plan policies and controls in relation to advertising to be re-inserted, including any wording changes or improvements as a result of the preparation of the plan.

Motion 2945 Councillor(s) Cllr. Ray McAdam

That Appendix 25 be deleted from the Draft Development Plan, and that The Outdoor Advertising Strategy is reconsidered with the same rigour and process as that for a Local Area Plan and The Outdoor Advertising Strategy is to be re-submitted for adoption as a Variation to the Development Plan 2011-2017 in order to give appropriate consideration to the potential damage to Dublin City's character as a result of inappropriate advertising and the appropriate controls on all advertising media. The existing Development Plan policies and controls in relation to advertising to be re-inserted, including any wording changes or improvements as a result of the preparation of the plan”*

Motion 2946 Councillor(s) Cllr. Nial Ring

“That Appendix 25 be deleted from the Draft Development Plan, and that The Outdoor Advertising Strategy is reconsidered with the same rigour and process as that for a Local Area Plan and The Outdoor Advertising Strategy is to be re-submitted for adoption as a Variation to the Development Plan 2011-2017 in order to give appropriate consideration to the potential damage to Dublin City's character as a result of inappropriate advertising and the appropriate controls on all advertising media. The existing Development Plan policies and controls in relation to advertising to be re-inserted, including any wording changes or improvements as a result of the preparation of the plan”

Motion 2947 Councillor(s) Cllr. Cieran Perry

That Appendix 25 be deleted from the Draft Development Plan, and that The Outdoor Advertising Strategy is reconsidered with the same rigour and process as that for a Local Area Plan and The Outdoor Advertising Strategy is to be re-submitted for adoption as a Variation to the Development Plan 2011-2017 in order to give appropriate consideration to the potential damage to Dublin City's character as a result of inappropriate advertising and the appropriate controls on all advertising media. The existing Development Plan policies and controls in relation to advertising to be re-inserted, including any wording changes or improvements as a result of the preparation of the plan.

Motion 2948 Councillor(s) Cllr. Aine Clancy, Cllr. Emer Costello

That Appendix 25 be deleted from the Draft Development Plan, and that The Outdoor Advertising Strategy is reconsidered with the same rigour and process as that for a Local Area Plan and The Outdoor Advertising Strategy is to be re-submitted for adoption as a Variation to the Development Plan 2011-2017 in order to give appropriate consideration to the potential damage to Dublin City's character as a result of inappropriate advertising and the appropriate controls on all advertising media. The existing Development Plan policies band controls in relation to advertising to be re-inserted, including any wording changes or improvements as a result of the preparation of the plan.

Motion 2949 Councillor(s) Cllr. Cieran Perry

Pending the completion of a public realm improvement programme the Phibsborough Road between Broadstone and Harte's Corner will not be included in Zone 3 of the advertising strategy and is removed from Figure 22.

Motion 2950 Councillor(s) Cllr. Mary O'Shea, Cllr. Ray McAdam

That pending the completion of a public realm improvement programme the Phibsborough Road between Broadstone and Hart's Corner be removed from Zone 3 of the advertising strategy and be removed from Figure 22.

Motion 2951 Councillor(s) Cllr. Aine Clancy, Cllr. Emer Costello

Pending the completion of a public realm improvement programme the Phibsborough Road between Broadstone and Harte's Corner will not be included in Zone 3 of the advertising strategy and is removed from Figure 22.

Motion 2952 Councillor(s) Cllr. Mary Fitzpatrick

Pending the completion of a public realm improvement programme the Phibsborough Road between Broadstone and Harte's Corner will not be included in Zone 3 of the advertising strategy and is removed from Figure 22.

Appendix 27 Land Use Definitions

Section Land Use Definitions

Motion 2953 Councillor(s) Cllr. Oisín Quinn

Amend Appendix 27 which deals with Land Use Class Definitions, by amending the definition of Restaurant and Café and by adding a definition of a fast food outlet consistent with the definition as it appears in the SPCC for the Grafton Street area, as follows:

“Restaurant and Café

A building where the primary function is for the sale of meals/refreshments for consumption on the premises and excludes a fast food outlet, or outlet without waiter service.

Fast food outlet

An outlet that sells hot and cold food and drinks – packaged and wrapped without waiter service for consumption on the premises.”

Glossary of Terms and Phrases

Section Glossary of Terms and Phrases

Motion 2954 Councillor(s) Cllr. Ruairi McGinley

This Council resolves to include an extended, comprehensive glossary of all terms and phrases in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 - 2017 This Council resolves to include a comprehensive index which references all terms used throughout the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 - 2017

Motion 2955 Councillor(s) Cllr. Julia Carmichael

This Council resolves to include an extended, comprehensive glossary of all terms and phrases in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017.

Motion 2956 Councillor(s) Cllr. Ruairi McGinley

This Council resolves to include an extended, comprehensive glossary of all terms and phrases in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 - 2017 This Council resolves to include a comprehensive index which references all terms used throughout the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 - 2017

Motion 2957 Councillor(s) Cllr. Aine Clancy, Cllr. Emer Costello

This Council resolves to include an extended, comprehensive glossary of all terms and phrases in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017.

Motion 2958 Councillor(s) Cllr. Christy Burke

This Council resolves to include an extended, comprehensive glossary of all terms and phrases in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017.

Motion 2959 Councillor(s) Cllr. Eoghan Murphy

This Council resolves to include an extended, comprehensive glossary of all terms and phrases in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017

Motion 2960 Councillor(s) Cllr. Kevin Humphreys

This Council resolves to include an extended, comprehensive glossary of all terms and phrases in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017

Motion 2961 Councillor(s) Cllr. Maria Parodi

This Council resolves to include an extended, comprehensive glossary of all terms and phrases used in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011-2017.

Motion 2962 Councillor(s) Cllr. Catherine Noone

This Council resolves to include an extended, comprehensive glossary of all terms and phrases in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017.

Motion 2963 Councillor(s) Cllr. Declan Flanagan

This Council resolves to include an extended, comprehensive glossary of all terms and phrases in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017

Motion 2964 Councillor(s) Cllr. Ray McAdam

This Council resolves to include an extended, comprehensive glossary of all terms and phrases in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017.

Motion 2965 Councillor(s) Cllr. Dermot Lacey

To delete the reference to electoral division boundaries in the definition of the Inner City in the Chapter 18 Glossary of Terms.

Motion 2966 Councillor(s) Cllr. Nial Ring

That the Development Plan's definition of the North and South Inner City areas be amended to include the Royal Canal in the Northside and the Grand Canal in the Southside definition.

Motion 2967 Councillor(s) Cllr. Dermot Lacey, Cllr. Mary Freehill

This Council resolves to include an extended, comprehensive glossary of all terms and phrases in the Dublin City Development Plan 2011 – 2017.

Record of Protected Structures

Section Record of Protected Structures

Motion 2968 Councillor(s) Cllr. Dermot Lacey

This Council agrees to retain numbers 1 and 2 Waterloo Road, Ballsbridge, Dublin 4 on the list of protected structures.

Motion 2969 Councillor(s) Cllr. Naoise O'Muirí

That this Council agrees not to add the Howth Road National School (at junction of Howth Rd and Clontarf Road) to the Record of Protected Structures.

Motion 2970 Councillor(s) Cllr. Dermot Lacey

To add the church in the Sisters of Charity Convent, Donnybrook and the old Laundry Chimney there to the record of Protected structures.

Motion 2971 Councillor(s) Cllr. Catherine Noone

That the Manager maintain the status of No 1 and No 2 Waterloo Road as protected structures in order to preserve the uniformity of the 19th Century Streetscape (Ref 1 Waterloo Road and 2 Waterloo Road 8442).

Motion 2972 Councillor(s) Cllr. Cieran Perry

That all structures identified in the Phibsborough Mountjoy Local Area Plan as protected structures be confirmed in the development plan as protected structures

Motion 2973 Councillor(s) Cllr. Christy Burke

'Dublin City Council resolves that the status of Protected Structure, and designation as part of the Record of Protected Structures, be removed from number 202 Clonliffe Road, Dublin 3. For identification purposes, the site is outlined in red on the attached map.'

Motion 2974 Councillor(s) Deputy Lord Mayor, Cllr. Edie Wynne

That No.s 1 and 2 Waterloo Road, that were included as Protected Structure in the outgoing Plan, be retained as Protected Structure in 2011-2017 Plan.

Motion 2975 Councillor(s) Cllr. Mary Fitzpatrick

That all structures identified in the Phibsborough Mountjoy Local Area Plan as protected structures be confirmed in the Development Plan as Protected Structures.

Motion 2976 Councillor(s) Cllr. Aine Clancy, Cllr. Emer Costello

That all structures identified in the Phibsborough Mountjoy Local Area Plan as protected structures be confirmed in the development plan as protected structures.